arXiv:1503.08756v2 [math.NT] 25 Aug 2016

AN EXPLICIT THEORY OF $\pi_1^{\mathrm{un,crys}}(\mathbb{P}^1 - \{0, \mu_N, \infty\})$

I : Explicit computation of the Frobenius action

I-1 : Direct resolution of the equation of horizontality of Frobenius

DAVID JAROSSAY

Abstract. Let $X = \mathbb{P}^1 - (\{0,\infty\} \cup \mu_N) \ / \ W(\mathbb{F}_q)$, with $N \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and $\mathbb{F}_q$ of characteristic p prime to N and containing a primitive N-th root of unity. We establish an explicit theory of the crystalline Frobenius of the pro-unipotent fundamental groupoid of X.

In I, we compute explicitly the Frobenius action and in particular the periods associated with it, i.e. cyclotomic p-adic multiple zeta values.

In this I-1, we provide a "direct" resolution of the equation of horizontality of Frobenius. Concretely, we obtain an inductive explicit formula for the overconvergent p-adic hyperlogarithms and the cyclotomic p-adic multiple zeta values.

Contents

1. Introduction 2
2. Review of $\pi_1^{\mathrm{un,DR}}(\mathbb{P}^1 - \{0, \mu_N, \infty\})$ and its Frobenius structure 6
3. Reformulation of the Frobenius structure as an explicit regularization of hyperlogarithms 13
4. Computation of regularized iterated integrals on $\mathbb{P}^{1,\mathrm{an}} - \cup_{i=1}^{N}]z_i[$ 22
5. Relations between the Frobenius at different tangential base-points 36
6. Main result 41
References 46

1. Introduction

1.1. Let p be a prime number, $N \in \mathbb{N}^*$ an integer prime to p, and $R = W(\mathbb{F}_q)$, the ring of Witt vectors of a finite field of characteristic p that contains a primitive N-th root of unity ξ. We denote by $z_i = \xi^i$ for $i \in \{1, \ldots, N\}$, and by $K = \text{Frac}(R)$. Let X be the curve $\mathbb{P}^1 - (\{0, \infty\} \cup \mu_N) \,/\, R$, X_K be the base-change of X to K, and X_0 be the base-change of X to $\mathbb{F}_q$. By Deligne, [D] §10, the De Rham pro-unipotent fundamental groupoid $\pi_1^{\text{un,DR}}(X_K)$ is defined, and by [D], §11, it has a Frobenius structure. Equivalently, the rigid pro-unipotent fundamental groupoid $\pi_1^{\text{un,rig}}(X_0)$ is defined, by Chiarellotto-Le Stum [CL] ; resp. the crystalline pro-unipotent fundamental groupoid $\pi_1^{\text{un,crys}}(X_0)$ is defined, by Shiho [S1] [S2]. The variety X is also subject to Coleman integration by Besser [Bes] and Vologodsky [V].
In this part I, we want to compute the explicitly the Frobenius structure of $\pi_1^{\text{un,DR}}(X_K)$, and in particular the periods associated with it. According to the nature of the pro-unipotent fundamental groupoid, they are iterated integrals. Precisely, they are called cyclotomic p-adic multiple zeta values, and they share conjecturally the same arithmetic properties with the cyclotomic complex multiple zeta values (modulo the ideal $(\zeta(2))$), that are periods of the Betti-De Rham comparison isomorphism of the pro-unipotent fundamental groupoid of $\mathbb{P}^1 - (\{0, \infty\} \cup \mu_N) \,/\, \mathbb{Q}[\mu_N]$.

1.2. The Betti-De Rham setting is the following. Let us denote again, but this time in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$, by ξ a primitive N-th root of unity, $z_i = \xi^i$ for $i \in \{1, \ldots, N\}$ and $z_0 = 0$; moreover let, for $i \in \{0, 1, \ldots, N\}$, $\omega_{z_i} = \frac{dz}{z - z_i}$; then cyclotomic multiple zeta values are the complex numbers
(1.1)

$$\zeta\left(\begin{array}{c} \xi^{i_d}, \ldots, \xi^{i_1} \\ s_d, \ldots, s_1 \end{array} \right) = (-1)^d \int_0^1 \omega_{z_{j_n}}(t_n) \int_0^{t_{n-1}} \ldots \int_0^{t_2} \omega_{z_{j_1}}(t_1) = \sum_{0 < n_1 < \ldots < n_d} \frac{\left(\frac{\xi^{i_2}}{\xi^{i_1}}\right)^{n_1} \ldots \left(\frac{1}{\xi^{i_d}}\right)^{n_d}}{n_1^{s_1} \ldots n_d^{s_d}}$$

where $(j_n, \ldots, j_1) = (\overbrace{0, \ldots, 0}^{s_d - 1}, i_d, \ldots, \overbrace{0, \ldots, 0}^{s_1 - 1}, i_1)$, with $n = s_d + \ldots + s_1$, $s_1, \ldots, s_d \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $i_1, \ldots, i_d \in \{1, \ldots, N\}$, such that $(\xi^{i_d}, s_d) \neq (1, 1)$. Such an iterated integral is denoted by $\int_{[0,1]} \omega_{z_{j_n}} \ldots \omega_{z_{j_1}}$. Hence the natural indices of iterated integrals on $\mathbb{P}^1 - (\{0, \infty\} \cup \mu_N)$ over a given path are linear combinations of words over the alphabet $\{\omega_0, \omega_{z_1}, \ldots, \omega_{z_N}\}$ - the latter is usually denoted as $\{e_0, e_{z_1}, \ldots, e_{z_N}\}$. The generating series of cyclotomic multiple zeta values is a $\mathbb{C}$-point Φ of $\pi_1^{\text{un,DR}}(\mathbb{P}^1 - (\{0, \infty\} \cup \mu_N), -\vec{1}_1, \vec{1}_0)$, where $\vec{v}_x$ means the tangent vector $\vec{v}$ at x. The case $N = 1$ corresponds to $\mathbb{P}^1 - \{0, 1, \infty\}$ and to multiple zeta values $\zeta(s_d, \ldots, s_1)$.
If we replace the straight path from 0 to 1 in $\mathbb{C}$, which is implicit in (1.1), by a variable path which still starts at 0 with the tangent vector $\vec{1}$, the function of the other extremity of the path that we obtain, which is a multivalued holomorphic function on $\mathbb{C} - (\{0\} \cup \mu_N(\mathbb{C}))$, is called a hyperlogarithm ; it is a horizontal section of the canonical connexion ∇_{KZ} on the bundle of paths starting at $\vec{1}_0$ of the De Rham fundamental groupoid of $\mathbb{P}^1 - (\{0, \infty\} \cup \mu_N)$:

$$\nabla_{\text{KZ}} : f \mapsto f^{-1}\left(df - \sum_{i=0}^{N} \frac{dz}{z - z_i} e_{z_i} f \right) \tag{1.2}$$

Hyperlogarithms are a very old object that goes back to Poincaré and Lappo-Danilevsky, but the introduction of their p-adic analogues requires the concept of the pro-unipotent fundamental group and is recent. The p-adic hyperlogarithms and the p-adic cyclotomic multiple zeta values are defined, abstractly, as certain p-adic analogues of the iterated integrals of (1.1), thanks to the properties of the Frobenius action on the pro-unipotent fundamental group ; but one cannot derive from their definition an immediate explicit computation of them as in (1.1).

1.3. A long-term motivation for computing explicitly the p-adic cyclotomic multiple zeta values is that it should have applications to the arithmetics of the complex cyclotomic multiple zeta values ; we will discuss it in IV. There are also, at least, two shorter term and more precise motivations.

First, the project of explicit computations of p-adic multiple zeta values has been suggested by Deligne (Arizona Winter School 2002, unpublished), and by Deligne and Goncharov ([DG], §5.28) ; they ask precisely to obtain explicit formulas for p-adic multiple zeta values as sums of series like the one of (1.1), that would make evident certain of their known algebraic properties, as does (1.1) in the complex case. We will solve this last question in part II.

Secondly, we would like to elucidate the nature of Kaneko-Zagier's finite multiple zeta values [KZ] ; these are defined through the reduction of prime multiple harmonic sums modulo large primes, they live in the quite unusual ring $\big(\prod_{p \text{ prime}} \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}\big) \ / \ \big(\oplus_{p \text{ prime}} \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}\big)$, and are subject to a striking conjecture, due to Kaneko and Zagier, stating an explicit isomorphism between the respective $\mathbb{Q}$-algebras of finite multiple zeta values and complex multiple zeta values modulo the ideal $(\zeta(2))$. We would like to know whether the formula for this conjectural isomorphism has some explanation in the framework of the pro-unipotent fundamental group of $\mathbb{P}^1 - \{0,1,\infty\}$. Actually, the conjecture of Kaneko and Zagier strenghtens, and makes more concrete, the hope for the existence of readable explicit formulas for p-adic multiple zeta values envisaged by Deligne and Goncharov : one is tempted to imagine that these formulas not only exist but also involve multiple harmonic sums, and that they imply an expression of finite multiple zeta values in terms of the reduction modulo large primes of certain polynomials of p-adic multiple zeta values, coinciding with the p-adic analogues of the image of finite multiple zeta values by Kaneko-Zagier's conjectural isomorphism. A proof of this last result will be explained in the appendix of I-2.

1.4. This part I-1 takes inspiration in Ünver's papers [U1], [U2], that sketch an explicit computation of (cyclotomic) p-adic multiple zeta values associated with, respectively $\mathbb{P}^1 - \{0,1,\infty\}$ and $\mathbb{P}^1 - (\{0,\infty\} \cup \mu_N)$, mostly in depth one and two but with certain hints of systematization. There, the formulas for cyclotomic p-adic multiple zeta values are certain limits of certain variants of multiple harmonic sums (we recall below the definition of multiple harmonic sums). In this I-1, by making Ünver's strategy more systematic, and by adapting it (§4.2-§4.6), we obtain inductive formulas for cyclotomic p-adic multiple zeta values as infinite sums whose terms are prime weighted multiple harmonic sums ; these formulas are valid in all depths, and we provide at the same time different proofs (§3 and §4.1) and simpler combinatorics (§3) for certain parts of the strategy. We obtain also auxiliary results such as bounds of p-adic valuations of p-adic hyperlogarithms and cyclotomic p-adic multiple zeta values (§4.6).

1.5. Let us describe the situation a bit more technically. Let $X^{(p)}$ be the pull-back of the curve X by the Frobenius automorphism of R, and $X_K^{(p)}$ be its base-change to K. By [D], §11, there exists a pull-back by Frobenius $F^{*}_{X/K}\pi_1^{\text{un,DR}}(X_K^{(p)})$ of $\pi_1^{\text{un,DR}}(X_K^{(p)})$, constructed analytically, and an isomorphism

$$(F_{X/K})_* : \pi_1^{\text{un,DR}}(X_K) \xrightarrow{\sim} F^{*}_{X/K}\pi_1^{\text{un,DR}}(X_K^{(p)}) \tag{1.3}$$

characterized by the property that it is horizontal with respect to the canonical connexion ∇_{KZ} of (1.2). Such a data is the Frobenius structure of $\pi_1^{\text{un,DR}}(X_K)$, and the crystalline Frobenius map ϕ of $\pi_1^{\text{un,DR}}(X_K)$ is defined in [D], §13 as the inverse of F_*. Let us fix for the whole of

I-1 and I-2 an integer $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^*$, which will serve as the power of Frobenius : we will consider either the action of $(p^\alpha)^{\text{weight}}\phi^\alpha$, and index the objects defined through it by (p,α), or the action of F_*^α, and index the objects defined through it by $(p,-\alpha)$. The action of Frobenius is determined its restriction to canonical De Rham paths ; thus, what we want to compute is a couple $(\mathrm{Li}_{p,\alpha}^\dagger, \zeta_{p,\alpha})$, resp. $(\mathrm{Li}_{p,-\alpha}^\dagger, \zeta_{p,-\alpha})$, where $\mathrm{Li}_{p,\alpha}^\dagger$ resp. $\mathrm{Li}_{p,-\alpha}^\dagger$ expresses the action of Frobenius on the canonical De Rham paths of a suitable rigid analytic subspace of $\mathbb{P}^{1,\mathrm{an}}/K$, and $\zeta_{p,\alpha}$, resp. $\zeta_{p,-\alpha}$ is the cyclotomic p-adic multiple zeta function, that expresses the action of Frobenius on the canonical De Rham path between the base-points $(\vec{1}_1, \vec{1}_0)$. The objects indexed by α and $-\alpha$ are essentially equivalent : we introduce both of them for clarity, in particular in view of I-3 ; we will employ the notation $\epsilon\alpha$, implying $\epsilon \in \{-1,1\}$, to express the results that are valid both for α and $-\alpha$.

The horizontality of (1.3) with respect to (1.2) is an overconvergent differential equation, on a suitable rigid analytic space over K, that we now introduce. The Frobenius of R sends N-th roots of unity to their p-th powers and, on the space $\mathbb{P}^{1,\mathrm{an}} - \cup_{i=1}^N]z_i[$, equipped with the lift of Frobenius $z \mapsto z^p$, the horizontality of the isomorphism (1.3) amounts to

$$d\,\mathrm{Li}_{p,\alpha}^\dagger = \left(\sum_{i=0}^N p^\alpha \omega_{z_i}(z) e_{z_i}\right) \mathrm{Li}_{p,\alpha}^\dagger - \mathrm{Li}_{p,\alpha}^\dagger \left(\sum_{i=0}^N \omega_{z_i^{p^\alpha}}(z^{p^\alpha})\,\mathrm{Ad}_{\Phi_{p,\alpha}^{(z_i)}}(e_{z_i})\right) \tag{1.4}$$

where $\Phi_{p,\alpha}^{(z_i)} \in \pi_1^{\mathrm{un,DR}}(X_K, \vec{1}_{z_i}, \vec{1}_0)(K)$ is a generating series of cyclotomic p-adic multiple zeta values ; and we have a similar differential equation for $\mathrm{Li}_{p,-\alpha}^\dagger$.

The differential equation (1.4) is unipotent relatively to the weight filtration defined by the number of differential forms under iterated integration, i.e. the number of letters of words over the alphabet $\{e_0, e_{z_1}, \ldots, e_{z_N}\}$. Another filtration, that will also play a crucial role, is the one defined by the depth, i.e. the number of letters of such words that are distinct from e_0 ; the depth is the integer d in equation (1.1), that suggests already that it can be a significative quantity in a theory of series. In brief, the strategy of this I-1 consists in solving inductively the system formed by (1.4) and the following (1.5), that expresses the action of Frobenius on the relation of vanishing of the sum of the residues of ∇_{KZ},

$$e_0 + \sum_{i=1}^N \mathrm{Ad}_{\Phi_{p,\alpha}^{(z_i)}}(e_{z_i}) = \mathrm{Li}_{p,\alpha}^\dagger(\infty)^{-1}(e_0 + \sum_{i=1}^N e_{z_i})\,\mathrm{Li}_{p,\alpha}^\dagger(\infty) \tag{1.5}$$

in a way that is compatible with the depth filtration.

1.6. The results will be expressed through the coefficients of the series expansion at 0 of the fundamental flat section of (1.2) ; these are called multiple harmonic sums ; precisely, we call weighted multiple harmonic sums the numbers

$$\mathrm{har}_n \left(\begin{array}{c} z_{i_{d+1}}, \ldots, z_1 \\ s_d, \ldots, s_1 \end{array} \right) = n^{s_d+\ldots+s_1} \sum_{0<n_1<\ldots<n_d<n} \frac{\left(\frac{z_{i_2}}{z_{i_1}}\right)^{n_1} \ldots \left(\frac{z_{i_{d+1}}}{z_{i_d}}\right)^{n_d} \left(\frac{1}{z_{i_{d+1}}}\right)^n}{n_1^{s_1} \ldots n_d^{s_d}} \in \mathbb{Q}[\mu_N] \tag{1.6}$$

where $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $d \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $(s_1, \ldots, s_d) \in (\mathbb{N}^*)^d$, and $i_1, \ldots, i_{d+1} \in \{1, \ldots, N\}$; the adjective weighted is a reference to the factor $n^{s_d+\ldots+s_1}$, and has been employed by Rosen in [R] in a particular case. We call prime multiple harmonic sums those whose upper bound n is a power of p (in our computations this power will be the chosen α above), and we note that they satisfy, for all words w : $v_p(\mathrm{har}_{p^\alpha}(w)) \geq \mathrm{weight}(w)$.

In order to formulate the result, it will be convenient to precise how the formulas depend on p and α ; concerning their dependence on N, we will describe only its immediate features, and will leave some more subtle aspects to another paper. Let us thus consider, N being fixed, the set $\mathcal{P}_N \times \mathbb{N}^*$, where $\mathcal{P}_N$ is the set of prime numbers that are prime to N, and let

$$(1.7) \quad \widehat{\mathrm{Har}}_{\mathcal{P}_N^{\mathbb{N}^*}} = \mathrm{Vect}_{\mathbb{Q}}\Big\{\Big(\sum_{L\in\mathbb{N}} F_L(\xi,\ldots,\xi^{N-1}) \prod_{\eta=1}^{\eta_0} \mathrm{har}_{p^\alpha}(w_{L,\eta})\Big)_{(p,\alpha)} \mid (*)\Big\} \subset \prod_{\substack{(p,\alpha)\\ \in\mathcal{P}_N\times\mathbb{N}^*}} \mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_N)$$

where $(*)$ means that $(w_{L,1})_{L\in\mathbb{N}},\ldots,(w_{L,\eta_0})_{L\in\mathbb{N}}$ ($\eta_0 \in \mathbb{N}^*$) are sequences of words on e_Z satisfying $\sum_{\eta=1}^{\eta_0} \mathrm{weight}(w_{L,\eta}) \rightarrow_{l\rightarrow\infty} \infty$ and $\limsup_{L\rightarrow\infty} \sum_{\eta=1}^{\eta_0} \mathrm{depth}(w_{L,\eta}) < \infty$, and $(F_L)_{L\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of elements of $\mathbb{Q}$ if $N=1$, resp. $\mathbb{Q}[T_1,\ldots,T_{N-1},\frac{1}{T_1},\ldots,\frac{1}{T_{N-1}},\frac{1}{T_1-1},\ldots,\frac{1}{T_{N-1}-1}]$ if $N\neq 1$.

For all $(wt,d) \in (\mathbb{N}^*)^2$, let $\widehat{\mathrm{Har}}_{\mathcal{P}_N^{\mathbb{N}^*}}^{wt,d} \subset \widehat{\mathrm{Har}}_{\mathcal{P}_N^{\mathbb{N}^*}}$ be the subspace generated by the elements as above such that, for all $L\in\mathbb{N}$, $\sum_{\eta=1}^{\eta_0} \mathrm{weight}(w_{L,\eta}) \geq wt$ and $\sum_{\eta=1}^{\eta_0} \mathrm{depth}(w_{L,\eta}) \leq d$. We have $\widehat{\mathrm{Har}}_{\mathcal{P}_N^{\mathbb{N}^*}} = \cup_{(wt,d)\in\mathbb{N}^2} \widehat{\mathrm{Har}}_{\mathcal{P}_N^{\mathbb{N}^*}}^{wt,d}$. The main result of this paper is the following :

Theorem I-1. Cyclotomic p-adic multiple zeta values at a word w of weight wt and depth d, viewed as sequences $(\zeta_{p,\alpha}(w))_{(p,\alpha)\in\mathcal{P}_N\times\mathbb{N}^*}$ and $(\zeta_{p,-\alpha}(w))_{(p,\alpha)\in\mathcal{P}_N\times\mathbb{N}^*}$, are elements of $\widehat{\mathrm{Har}}_{\mathcal{P}_N^{\mathbb{N}^*}}^{wt-d,d}$.

The explicit version of Theorem I-1 is of the following form :

Appendix to Theorem I-1. (see §6.2 for a precise statement)
i) There is an explicit formula, that is inductive with respect to the depth, for the maps $\mathrm{Li}^{\dagger}_{p,\alpha}$ as elements of a space of functions $\mathrm{comp}^{-1}_{\mathfrak{A}(U^{\mathrm{an}}_{0\infty})} \mathrm{LAE}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathcal{S}_\alpha,\xi}(\mathbb{Z}_p^{(N)} - \{0\}, K)$ (defined in §4.2).
ii) There are explicit lower bounds for the p-adic valuations of the values of each map $\mathrm{Li}^{\dagger}_{p,\alpha}[w]$, and for cyclotomic p-adic multiple zeta values $\zeta^{(z_i)}_{p,\alpha}(w)$, that depend only on ($p$,weight($w$), depth($w$)).

These bounds of valuations of $\mathrm{Li}^{\dagger}_{p,\alpha}[w]$ will be crucial for the proof of the main theorems of I-2.

Example. In depth one and for $\mathbb{P}^1 - \{0,1,\infty\}$, the Theorem I-1 with its Appendix gives back the following formula for p-adic zeta values (with B denoting Bernoulli numbers) : for all $s\in\mathbb{N}^*$,

$$(1.8) \qquad \zeta_p(s) = \frac{1}{s-1} \sum_{l\in\mathbb{N}} \binom{-s}{l} B_l \ \mathrm{har}_p(s+l-1)$$

Equation (1.8) follows also from two known results : let L_p be the L-function of Kubota-Leopoldt and ω be the Teichmüller character ; we have first, for all $s\in\mathbb{N}^*$ $\zeta_p(s) = p^s L_p(s,\omega^{1-s})$ for all $s\in\mathbb{N}^*$ ([Co], I, equation (4)) ; secondly, the fact that $L_p(s,\omega^{1-s})$ admits the desired series expansion in terms of prime harmonic sums is an easy consequence of a paticular case of [W], Theorem 1.

Outline. The §2 is devoted to reviewing some useful definitions and properties of the setting ; the proof of the theorem I-1 is divided into three steps achieved respectively in §3, §4, §5 and asembled in §6. In §3, we reformulate the horizontality equation (1.4) as the data, for each map $\mathrm{Li}^{\dagger}_{p,\epsilon\alpha}[w]$ of explicit inductive formulas expressing it as a $\mathbb{Q}$-linear combination of iterated integrals of the differential forms $\frac{dz}{z}, \frac{dz}{z-z_i}, \frac{z^{p^{\alpha}-1}dz}{z^{p^{\alpha}}-z_i^{p^{\alpha}}}$, $i \in \{1,\ldots,N\}$ multiplied by cyclotomic p-adic multiple zeta values of weight strictly lower than the one of w. In §4, we compute explicitly, inductively with respect to the depth, a regularized version of the iterated integrals of these differential forms ; the proof provides at the same time bounds on their valuation. In §5, we study equation (1.5) and express cyclotomic p-adic multiple zeta values in terms of the value at ∞ of $\mathrm{Li}_{p,\alpha}^{\dagger}$, in a way that preserves the bounds of valuations of §4 and the depth filtration. In §6, we obtain the main result and some remarks.

Acknowledgments. I thank Francis Brown for having incited me to work on the explicit computation of p-adic multiple zeta values, and for discussions ; Sinan Ünver for his generous encouragements and answers to my questions ; Pierre Cartier for encouragements and for having incited me to write the note [J1], which announces some of the results of this paper ; Jean-Pierre Serre for a remark that enabled me to improve §4.1.1 ; Aurel Page and Annick Valibouze for both algorithmic and mathematical helpful discussions ; Hidekazu Furusho and Daniel Barsky for precise readings of an early version of this work. This work has been achieved at Université Paris Diderot and at Université de Strasbourg. It has been supported by ERC grant 257638 and by Labex IRMIA.

2. Review of $\pi_1^{\mathrm{un,DR}}(\mathbb{P}^1 - \{0, \mu_N, \infty\})$ and its Frobenius structure

We review in this paragraph the definitions of our objects of study : in §2.1, some generalities on the De Rham pro-unipotent fundamental groupoid and its Frobenius structure and, in §2.2, our particular case of $\mathbb{P}^1 - (\{0,\infty\} \cup \mu_N)$.

2.1. The De Rham pro-unipotent fundamental groupoid and its Frobenius structure : generalities.

2.1.1. *The De Rham pro-unipotent fundamental groupoid.* In this paragraph we follow [D]. Let $\overline{X}_K$ be a proper and smooth algebraic variety over a field K of characteristic 0, D_K a normal crossings divisor, and $X_K = \overline{X}_K - D_K$.

Definition 2.1.1. ([D], §10.27 and §13.5) The De Rham pro-unipotent fundamental groupoid $\pi_1^{\mathrm{un,DR}}(X_K)$ is the fundamental groupoid associated to the tannakian category C^{DR} over K of vector bundles on X_K equipped with an integrable connection having logarithmic singularities at D_K, and that are unipotent.

It is a groupoid of affine schemes over X_K ; each $\pi_1^{\mathrm{un,DR}}(X_K, x, y)$ is a pro-affine scheme, by definition the scheme of isomorphisms between the tensor functors "fiber at x" and "fiber at y", and is a bi-torsor under the couple $\big(\pi_1^{\mathrm{un,DR}}(X,x,x), \pi_1^{\mathrm{un,DR}}(X,y,y)\big)$ of pro-unipotent group schemes.
The bundles of paths of $\pi_1^{\mathrm{un,DR}}(X_K)$ starting at a given base-point are themselves (universal) pro-objects of the category C^{DR}, and are thus equipped with a universal connexion.

The following proposition will be applicable in our case.

Proposition 2.1.2. ([D], §12) Assume that $H^1(\overline{X}_K, \mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}_K}) = 0$. The functor that associates to an object (E, ∇) the vector space of global sections on $\overline{X}_K$ of the extension $\overline{E}$ of E to $\overline{X}_K$ is a tensor functor, and defines a canonical base-point ω_{DR} of $\pi_1^{\mathrm{un,DR}}(X_K)$, that is canonically isomorphic to all base-points, and these isomorphisms are compatible with the groupoid structure.

For each couple of base-points (x, y), by composing the canonical isomorphisms $x \simeq \omega_{\mathrm{DR}} \simeq y$, one gets a canonical path ${}_y 1_x$ of $\pi_1^{\mathrm{un,DR}}(X_K, y, x)$. The canonical paths are compatible to the groupoid structure. We also have isomorphisms of schemes $\pi_1^{\mathrm{un,DR}}(X_K, y, x) \simeq \pi_1^{\mathrm{un,DR}}(X_K, \omega_{\mathrm{DR}})$, compatible to the groupoid structure.
The De Rham pro-unipotent fundamental groupoid extends to a groupoid including other base-points called tangential-base points : non-zero tangent vectors at a point x of D_K, as $\vec{1}_0$,$-\vec{1}_1$ in the introduction. In dimension 1, their definition reduces to the following statement :

Proposition 2.1.3. ([D], §15.28 - §15.36) Assume that $\overline{X}_K = \mathrm{Spec}(K[[t]])$, and let s be its closed point ; let $X = \overline{X}_K - \{s\} = \mathrm{Spec}(K)$. There is a functor from bundles with connexion on $\overline{X}_K$, regular singular at s, to bundles with connexion on the punctured tangent space $T_s - \{0\}$ at s to $\overline{X}_K$.

This functor is defined through the residue of the connexion at s and defines the tangential base-points. The Proposition 2.1.2 remains true for tangential base-points. The construction of tangential base-points in dimension > 1 is sketched in [D], §15, and is described with more details in [BF], §3.

2.1.2. *The Frobenius structure of the De Rham pro-unipotent fundamental groupoid of* X_K. Let us follow [D], §11.9 - §11.12. Let V be a complete absolutely unramified discrete valuation ring of perfect residue field k, of characteristic $p > 0$. In particular, V is the ring of Witt vectors $W(k)$ of k. Let $\sigma : V \to V$ be the ring endomorphism lifting the absolute Frobenius $z \mapsto z^p$ of k. Let X a scheme over $\mathrm{Spec}(V)$. We assume that $X = \overline{X} - D$, with $\overline{X}$ proper and smooth over $\mathrm{Spec}(V)$, and D a normal crossings divisor, sum of smooth divisors. The pull-back of X by σ is denoted by $X^{(p)}$. Let X_K, resp. $X_K^{(p)}$, be the base-change of X, resp. $X^{(p)}$ to $K = \mathrm{Frac}(V)$.

With these assumptions, the pull-back by Frobenius of the De Rham fundamental groupoid of $X_K^{(p)}$ is, roughly speaking, defined as follows (§11.9 - §11.10). Let $(U_j)_{j \in J}$ be an affine open covering of the special fiber of X. For each j, let $\overline{X}_j$ be the formal completion of $\overline{X}$ along U_j. The generic fibers of $\overline{X}_j$ form a covering of the rigid analytic space $\overline{X}_K^{\mathrm{an}}$. For each $j \in J$, the absolute Frobenius of U_j can be lifted into a morphism $F_j : X_j \to X_j^{(p)}$. One uses the pull-back of bundles with connexions by each F_j then, gluing using the compatibility between the different F_j's and, finally, GAGA, to define the pull-back by Frobenius $F_{X/K}^{*} \pi_1^{\mathrm{un,DR}}(X_K^{(p)})$.

Theorem 2.1.4. ([D], §11.11, §11.12). There is a unique morphism

$$(F_{X/K})_{*} : \pi_1^{\mathrm{un,DR}}(X_K) \xrightarrow{\sim} F_{X/K}^{*} \pi_1^{\mathrm{un,DR}}(X_K^{(p)})$$

that is horizontal with respect to the connection on the bundles of paths starting at a given base-point, and it is an isomorphism.

Definition 2.1.5. ([D], §13.6) The crystalline Frobenius of $\pi_1^{\mathrm{un,DR}}(X_K)$ is $\phi = F_{*}^{-1}$.

Proposition 2.1.6. ([D], §15.46 - §15.49) The Frobenius commutes with the functor tangential base-point.

Although we will follow Deligne's formulation of the theory, a different construction of these objects has been provided by Chiarellotto and Le Stum in [CL]. Following [CL], let K be a complete ultrametric field of characteristic zero, $\mathcal{V}$ its ring of integers, k its residue field, of characteristic p. The notion of unipotent overconvergent F-isocristal is recalled in [CL], Definition 2.3.1.

Definition 2.1.7. Let X be an algebraic variety of finite type over k. Let $\pi^{\mathrm{un,rig}}(X/k)$, the rigid pro-unipotent fundamental groupoid of X, be the fundamental groupoid associated with the Tannakian category over K of unipotent (hence necessarily overconvergent) F-isocristals over X/k.

Proposition 2.1.8. ([CL], Proposition 2.4.1). Let us assume that X is the special fiber of $P - Z$, where P be a proper and smooth scheme over $\mathcal{V}$, and Z a normal crossings divisor of P. Let V be the generic fiber of $P - Z$. The category of unipotent overconvergent isocristals over X is equivalent to the category of unipotent modules with integrable connexion over V.

These facts imply a comparison theorem between the rigid and De Rham pro-unipotent fundamental groups, that is not stated explicitly in [CL], and that is not required for our purposes. In this setting, the proof of the existence and properties of the Frobenius is more direct than in §2.1.2, and leads to :

Proposition 2.1.9. ([CL], Proposition 2.4.2.) Let us assume that $\mathbb{F}_{p^a} \subset k$, $a \in \mathbb{N}^*$, and that $X = X_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q} k$, where X_0 is a separated scheme of finite type over $\mathbb{F}_q$. Let F_{X_0} be the a-th power of the absolute Frobenius of X_0, and let $F_X = F_{X_0} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q} k$. We also assume that X is an open of the special fiber of a proper and flat $\mathcal{V}$-formal scheme. Then, we have an auto-equivalence F_X^* of the category of unipotent overconvergent isocrystals over X.

Finally, another approach to these objects is due to Shiho, who defined and studied a notion of crystalline fundamental group in [S1], [S2].

2.1.3. *Coleman integration.* A first form of a theory of Coleman integration appeared in [Co]. The general and Tannakian formulation of the theory is due independently to Besser [Bes] and Vologodsky [V]. It is based on the existence and uniqueness of Frobenius-invariant paths of the rigid pro-unipotent fundamental groupoid. We follow here the notations and formulations of [Bes]. Let $\mathcal{V}$ be a discrete valuation ring with field of fractions K and residue field $k = \overline{\mathbb{F}_p}$.

Notation 2.1.10. ([Bes], introduction) Let $T = (X, Y, P)$, where P is a p-adic formal scheme, Y a closed subscheme of P that is a proper scheme over k, and X is an open of Y, such that P smooth in a neighbourhood of X.

Proposition 2.1.11. ([Bes] corollary 3.2, and [V]) If F is a Frobenius endomorphism of X/k, that fixes points $\bar{x}$ and $\bar{y}$ of X over k, then there exists a unique path ${}_{\bar{y}}c_{\bar{x}} \in \pi_1^{\mathrm{un,rig}}(X; \bar{y}, \bar{x})(K)$ that is invariant by the Frobenius action on $\pi_1^{\mathrm{un,rig}}(X)$. Moreover, $(\bar{y}, \bar{x}) \mapsto {}_{\bar{y}}c_{\bar{x}}$ is compatible to the groupoid structure of $\pi_1^{\mathrm{un,rig}}(X)$.

Using this result, one can define an algebra $A_{\mathrm{Col}}(T)$, "the algebra of Coleman functions on T", and $A_{\mathrm{Col}}(T)$-modules $\Omega^n_{\mathrm{Col}}(T)$, the "modules of Coleman differential forms on T" ([Bes], Definition 4.3). The algebra $A_{\mathrm{Col}}(T)$ contains the overconvergent rigid analytic functions on the tube $]X[_P$ inside the generic fiber of P. One of the main results of the theory is then :

Theorem 2.1.12. ([Bes], Theorem 4.15) The sequence $A_{\mathrm{Col}}(T) \to \Omega^1_{\mathrm{Col}}(T) \to \Omega^2_{\mathrm{Col}}(T)$ is exact.

2.2. **The case of $\mathbb{P}^1 - (\{0, \infty\} \cup \mu_N)$ over $W(\mathbb{F}_q)$ containing a primitive N-th root of unity.** In the case of $\mathbb{P}^1$ minus a finite number of points over a field of characteristic zero, which is the simplest example that one can have in §2.1.1, the following phenomena occur : first, the assumption $H^1(\overline{X}_K, \mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}_K}) = 0$ is satisfied, that implies the existence of the canonical De Rham base-point ω_{DR} (Proposition 2.1.2) ; secondly, the Lie algebra of $\pi_1^{\mathrm{un,DR}}(X_K, \omega_{\mathrm{DR}})$ is free, as we are going to see now ; thus, the explicit description of the De Rham pro-unipotent fundamental

groupoid of X_K is particularly simple in this case.
Moreover, all the elements of a finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$ of characteristic p, that are roots of unity of order prime to p, have their canonical lifts, given by roots of unity of the same order, sent by the Frobenius of $W(\mathbb{F}_q)$ to their respective p-th powers, and the elevation to the p-th power is a bijection of the set of roots of unity of a given order ; this simplifies significantly the computations on $\mathbb{P}^1 - (\{0,\infty\} \cup \mu_N)$.
In summary, the case that we are about to treat seems to be the simplest possible case of a $\pi_1^{\text{un},\text{DR}}(X_K)$, including for the matters concerning the Frobenius structure ; more precisely, the only slightly simpler subcase is $\mathbb{P}^1 - \{0,1,\infty\}$. Actually, the usual philosophy of the pro-unipotent fundamental groupoid grants a very particular interest to this case, and to its specific phenomena, as is suggested by the title of [D], and in accordance with the philosophy of [G] (one can see also [DG], §5.)

2.2.1. *Explicit description of* $\pi_1^{\text{un},\text{DR}}(X_K,\omega_{\text{DR}})$ *and the canonical connexion of* $\pi_1^{\text{un},\text{DR}}(X_K)$. We consider a curve $X_K = \mathbb{P}^1 - \{0, z_1, \ldots, z_r, \infty\}$, $r \in \mathbb{N}^*$, defined over a field K of characteristic zero (in this paragraph, K can be any such field). We will denote by $Z = \{0, z_1, \ldots, z_r, \infty\}$, by e_Z the alphabet $\{e_0, e_{z_1}, \ldots, e_{z_r}\}$, and $z_0 = 0$. We start by describing explicitly the objects introduced in §2.1.1.

Proposition-Definition 2.2.1. Let $\mathcal{O}^{\shuffle,e_Z}$ be the $\mathbb{Q}$-vector space $\mathbb{Q}\langle e_{z_0}, e_{z_1}, \ldots, e_{z_r}\rangle$, that we will denote by $\mathbb{Q}\langle e_Z\rangle$, freely generated by words on e_Z, including the empty word. The following operations i) to iv) make $\mathcal{O}^{\shuffle,e_Z}$ into a graded Hopf algebra over $\mathbb{Q}$, where the grading is the length of words, called their weight :
i) the shuffle product $\shuffle : \mathcal{O}^{\shuffle,e_Z} \otimes \mathcal{O}^{\shuffle,e_Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^{\shuffle,e_Z}$ defined by, for all words :

$$(e_{i_{l+l'}} \ldots e_{i_{l+1}}) \shuffle (e_{i_l} \ldots e_{i_1}) = \sum_{\substack{\sigma \text{ permutation of } \{1,\ldots,l+l'\} \\ \text{s.t. } \sigma(l)<\ldots<\sigma(1) \\ \text{and } \sigma(l+l')<\ldots<\sigma(l+1)}} e_{i_{\sigma^{-1}(l+l')}} \ldots e_{i_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}}$$

ii) the deconcatenation coproduct $\Delta_{\text{dec}} : \mathcal{O}^{\shuffle,e_Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^{\shuffle,e_Z} \otimes \mathcal{O}^{\shuffle,e_Z}$, defined by, for all words :

$$\Delta_{\text{dec}}(e_{i_l} \ldots e_{i_1}) = \sum_{l'=0}^{l} e_{i_l} \ldots e_{i_{l'+1}} \otimes e_{i_{l'}} \ldots e_{i_1}$$

iii) the counit $\epsilon : \mathcal{O}^{\shuffle,e_Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ equal to the augmentation map of $\mathcal{O}^{\shuffle,e_Z}$.
iv) the antipode $S : \mathcal{O}^{\shuffle,e_Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^{\shuffle,e_Z}$, defined by, for all words :

$$S(e_{i_l} \ldots e_{i_1}) = (-1)^l e_{i_1} \ldots e_{i_l}$$

The Hopf algebra that is obtained is called the shuffle Hopf algebra over the alphabet e_Z.

Proposition 2.2.2. The group scheme $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}^{\shuffle,e_Z})$ is pro-unipotent, and is canonically isomorphic to $\pi_1^{\text{un},\text{DR}}(X_K,\omega_{\text{DR}})$.

This follows from [D], §12, where is given an explicit description of $\pi_1^{\text{un},\text{DR}}(M,\omega_{\text{DR}})$ for a general variety M. Note that $\mathcal{O}^{\shuffle,e_Z}$ is defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ although X_K is not in general. By the canonical isomorphism $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}^{\shuffle,e_Z}) \simeq \pi_1^{\text{un},\text{DR}}(X_K,\omega_{\text{DR}})$, e_{z_i} corresponds to the residue of the canonical connexion at z_i, as we will see by Proposition-Definition 2.2.4 ; and, for certain purposes, it will be natural to view $\mathbb{Q}\langle e_Z\rangle$ as the $\mathbb{Q}$-vector space freely generated by words on the larger alphabet $\{e_0, e_{z_1}, \ldots, e_{z_r}, e_\infty\}$, moded out by the sum of all the residues

$$e_0 + e_{z_1} + \ldots + e_{z_r} + e_\infty \tag{2.2.1}$$

If A is a ring, let $A\langle\langle e_Z\rangle\rangle$ be the non-commutative A-algebra of power series over e_Z. Let us denote by $\mathcal{W}(e_Z)$ the set of words over e_Z ; the coefficients of the decomposition of an element

$f \in A\langle\langle e_Z\rangle\rangle$ in the basis $\mathcal{W}(e_Z)$ are denoted by $f[\text{word}]$:

$$f = \sum_{w\in\mathcal{W}(e_Z)} f[w]w \tag{2.2.2}$$

Proposition 2.2.3. The dual $(\mathcal{O}^{ш,e_Z})^\vee$ of $\mathcal{O}^{ш,e_Z}$ is, $\mathbb{Q}\langle\langle e_Z\rangle\rangle$, the completion of the universal enveloping algebra of Lie $\mathcal{O}^{ш,e_Z}$. The group of rational points $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}^{ш,e_Z})(\mathbb{Q})$ consists thus of the grouplike elements of $(\mathcal{O}^{ш,e_Z})^\vee$ and is :

$$\{f \in \mathbb{Q}\langle\langle e_Z\rangle\rangle \mid \forall w,w' \in \mathcal{W}(e_Z), f[w \text{ ш } w'] = f[w]f[w'], \text{ and } f[\emptyset]=1\} \tag{2.2.3}$$

and the Lie algebra of $(\mathcal{O}^{ш,e_Z})^\vee$ consists thus of the primitive elements of $(\mathcal{O}^{ш,e_Z})^\vee$ and is :

$$\{f \in \mathbb{Q}\langle\langle e_Z\rangle\rangle \mid \forall w,w' \in \mathcal{W}(e_Z), f[w \text{ ш } w'] = 0\} \tag{2.2.4}$$

Since these remain true after any base change, one obtains in particular a description of the functor of points of $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}^{ш,e_Z})$.

This follows from general properties of pro-unipotent group schemes that are reviewed, for example, in [U1], §4. One says that the elements f in (2.2.3) satisfy the shuffle equation, and that the elements f in (2.2.4) satisfy the shuffle equation modulo products.

Proposition-Definition 2.2.4. On the bundle $(\pi_1^{\mathrm{un,DR}}(Y;z,\vec{1}_0))_z$, of paths starting at the tangential base-point $\vec{1}_0$, trivialized at $\vec{1}_0$, the canonical connection of the De Rham fundamental groupoid is

$$\nabla_{\mathrm{KZ}} : f \mapsto f^{-1}\big(df - e_0 f\tfrac{dz}{z} - \textstyle\sum_{i=1}^r e_{z_i} f \tfrac{dz}{z-z_i}\big)$$

It is called the Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov (or KZ) connexion and is denoted by ∇_{KZ}. Its horizontal sections are called hyperlogarithms or multiple polylogarithms.

This follows again from the general description of the De Rham pro-unipotent fundamental groupoid of [D], §12.

Notation 2.2.5. For each z_i, we will denote by $\omega_{z_i} = \frac{dz}{z-z_i} \in \Omega^1(Y)$.

Definition 2.2.6. Following [DG], §5, let τ be the action of $\mathbb{G}_m(K)$ on $K\langle\langle e_Z\rangle\rangle$, that maps $(\lambda, f) \in \mathbb{G}_m(K) \times K\langle\langle e_Z\rangle\rangle$ to $\sum_{w\in\mathcal{W}(e_Z)} \lambda^{\mathrm{weight}(w)} f[w]w$, where f is written as in equation (2.2.2).

2.2.2. *The action of Frobenius on* $\pi_1^{\mathrm{un,DR}}\big(\mathbb{P}^1 - (\{0,\infty\}\cup\mu_N)\big)$. Let us come back to the context of the introduction, and consider again the variety $X = \mathbb{P}^1 - (\{0,\infty\}\cup\mu_N)$ over $R = W(\mathbb{F}_q)$, and denote by $K = \mathrm{Frac}(R)$ and $Z = \{0,\infty\}\cup\mu_N(R)$. We describe the Frobenius structure introduced in §2.1.2. It will be crucial for certain purposes (see I-3 and II) to consider all powers of Frobenius ; we thus fix $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^*$, for the whole of I-1 and I-2, and we will consider either F_*^α or $\tau(p^\alpha)\phi^\alpha$; this gives two variants of p-adic hyperlogarithms and p-adic cyclotomic multiple zeta values, that are essentially equivalent to each other (applying $\tau(p^\alpha)$ in the second situation ensures that the bounds of valuations in Appendix to Theorem I-1 will be the same for both conventions).

The following objects are the main object of study in this I-1. In the case of $\mathbb{P}^1 - \{0,1,\infty\}$, a certain form of them appears briefly in [D], §19.6. They also appear in [U1], [U2] and [Y]. If U^{an} is an affinoid rigid analytic space over K, subspace of $\mathbb{P}^{1,\mathrm{an}}/K$, let $\mathfrak{A}(U^{\mathrm{an}})$ resp. $\mathfrak{A}^\dagger(U^{\mathrm{an}})$ be the K-algebra of rigid analytic functions resp. overconvergent rigid analytic functions over it. We take, as in Proposition 2.2.4, $\vec{1}_0$ as the origin of the paths of integration, and we view again the bundle of De Rham paths starting at $\vec{1}_0$ as trivialized at $\vec{1}_0$.

Definition 2.2.7. Let U be an open affine subset of $\mathbb{P}^1/R$, and U^{an} be the rigid analytic space over K equal to the generic fiber of the formal completion of $\mathbb{P}^1/R$ along the reduction of U. We denote by $\mathcal{F}$ a good lift of Frobenius to U^{an}.
Let $\text{Li}^{\dagger}_{p,\alpha}$, resp. $\text{Li}^{\dagger}_{p,-\alpha} \in \pi_1^{\text{un,DR}}(X_K, \vec{1}_0)(\mathfrak{A}^{\dagger}(U^{\text{an}}))$, be the map $z \mapsto (p^{\alpha})^{\text{weight}} \mathcal{F}_*^{-\alpha}({}_z 1_{\vec{1}_0})$, resp. $z \mapsto \mathcal{F}_*^{\alpha}({}_z 1_{\vec{1}_0})$. These are called overconvergent p-adic hyperlogarithms.

We will specifiy the most convenient choice of U in §3.1.1. Let us now describe with more details the restriction of the Frobenius structure of §2.1.2 to tangential base-points. In the p-adic setting, when both extremities of the canonical De Rham path under consideration are tangential base-points, it is usual to take both of them of the form $\vec{1}_z$. Indeed, the elevation to the p-th power sends $\vec{1}_z$ to $\vec{1}_{z^p}$. In general, given that an iterated integral between tangential base-points $\vec{v}_x$ and $\vec{w}_y$ is a polynomial of $\log(v)$ and $\log(w)$, and taking tangential base-points of the form $\pm\vec{1}$ - or roots of unity - avoids to complexify the motivic setting behing these periods : for example, in the case of $\mathbb{P}^1 - \{0, 1, \infty\}$, the choice of tangential base-points of the form $\pm\vec{1}$ ensures that the associated periods are periods of mixed Tate motives that are unramified over the whole of $\mathbb{Z}$. In the Betti-De Rham case, replacing $\vec{1}_z$ by a $\vec{\eta}_z$, where η is a root of unity of order $N' \in \mathbb{N}^*$, multiplies the iterated integral by another one with coefficients in $\mathbb{Q}[e^{\frac{2\pi i}{N'}}]$. In the p-adic setting, it does not change the iterated integral.
The definition of the shuffle Hopf algebras over e_Z of Proposition-Definition 2.2.1 remains valid for any alphabet, and by Proposition 2.2.2, we have :

Corollary 2.2.8. $\mathcal{O}\big(\pi_1^{\text{un,DR}}(X_K, \omega_{\text{DR}}(X_K))\big)$, resp. $\mathcal{O}\big(\pi_1^{\text{un,DR}}(X_K^{(p^\alpha)}, \omega_{\text{DR}}(X_K^{(p^\alpha)}))\big)$ is the shuffle Hopf algebra over the alphabet $\{e_0, e_{z_1}, \ldots, e_{z_N}\}$ resp. $\{e_0, e_{z_1^{(p^\alpha)}}, \ldots, e_{z_N^{(p^\alpha)}}\}$. We denote by $w \mapsto w^{(p^\alpha)}$ the isomorphism between them that sends $e_0 \mapsto e_0$ and $e_{z_i} \mapsto e_{z_i^{(p^\alpha)}}$, $i \in \{1, \ldots, N\}$.

The notation Φ below is originated in the notation for associators in [Dr].

Definition 2.2.9. We denote by $z_{N+1} = \infty$; let $i \in \{1, \ldots, N+1\}$.
i) The generating series of cyclotomic p-adic multiple zeta values are

$$\Phi_{p,\alpha}^{(z_i)} = \tau(p^\alpha)\phi^\alpha({}_{\vec{1}_{z_i^{p^\alpha}}} 1_{\vec{1}_0}) \in \pi_1^{\text{un,DR}}(X_K, \vec{1}_{z_i}, \vec{1}_0)(K)$$

$$\Phi_{p,-\alpha}^{(z_i^{p^\alpha})} = F_*^{\alpha}({}_{\vec{1}_{z_i}} 1_{\vec{1}_0}) \in \pi_1^{\text{un,DR}}(X_K^{(p^\alpha)}, \vec{1}_{z_i^{p^\alpha}}, \vec{1}_0)(K)$$

ii) The cyclotomic p-adic multiple zeta values (if $N = 1$, p-adic multiple zeta values) are the following elements of K : for $w = e_0^{s_d - 1} e_{z_{i_d}} \ldots e_0^{s_1 - 1} e_{z_{i_1}} = \left(\begin{array}{c} z_{i_d}, \ldots, z_{i_1} \\ s_d, \ldots, s_1 \end{array} \right)$, with $d \in \mathbb{N}^*$, and $s_1, \ldots, s_d \in \mathbb{N}^*$, and $i_1, \ldots, i_d \in \{1, \ldots, N\}$,

$$\zeta_{p,\alpha}^{(z_i)}(w) = \Phi_{p,\alpha}^{(z)}[w]$$
$$\zeta_{p,-\alpha}^{(z_i^{p^\alpha})}(w^{(p^\alpha)}) = \Phi_{p,-\alpha}^{(z_i^{p^\alpha})}[w^{(p^\alpha)}]$$

In the case of $\mathbb{P}^1 - \{0, 1, \infty\}$, this definition (written in the case of $(p, 1)$) has been provided by Deligne in Arizona Winter School, 2002 (unpublished) and Deligne and Goncharov in [DG] §5.28. In the more general case of roots of unity (written in the case of $(p, -1)$), it has been provided by Unver [U2]. We take the convention that the exponent ${}^{(z)}$ resp. ${}^{(z^{p^\alpha})}$ can be omitted when it is equal to ${}^{(1)}$; and that the index $\pm\alpha$ can be omitted when it is equal to $+1$: in particular we have a canonical version of cyclotomic p-adic multiple zeta values : $\Phi_p = \Phi_{p,1}^{(1)}$ and $\zeta_p = \zeta_{p,1}^{(1)}$. The variants with ${}^{(\infty)}$ have different algebraic properties from the other ones and the terminology cyclotomic p-adic multiple zeta values is slightly abusive for them ; for convenience we employ here nevertheless the same notation. The close relation between all the

variants will be explained in §5.
We now recall how the cyclotomic p-adic multiple zeta values give a description of the whole of the Frobenius action on $\pi_1^{\mathrm{un,DR}}(X_K)$ restricted to these tangential base points ; see also [DG] §5, [U1] and [U2]. With our convention of reading the direction of paths and the groupoid multiplication in $\pi_1^{\mathrm{un,DR}}(X_K)$ from the right to the left, $\mathrm{Ad}_f(e)$ means $f^{-1}ef$.

Proposition 2.2.10. We denote by $z_0 = 0$, and $z_{N+1} = \infty$.
i) For each $i \in \{0, \dots, N+1\}$, the map

$$F_*^{\alpha} : \mathrm{Lie}(\pi_1^{\mathrm{un,DR}}(X_K, \vec{1}_{z_i})) \mapsto \mathrm{Lie}(\pi_1^{\mathrm{un,DR}}(X_K^{(p^\alpha)}, \vec{1}_{z_i^{(p^\alpha)}}))$$

sends

$$e_{z_i} \mapsto p^{\alpha} e_{z_i^{(p^\alpha)}}$$

ii) The action of Frobenius on $\mathrm{Lie}(\pi_1^{\mathrm{un,DR}}(X_K, \vec{1}_0))$ is given by :

$$\begin{aligned} F_*^{\alpha} : \mathrm{Lie}(\pi_1^{\mathrm{un,DR}}(X_K, \vec{1}_0)) &\mapsto \mathrm{Lie}(\pi_1^{\mathrm{un,DR}}(X_K^{(p^\alpha)}, \vec{1}_0)) \\ e_0 &\mapsto p^{\alpha} e_0 \\ e_{z_i} &\mapsto p^{\alpha}\, \mathrm{Ad}_{\Phi_{p,-\alpha}^{(z_i^{p^\alpha})}}(e_{z_i^{(p^\alpha)}}), \quad i \in \{1, \dots, N+1\} \end{aligned}$$

and thus

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(p^{\alpha})\phi^{\alpha} : \mathrm{Lie}(\pi_1^{\mathrm{un,DR}}(X_K^{(p^\alpha)}, \vec{1}_0)) &\mapsto \mathrm{Lie}(\pi_1^{\mathrm{un,DR}}(X_K, \vec{1}_0)) \\ e_0 &\mapsto e_0 \\ e_{z_i^{(p^\alpha)}} &\mapsto \mathrm{Ad}_{\Phi_{p,\alpha}^{(z_i)}}(e_{z_i}), \quad i \in \{1, \dots, N+1\} \end{aligned}$$

Proof. i) follows from the compatibility between the Frobenius and the tangential base-point functor. ii) follows from i), and the compatiblity between the Frobenius and the groupoid structure of $\pi_1^{\mathrm{un,DR}}(X_K)$, given the definition of $\Phi_{p,-\alpha}^{(z_i^{p^\alpha})}$ and $\Phi_{p,\alpha}^{(z)}$, and given that $e_{z_i} \in \mathrm{Lie}(\pi_1^{\mathrm{un,DR}}(X_K, \vec{1}_0))$ is the conjugation of $e_{z_i} \in \mathrm{Lie}(\pi_1^{\mathrm{un,DR}}(X_K, \vec{1}_{z_i}))$ by the canonical De Rham path ${}_{\vec{1}_{z_i}}1_{\vec{1}_0}$. ☐

As a consequence, the generating series of cyclotomic p-adic multiple zeta values are subject to the following algebraic relation :

Corollary 2.2.11. We have :

$$e_0 + \textstyle\sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathrm{Ad}_{\Phi_{p,-\alpha}^{(z_i^{p^\alpha})}}(e_{z_i}) + \mathrm{Ad}_{\Phi_{p,-\alpha}^{(\infty)}}(e_{\infty}) = 0$$

$$e_0 + \textstyle\sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathrm{Ad}_{\Phi_{p,\alpha}^{(z_i)}}(e_{z_i}) + \mathrm{Ad}_{\Phi_{p,\alpha}^{(\infty)}}(e_{\infty}) = 0$$

Proof. We apply $\tau(p^{\alpha})\phi^{\alpha}$, resp. F_*^{α} to the equation (2.2.1) of the vanishing of the sum of residues, and write the result by means of Proposition 2.2.10. ☐

For an explicit statement of the theorem of De Rham-rigid comparison of the pro-unipotent fundamental groupoid in the case of $\mathbb{P}^1 - \{0, 1, \infty\}$, one can see [F2], lemma 2.2.

2.2.3. *The Frobenius-invariant paths on* $\pi_1^{\mathrm{un,DR}}\big(\mathbb{P}^1 - (\{0,\infty\} \cup \mu_N)\big)$. Let us now describe explicitly the objects introduced in §2.1.3. They will be used only as a tool in I-1 and I-2, but will be studied intrinsically in I-3. We fix a branch $\log_p$ of the p-adic logarithm.

Definition 2.2.12. Let $\mathrm{Li}_{p,X_K}^{\mathrm{KZ}}$ resp. $\mathrm{Li}_{p,X_K^{(p^\alpha)}}^{\mathrm{KZ}}$ be the unique Coleman function on X_K, resp. $X_K^{(p^\alpha)}$, that is a horizontal section of ∇_{KZ} and satisfies the asymptotics $\mathrm{Li}_{p,X_K}^{\mathrm{KZ}}(z) \underset{z \rightarrow 0}{\sim} e^{e_0 \log_p(z)}$, resp. $\mathrm{Li}_{p,X_K^{(p^\alpha)}}^{\mathrm{KZ}}(z) \underset{z \rightarrow 0}{\sim} e^{e_0 \log_p(z)}$.

This definition is due to Furusho in the case of $\mathbb{P}^1 - \{0,1,\infty\}$ [F1], and to Yamashita in the case of $\mathbb{P}^1 - (\{0,\infty\} \cup \mu_N)$ [Y]. In depth one and for $\mathbb{P}^1 - \{0,1,\infty\}$, these were defined by Coleman in [Co]. Their expression in terms of Frobenius-invariant paths can be found in [F2].
They are related to multiple harmonic sums of equation (1.6) as follows :

Lemma 2.2.13. For $z \in]0[$, and for each word $w = e_0^{s_d-1} e_{z_{i_d}} \dots e_0^{s_1-1} e_{z_{i_1}}$, with $i_d, \dots, i_1 \in \{1,\dots,N\}$, $s_d,\dots,s_1 \in \mathbb{N}^*$, we have

$$\mathrm{Li}^{\mathrm{KZ}}_{p,X_K}[w](z) = (-1)^d \sum\nolimits_{0<n_1<\dots<n_d} \frac{\left(\frac{z_{i_2}}{z_{i_1}}\right)^{n_1} \dots \left(\frac{z_{i_d}}{z_{i_{d-1}}}\right)^{n_{d-1}} \left(\frac{z}{z_{i_d}}\right)^{n_d}}{n_1^{s_1} \dots n_d^{s_d}}$$

$$\mathrm{Li}^{\mathrm{KZ}}_{p,X_K^{(p^\alpha)}}[w^{(p^\alpha)}](z) = (-1)^d \sum\nolimits_{0<n_1<\dots<n_d} \frac{\left(\frac{z_{i_2}^{p^\alpha}}{z_{i_1}^{p^\alpha}}\right)^{n_1} \dots \left(\frac{z_{i_d}^{p^\alpha}}{z_{i_{d-1}}^{p^\alpha}}\right)^{n_{d-1}} \left(\frac{z}{z_{i_d}^{p^\alpha}}\right)^{n_d}}{n_1^{s_1} \dots n_d^{s_d}}$$

3. REFORMULATION OF THE FROBENIUS STRUCTURE AS AN EXPLICIT REGULARIZATION OF HYPERLOGARITHMS

We write explicitly in §3.1 the equation of horizontality of Frobenius. By the unipotence of the equation, we reformulate it in §3.2 as the existence of an embedding of the $\mathbb{Q}$-vector space of functions $\mathrm{Li}^\dagger_{p,\alpha}[w]$ resp. $\mathrm{Li}^\dagger_{p,-\alpha}[w]$ into the larger one generated by iterated integrals of the differential forms ω_0 and ω_{z_i}, $\omega_{z_i^{(p^\alpha)}}$, $i \in \{1,\dots,N\}$, multiplied by numbers $\Phi^{(z_i)}_{p,\alpha}[w']$, $i \in \{1,\dots,N\}$, resp. $\Phi^{(z_i^{p^\alpha})}_{p,-\alpha}[w']$, $i \in \{1,\dots,N\}$; this defines a map of "regularization by Frobenius" of iterated integrals. We give several explicit inductive formulas for this map in §3.3. Its compatibility with the depth filtration is established in §3.4.

3.1. **The equation of horizontality of Frobenius.**

3.1.1. *Rigid analytic setting.* According to [D], §11.9, and as reviewed in §1.1.3 of the present text, in order to write the equation of horizontality of F_*, we have to consider an affine open covering $(U_i)_i$ of $\mathbb{P}^1/\mathbb{F}_q$, then for each U_i, the formal completion of $\mathbb{P}^1/R$ along U_i, and the generic fiber of this formal scheme, equipped with a good lift of Frobenius. A privileged open subset of $\mathbb{P}^1/\mathbb{F}_q$ is $\mathbb{P}^1 - \cup_{z \in Z-\{0,\infty\}}\{z\}$: it is equipped with the simplest possible lift of Frobenius, $z \mapsto z^p$. The horizontality equation is written on this space by Deligne in [D], §19.6, Ünver in [U1], Furusho in [F2], in the case of $\mathbb{P}^1 - \{0,1,\infty\}$, and by Ünver in [U2] and Yamashita [Y] in the case of $\mathbb{P}^1 - (\{0,\infty\} \cup \mu_N)$. Even though $(\mathbb{P}^1 - \cup_{z \in Z-\{0,\infty\}}\{z\})/\mathbb{F}_q$ alone does not cover $\mathbb{P}^1/\mathbb{F}_q$, considering this open subset is enough to compute the maps $\mathrm{Li}^\dagger_{p,\alpha}$ and $\mathrm{Li}^\dagger_{p,-\alpha}$; this will follow from §5. Let us thus denote by :

Definition 3.1.1. Let $U^{\mathrm{an}}_{0\infty}$ be the rigid analytic space $\mathbb{P}^{1,\mathrm{an}} - \cup_{i \in \{1,\dots,N\}}]z_i[$ over K.

We follow the common choice of $\vec{1}_0$ as the origin of the paths of integration. We obtain the following notion of overconvergent p-adic hyperlogarithms :

Definition 3.1.2. Let $\mathrm{Li}^\dagger_{p,\alpha} \in \mathfrak{A}^\dagger(U^{\mathrm{an}}_{0\infty})$ be the map $z \mapsto \tau(p^\alpha)\phi^\alpha({}_z1_{\vec{1}_0})$, and let $\mathrm{Li}^\dagger_{p,-\alpha} \in \mathfrak{A}^\dagger(U^{\mathrm{an}}_{0\infty})$ be the map $z \mapsto F_*^\alpha({}_z1_{\vec{1}_0})$, where we still denote by F_* the lift of Frobenius on Y and $\phi = F_*^{-1}$.

In the case of $\mathbb{P}^1 - \{0,1,\infty\}$, the space $U^{\mathrm{an}}_{0\infty}$ is equal to $\mathbb{P}^{1,an} -]1[$, and there exists another natural choice of rigid analytic space, namely $\mathbb{P}^{1,an} -]\infty[$; it is nothing else than the analytic affine line $\mathbb{Z}_p^{\mathrm{an}}$. It is equipped with the lift of Frobenius $z \mapsto \frac{z^p}{z^p-(z-1)^p}$, i.e. the conjugation of $z \mapsto z^p$ by $z \mapsto \frac{z}{z-1}$, unique homography that exchanges 1 and ∞ and preserves 0. This formula for the Frobenius, which is less simple than $z \mapsto z^p$, makes some parts of the computations more complicated ; on the other hand, the value at 1 of an overconvergent power series $\sum_{n\geq 0} a_n z^n$ over $\mathbb{Z}_p$ is simply given by $\sum_{n\geq 0} a_n$; whereas, working with $U^{\mathrm{an}}_{0\infty}$, we will have to use instead an ad hoc formula for the value at ∞ of a rigid analytic function of $U^{\mathrm{an}}_{0\infty}$ found by Ünver, that we will reprove differently using work of Mähler (§4.1).

3.1.2. *The equation of horizontality of Frobenius.* In the setting of the previous paragraph, and denoting by $\pi_1^{\mathrm{un,DR}}(X_K, \vec{1}_0) \times U^{\mathrm{an}}_{0\infty}$ the trivialization at $\vec{1}_0$ of the bundle of De Rham paths starting at $\vec{1}_0$ over $U^{\mathrm{an}}_{0\infty}$, the horizontality of F_* amounts to the following.

Proposition 3.1.3. The following diagram is commutative :
(3.1.1)

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\pi_1^{\mathrm{un,DR}}(X_K, \vec{1}_0) \times U^{\mathrm{an}}_{0\infty} \arrow[r, "F_*"] \arrow[d, "\nabla_{\mathrm{KZ}}(X_K)"] & F^*(\pi_1^{\mathrm{un,DR}}(X_K^{(p)}, \vec{1}_0) \times U^{\mathrm{an}}_{0\infty}) \arrow[d, "F^*(\nabla_{\mathrm{KZ}}(X_K^{(p)}))"] \\
\mathrm{Lie}\, \pi_1^{\mathrm{un,DR}}(X_K, \vec{1}_0) \otimes \Omega^1(U^{\mathrm{an}}_{0\infty}) \arrow[r, "\mathrm{Lie}\, F_* \otimes \mathrm{id}"] & F^*(\mathrm{Lie}(\pi_1^{\mathrm{un,DR}}(X_K^{(p)}, \vec{1}_0) \otimes \Omega^1(U^{\mathrm{an}}_{0\infty}))
\end{tikzcd}$$

Proof. This follows directly from the definitions. See also [U1], §5.1. □

The first possible formulation of the equation of horizontality of Frobenius is as a differential equation satisfied by $\mathrm{Li}^{\dagger}_{p,\alpha}$, which is a restatement of the proposition above applied to the canonical De Rham paths. We recall that we denote by $z_0 = 0$, and by $\omega_{z_i} = \frac{dz}{z-z_i} \in \Omega^1(U^{\mathrm{an}}_{0\infty})$ for $i \in \{1, \ldots, N\}$, and $\omega_{z_0} = \frac{dz}{z} \in \Omega^1(U^{\mathrm{an}}_{0\infty} -]0[)$.

Proposition 3.1.4. equation of horizontality of Frobenius, first formulation.
We have :

$$d\,\mathrm{Li}^{\dagger}_{p,\alpha} = \left(\textstyle\sum_{i=0}^{N} p^{\alpha} \omega_{z_i}(z) e_{z_i} \right) \mathrm{Li}^{\dagger}_{p,\alpha} - \mathrm{Li}^{\dagger}_{p,\alpha} \left(\textstyle\sum_{i=0}^{N} \omega_{z_i^{p^{\alpha}}}(z^{p^{\alpha}})\, \mathrm{Ad}_{\Phi^{(z_i)}_{p,\alpha}}(e_{z_i}) \right)$$

$$d\,\mathrm{Li}^{\dagger}_{p,-\alpha} = \left(\textstyle\sum_{i=0}^{N} \omega_{z_i^{(p^{\alpha})}}(z^{p^{\alpha}}) e_{z_i^{(p^{\alpha})}} \right) \mathrm{Li}^{\dagger}_{p,-\alpha} - \mathrm{Li}^{\dagger}_{p,-\alpha} \left(\textstyle\sum_{i=0}^{N} p^{\alpha} \omega_{z_i}(z)\, \mathrm{Ad}_{\Phi^{(z_i^{p^{\alpha}})}_{p,-\alpha}}(e_{z_i^{(p^{\alpha})}}) \right)$$

Proof. We only need to prove the formula for, say, $d\,\mathrm{Li}_{p,-\alpha}$. By the formulas for ∇_{KZ} (§2.2.1) and for F_* (§2.2.2), applying successively $\nabla_{\mathrm{KZ}}(X_K)$ then $\mathrm{Lie}\, F_*^{\alpha} \otimes \mathrm{id}$ to the canonical section ${}_z1_{\vec{1}_0}$ gives, successively, $-\sum_{i=0}^{N} \omega_{z_i}(z) e_{z_i}$ then

$$-\sum_{i=0}^{N} p^{\alpha} \omega_{z_i}(z)\, \mathrm{Ad}_{\Phi^{z_i^{p^{\alpha}}}_{p,-\alpha}}(e_{z_i^{(p^{\alpha})}}) \tag{3.1.2}$$

On the other hand, applying successively F_*^{α} then $(F^{\alpha})^*(\nabla_{\mathrm{KZ}}(X_K^{(p)}))$ to the same canonical section gives, successively, $\mathrm{Li}^{\dagger}_{p,-\alpha}$ then

$$(\mathrm{Li}^{\dagger}_{p,-\alpha})^{-1} \left(d\,\mathrm{Li}^{\dagger}_{p,-\alpha} - \sum_{i=0}^{N} \omega_{z_i^{p^{\alpha}}}(z^{p^{\alpha}}) e_{z_i^{(p^{\alpha})}} \mathrm{Li}^{\dagger}_{p,-\alpha} \right) \tag{3.1.3}$$

The equality of (3.1.2) and (3.1.3) is the desired statement. □

The second possible formulation of the equation of horizontality is as an expression of $\mathrm{Li}^{\dagger}_{p,\alpha}$ in terms of the Coleman p-adic hyperlogarithms $\mathrm{Li}_p^{\mathrm{KZ}}$, and cyclotomic p-adic multiple zeta values.

Proposition 3.1.5. equation of horizontality of Frobenius, second formulation. We have

$$\text{(3.1.4)}\quad \mathrm{Li}^{\dagger}_{p,\alpha}(z) = \mathrm{Li}^{\mathrm{KZ}}_{p,X_K}(z)(p^{\alpha}e_0, p^{\alpha}e_{z_1}, \ldots, p^{\alpha}e_{z_N}) \ \times$$
$$\mathrm{Li}^{\mathrm{KZ}}_{p,X_K^{(p^{\alpha})}}(z^{p^{\alpha}})\big(e_0, \mathrm{Ad}_{\Phi^{(z_1)}_{p,\alpha}}(e_{z_1}), \ldots, \mathrm{Ad}_{\Phi^{(z_N)}_{p,\alpha}}(e_{z_N})\big)^{-1}$$

$$\text{(3.1.5)}\quad \mathrm{Li}^{\dagger}_{p,-\alpha}(z) = \mathrm{Li}^{\mathrm{KZ}}_{p,X_K^{(p^{\alpha})}}(z^{p^{\alpha}})(e_0, e_{z_1^{(p^{\alpha})}}, \ldots, e_{z_N^{(p^{\alpha})}}) \ \times$$
$$\mathrm{Li}^{\mathrm{KZ}}_{p,X_K}(z)\big(p^{\alpha}e_0, p^{\alpha}\,\mathrm{Ad}_{\Phi_{p,-\alpha}^{(z_1^{p^{\alpha}})}}(e_{z_1^{(p^{\alpha})}}), \ldots, p^{\alpha}\,\mathrm{Ad}_{\Phi_{p,-\alpha}^{(z_N^{p^{\alpha}})}}(e_{z_N^{(p^{\alpha})}})\big)^{-1}$$

Proof. This is equivalent to Proposition 3.1.4 via the Definition 2.2.12 of the maps $\mathrm{Li}^{\mathrm{KZ}}_{p,X_Z}$ and $\mathrm{Li}^{\mathrm{KZ}}_{p,X_Z^{(p^{\alpha})}}$ as horizontal sections of ∇_{KZ}. ☐

In the literature, the equation of horizontality is formulated in the way of Proposition 3.1.4, with $_{(p,-1)}$, in [D], §19.6 and [U1] for $\mathbb{P}^1 - \{0,1,\infty\}$ and in [U2] and [Y] for $\mathbb{P}^1 - (\{0,\infty\} \cup \mu_N)$; it is formulated in the way of Proposition 3.1.5, with $_{(p,1)}$ for $\mathbb{P}^1 - \{0,1,\infty\}$ in [F2].

Remark 3.1.6. There are other natural ways to index hyperlogarithms (see for example [D], §19.6). One can for example replace the p-adic hyperlogarithms by their adjoint action on $\mathrm{Lie}(\pi_1^{\mathrm{un,DR}}(X_K, \vec{1}_0))$. The usual KZ equation for $\mathrm{Li}_p^{\mathrm{KZ}}$ then amounts to : for all $u \in \mathrm{Lie}(\pi_1^{\mathrm{un,DR}}(X_K, \vec{1}_0))$,

$$d\,\mathrm{Ad}_{\mathrm{Li}^{\mathrm{KZ}}_{p,X_K}}(u) = \sum_{i=0}^{N} \omega_{z_i}\,\mathrm{Ad}_{\mathrm{Li}^{\mathrm{KZ}}_{p,X_K}}([u, e_{z_i}])$$

and similarly on $X_K^{(p^{\alpha})}$. The equation of horizontality of Frobenius as in Proposition 3.1.4 amounts to : for all $u \in \mathrm{Lie}(\pi_1^{\mathrm{un,DR}}(X_K, \vec{1}_0))$,

$$d\,\mathrm{Ad}_{\mathrm{Li}^{\dagger}_{p,-\alpha}}(u) = \big[\textstyle\sum_{i=0}^{N} \omega_{z_i^{p^{\alpha}}}(z_i^{p^{\alpha}})e_{z_i^{p^{\alpha}}}, \mathrm{Ad}_{\mathrm{Li}^{\dagger}_{p,-\alpha}}(u)\big] + \mathrm{Ad}_{\mathrm{Li}^{\dagger}_{p,-\alpha}}\big(\big[u, \textstyle\sum_{i=0}^{N} \omega_{z_i}\,\mathrm{Ad}_{\Phi_{p,\alpha}^{z_i^{p^{\alpha}}}}(e_{z_i^{p^{\alpha}}})\big]\big)$$

and an analogous formula for $\mathrm{Li}^{\dagger}_{p,\alpha}$.

3.2. **The map of regularization by Frobenius.** By its unipotence, the equation of horizontality can be inverted into an expression of each $\mathrm{Li}^{\dagger}_{p,\epsilon\alpha}$ as an iterated integral. Precisely, for each word w on e_Z, by Proposition 3.1.4, $d\,\mathrm{Li}^{\dagger}_{p,\epsilon\alpha}[w]$ is of the form

$$\text{(3.2.1)}\quad \frac{dz}{z}\,\mathrm{Li}^{\dagger}_{p,\epsilon\alpha}[w'] + \sum_{i=1}^{N}\sum_{j} \frac{dz}{z - z_i}\Phi^{(z_i)}_{p,\epsilon\alpha}[u_{i,j}]\,\mathrm{Li}^{\dagger}_{p,\epsilon\alpha}[v_{i,j}] + \sum_{i=1}^{N}\sum_{j'} \frac{dz}{z - z_i^{p^{\alpha}}}\Phi^{(z_i)}_{p,\epsilon\alpha}[u'_{i,j'}]\,\mathrm{Li}^{\dagger}_{p,\epsilon\alpha}[v'_{i,j'}]$$

where $w', u_{i,j}, u'_{i,j'}, v_{i,j}, v'_{i,j'} \in \mathcal{O}^{\text{ш},e_Z}$ have a weight strictly smaller than the one of w, and where u_i, u'_i, v_i, v'_i are $\mathbb{Q}$-linear combinations of words of weight strictly smaller than the one of w. By induction on the weight, one obtains immediately the following : each rigid analytic function $\mathrm{Li}^{\dagger}_{p,\alpha}[w]$ resp. $\mathrm{Li}^{\dagger}_{p,-\alpha}[w]$ on $U_{0\infty}^{\mathrm{an}}$ is a K-linear combination of iterated integrals

$$z \mapsto \textstyle\int_0^z(\text{ words on } p^{\alpha}\omega_0, p^{\alpha}\omega_{z_1}, \ldots, p^{\alpha}\omega_{z_N}, \omega_{z_1^{p^{\alpha}}}(z^{p^{\alpha}}), \ldots, \omega_{z_N^{p^{\alpha}}}(z^{p^{\alpha}}))$$

where the coefficients in K are themselves $\mathbb{Z}$-linear combinations of coefficients of $\Phi^{(z_i)}_{p,\alpha}$, resp. $\Phi^{(z_i^{p^{\alpha}})}_{p,-\alpha}$, $i \in \{1, \ldots, N\}$, of weight strictly smaller than the weight of w. We are going to express this formally.

Definition 3.2.1. i) Let $e_{Z^{(p^\alpha)}}$, be the alphabet $\{e_0, e_{z_1^{(p^\alpha)}}, \ldots, e_{z_N^{(p^\alpha)}}\}$.
ii) Let $e_{Z \cup Z^{(p^\alpha)}}$ be the alphabet $\{e_0, e_{z_1}, \ldots, e_{z_N}, e_{z_1^{(p^\alpha)}}, \ldots, e_{z_N^{(p^\alpha)}}\}$. We identify it to the set $\{p^\alpha \omega_0(z), p^\alpha \omega_{z_1}(z), \ldots, p^\alpha \omega_{z_N}(z), \omega_{z_1^{p^\alpha}}(z^{p^\alpha}), \ldots, \omega_{z_N^{p^\alpha}}(z^{p^\alpha})\}$ by $e_0 \mapsto p^\alpha \omega_0(z)$, $e_{z_i} \mapsto p^\alpha \omega_{z_i}(z)$, $e_{z_i^{(p^\alpha)}} \mapsto \omega_{z_i^{p^\alpha}}(z^{p^\alpha})$, $i \in \{1, \ldots, N\}$.
iii) For any alphabet $\mathfrak{e}$ and any ring A, let $A\langle \mathfrak{e} \rangle$ be the A-algebra freely generated by words over $\mathfrak{e}$, including the empty word, with multiplication defined by the concatenation of words.
iv) If $\mathfrak{e}$ is e_Z, $e_{Z^{p^\alpha}}$ or $e_{Z \cup Z^{p^\alpha}}$, let $A\langle \mathfrak{e} \rangle^{\mathrm{conv}}$ be the subalgebra generated by $\ker(\tilde{\partial}_{e_0})$, where $\tilde{\partial}_{e_0} : A\langle \mathfrak{e} \rangle \to A\langle \mathfrak{e} \rangle$ is the linear map that sends a word of the form $e_{u_b} \ldots e_{u_1} e_0$ to $e_{i_b} \ldots e_{i_1}$ and all other words to 0.

Definition 3.2.2. Let

$$\int : \mathbb{Q}\langle e_{Z \cup Z^{(p^\alpha)}} \rangle^{\mathrm{conv}} \to \mathfrak{A}(]0[)$$

be the linear map that associates to a word w the analytic iterated integral "$\int_0^z w$" on $]0[$, namely : for $w = e_0^{s_d - 1} e_{y_d^{u_d}} \ldots e_0^{s_1 - 1} e_{y_1^{u_1}}$, with $s_d, \ldots, s_1 \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $y_1, \ldots, y_d \in \{z_1, \ldots, z_N\}$, $u_1, \ldots, u_d \in \{1, (p^\alpha)\}$,

$$\int w : \ z \in]0[\mapsto \sum_{\substack{0 = n_0 < n_1 < \ldots < n_d \\ \forall i \in E_w,\ n_{i-1} \equiv n_i [p^\alpha]}} \frac{(\frac{y_2}{y_1})^{n_1} \ldots (\frac{z}{y_d})^{n_d}}{n_1^{s_1} \ldots n_d^{s_d}} \tag{3.2.2}$$

where E_w is the subset of $\{1, \ldots, d\}$ made of the i's such that $u_i = (p^\alpha)$.

The resolution of the horizontality equation evoked in the beginning of 3.2 can now be restated formally via the existence of a map of "regularization" (by Frobenius) of iterated integrals. Below, we view $\mathrm{Li}_{p,\alpha}^\dagger$ as a linear function $\mathbb{Z}\langle e_Z \rangle \to \mathfrak{A}(U^{\mathrm{an}})$, and $\mathrm{Ad}_{\Phi_{p,-\alpha}^{(z_i^{p^\alpha})}}(e_{z_i^{p^\alpha}})$ as linear functions $\mathbb{Z}\langle e_{Z^{(p^\alpha)}} \rangle \to K$, and $\mathrm{Ad}_{\Phi_{p,\alpha}^{(z_i)}}(e_{z_i})$ as linear functions $\mathbb{Z}\langle e_Z \rangle \to K$. Let us denote tensor algebras by T , and by mult_K the multiplication of all tensors components of any tensor product of algebras.

Proposition-Definition 3.2.3. There exist well-defined $\mathbb{Z}$-linear maps

$$\mathrm{reg}_{\mathrm{Frob},\alpha}^{\otimes} : \mathbb{Z}\langle e_Z \rangle \longrightarrow T(\oplus_{i=1}^N \mathbb{Z}\langle e_Z \rangle) \otimes \mathbb{Z}\langle e_{Z \cup Z^{(p^\alpha)}} \rangle^{\mathrm{conv}}$$

$$\mathrm{reg}_{\mathrm{Frob},-\alpha}^{\otimes} : \mathbb{Z}\langle e_{Z^{(p^\alpha)}} \rangle \longrightarrow T(\oplus_{i=1}^N \mathbb{Z}\langle e_{Z^{(p^\alpha)}} \rangle) \otimes \mathbb{Z}\langle e_{Z \cup Z^{(p^\alpha)}} \rangle^{\mathrm{conv}}$$

such that the equation of horizontality is equivalent to, respectively

$$\mathrm{mult}_K \circ \big(T\big(\mathrm{Ad}_{\Phi_{p,\alpha}^{(z_1)}}(e_{z_1}) \oplus \ldots \oplus \mathrm{Ad}_{\Phi_{p,\alpha}^{(z_N)}}(e_{z_N})\big) \otimes \int\big) \circ \mathrm{reg}_{\mathrm{Frob},\alpha}^{\otimes} = \mathrm{Li}_{p,\alpha}^\dagger$$

$$\mathrm{mult}_K \circ \big(T\big(\mathrm{Ad}_{\Phi_{p,-\alpha}^{(z_1^{p^\alpha})}}(e_{z_1^{(p^\alpha)}}) \oplus \ldots \oplus \mathrm{Ad}_{\Phi_{p,-\alpha}^{(z_N^{p^\alpha})}}(e_{z_N^{(p^\alpha)}})\big) \otimes \int\big) \circ \mathrm{reg}_{\mathrm{Frob},-\alpha}^{\otimes} = \mathrm{Li}_{p,-\alpha}^\dagger$$

In particular, the left hand sides of these two equalities, defined as functions $\mathbb{Z}\langle e_Z \rangle \to \mathfrak{A}(]0[)$ resp. $\mathbb{Z}\langle e_{Z^{(p^\alpha)}} \rangle \to \mathfrak{A}(]0[)$, actually take values in $\mathfrak{A}^\dagger(U^{\mathrm{an}}) \subset \mathfrak{A}(]0[)$.

Proof. This formalizes the discussion of the beginning of §3.2 ; the only additional thing to check is the fact that the elements of $\mathbb{Q}\langle e_{Z \cup Z^{(p^\alpha)}} \rangle$ that are involved in iterated integration are in $\mathbb{Q}\langle e_{Z \cup Z^{(p^\alpha)}} \rangle^{\mathrm{conv}}$. We consider the equation of horizontality, Proposition 3.1.4, and proceed by induction on the weight. Since ω_0 appears only in a term $(e_0 \, \mathrm{Li}_{p,\alpha}^\dagger - \mathrm{Li}_{p,\alpha}^\dagger \, e_0)\omega_0$ in the equation of horizontality, in weight 1, the maps $\mathrm{Li}_{p,\alpha}^\dagger[w]$ with w a word are linear combinations of $\int e_{z_i}$ and $\int e_{z_i^{p^\alpha}}$: that is to say $\int$ applied to elements that are in $\mathbb{Q}\langle e_{Z \cup Z^{(p^\alpha)}} \rangle^{\mathrm{conv}}$. This gives the result in weight 1, and the proposition then follows from the horizontality equation by a trivial induction using equation (3.2.1). Same proof for $\mathrm{Li}_{p,-\alpha}^\dagger$. $\square$

Let us fix such maps $\mathrm{reg}^{\otimes}_{\mathrm{Frob},\epsilon\alpha}$; they are a priori not unique.

Definition 3.2.4. Let the map of regularization by Frobenius

$$\mathrm{reg}_{\mathrm{Frob},\alpha} = \mathrm{mult}_K \circ \big(\mathrm{Ad}_{\Phi^{(z_1)}_{p,\alpha}}(e_{z_1})) \otimes \ldots \otimes \mathrm{Ad}_{\Phi^{(z_N)}_{p,\alpha}}(e_{z_N}) \otimes \mathrm{id} \big) \circ \mathrm{reg}^{\otimes}_{\mathrm{Frob},\alpha}$$

$$\mathrm{reg}_{\mathrm{Frob},-\alpha} = \mathrm{mult}_K \circ \big(\mathrm{Ad}_{\Phi^{(z_1^{p^\alpha})}_{p,-\alpha}}(e_{z_1}) \otimes \ldots \otimes \mathrm{Ad}_{\Phi^{(z_N^{p^\alpha})}_{p,-\alpha}}(e_{z_N}) \otimes \mathrm{id} \big) \circ \mathrm{reg}^{\otimes}_{\mathrm{Frob},-\alpha}$$

These are maps $\mathbb{Z}\langle e_Z \rangle, \mathbb{Z}\langle e_{Z^{(p^\alpha)}} \rangle \longrightarrow K\langle e_{Z \cup Z^{(p^\alpha)}} \rangle^{\mathrm{conv}}$.
We call multiple harmonic sums regularized by Frobenius the coefficients of the series expansion of $\mathrm{Li}^{\dagger}_{p,\epsilon\alpha}$ at $z=0$, and denote them by $\mathrm{har}_n^{\dagger_{p,\epsilon\alpha}} = n^{\mathrm{weight}}\, \mathrm{Li}^{\dagger}_{p,\epsilon\alpha}[z^n]$.

A uniqueness property of the maps reg (without $^{\otimes}$) defined above will be proved in I-2. By Proposition-Definition 3.2.3, we have

$$\mathrm{Li}^{\dagger}_{p,\epsilon\alpha} = \int \mathrm{reg}_{\mathrm{Frob},\epsilon\alpha} \tag{3.2.3}$$

In particular, the maps $\int \mathrm{reg}_{\mathrm{Frob},\alpha}, \int \mathrm{reg}_{\mathrm{Frob},-\alpha} : \mathbb{Z}\langle e_Z \rangle, \mathbb{Z}\langle e_{Z^{(p^\alpha)}} \rangle \to \mathfrak{A}(]0[)$ take values in $\mathfrak{A}^{\dagger}(U^{\mathrm{an}}_{0\infty}) \subset \mathfrak{A}(]0[)$.

3.3. **Formulas for the regularization by Frobenius.** We now write two formulas for $\mathrm{reg}_{\mathrm{Frob},\epsilon\alpha}$ that are explicit up to an induction with respect to the weight. This amounts to solve formally the differential equation.

3.3.1. *By dualizing of the horizontality equation.* The formula for the horizontality equation as in Proposition 3.1.5 contains implicitly a formula for the regularization by Frobenius that does not require further computations, but only to rewrite the equation on the dual level of algebras of functions.

Definition 3.3.1. Let $i_1 : \mathbb{Q}\langle e_Z \rangle \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Q}\langle e_{Z \cup Z^{(p^\alpha)}} \rangle$, and $i_{p^\alpha} : \mathbb{Q}\langle e_{Z^{(p^\alpha)}} \rangle \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Q}\langle e_{Z \cup Z^{(p^\alpha)}} \rangle$, be the natural injections. Let $i_{1,p^\alpha} : \mathbb{Q}\langle e_Z \rangle \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{Q}\langle e_{Z \cup Z^{(p^\alpha)}} \rangle$, be the isomorphism of algebras given by $(e_0, e_{z_1}, \ldots, e_{z_N}) \mapsto (e_0, e_{z_1^{(p^\alpha)}}, \ldots, e_{z_N^{(p^\alpha)}})$, and $i_{p^\alpha,1}$ be its inverse.

Recall that, the fundamental torsor of paths at $\vec{1}_0$ being viewed by its trivialization at $\vec{1}_0$, each value $\mathrm{Li}^{\dagger}_{p,\alpha}(z)$ is viewed as an element of $\pi_1^{\mathrm{un,DR}}(X_K, \vec{1}_0)(K)$.

Definition 3.3.2. Let

$$\Delta^{\mathrm{mot}} : \mathcal{O}^{ш,e_Z} \to (\mathcal{O}^{ш,e_Z})^{\otimes N} \otimes \mathcal{O}^{ш,e_Z}$$

be the dual of the morphism

$$\textstyle\prod_{i=1}^N \pi_1^{\mathrm{un,DR}}(X_K, \vec{1}_{z_i}, \vec{1}_0) \times \pi_1^{\mathrm{un,DR}}(X_K, \vec{1}_0) \to \pi_1^{\mathrm{un,DR}}(X_K, \vec{1}_0)$$

$$(g_{z_1}, \ldots, g_{z_N}, f) \mapsto f(e_0, g_{z_1}^{-1} e_{z_1} g_{z_1}, \ldots, g_{z_N}^{-1} e_{z_N} g_{z_N})$$

For each $(g_{z_1}, \ldots, g_{z_N}) \in \pi_1^{\mathrm{un,DR}}(X_K, \vec{1}_0)(K)^N$, let also

$$\Delta^{\mathrm{mot}}_{g_1,\ldots,g_N} : \mathcal{O}^{ш,e_Z} \to \mathcal{O}^{ш,e_Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} K$$

be the map $f \mapsto \Delta^{\mathrm{mot}}(g_{z_1}, \ldots, g_{z_N}, f)$
One defines similarly maps, that we denote in the same way

$$\Delta^{\mathrm{mot}} : \mathcal{O}^{ш,e_{Z^{(p^\alpha)}}} \to (\mathcal{O}^{ш,e_{Z^{(p^\alpha)}}})^{\otimes N} \otimes \mathcal{O}^{ш,e_{Z^{(p^\alpha)}}}$$

$$\Delta^{\mathrm{mot}}_{g_1,\ldots,g_N} : \mathcal{O}^{ш,e_{Z^{(p^\alpha)}}} \to \mathcal{O}^{ш,e_{Z^{(p^\alpha)}}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} K$$

by conjugating the two previous maps by i_{1,p^α}. An explicit formula for all these maps will be recalled in I-2, §2.

Let us go back to the definition of the shuffle Hopf algebra $\mathcal{O}^{\text{ш},e_Z}$ (§2.2.1) ; there, the alphabet e_Z can be replaced by any alphabet $\mathfrak{e}$; the same definition without other change provides a graded Hopf algebra $\mathcal{O}^{\text{ш},\mathfrak{e}}$. We have in particular Hopf algebras $\mathcal{O}^{\text{ш},e_{Z\cup Z^{(p^\alpha)}}}$ and $\mathcal{O}^{\text{ш},e_{Z^{(p^\alpha)}}}$. Separately, the map $w \in \mathbb{Q}\langle e_{Z\cup Z^{(p^\alpha)}}\rangle^{\text{conv}} \mapsto \int w \in \mathfrak{A}(]0[)$ of Definition 3.2.2, being defined by iterated integration, satisfies the integral shuffle relation : for all words $w, w' \in \mathbb{Q}\langle e_{Z\cup Z^{(p^\alpha)}}\rangle^{\text{conv}} \subset \mathcal{O}^{\text{ш},e_{Z\cup Z^{(p^\alpha)}}}$, since $w \text{ ш } w'$ is in $\mathbb{Q}\langle e_{Z\cup Z^{(p^\alpha)}}\rangle^{\text{conv}}$, we have

$$\int w \text{ ш } w' = \int w \int w'$$

Then, we can define :

Definition 3.3.3. Let $u, v : \mathcal{O}^{\text{ш},e_Z} \to \mathcal{O}^{\text{ш},e_{Z\cup Z^{(p^\alpha)}}}$ be linear maps. The convolution product $u \bullet v$ is

$$u \bullet v = \text{ш} \circ (u \otimes v) \circ \Delta_{\text{dec}}$$

And we can express the regularization by Frobenius as follows, where we identify the alphabet $\{e_0, e_{z_1}, \ldots, e_{z_N}\}$, resp. $\{e_0, e_{z_1^{(p^\alpha)}}, \ldots, e_{z_N^{(p^\alpha)}}\}$ to the alphabet $\{p^\alpha\omega_0(z), p^\alpha\omega_{z_1}(z), \ldots, p^\alpha\omega_{z_N}(z)\}$, resp. $\{\omega_0(z^{p^\alpha}) = p^\alpha\omega_0(z), \omega_{z_1^{p^\alpha}}(z^{p^\alpha}), \ldots, \omega_{z_N^{p^\alpha}}(z^{p^\alpha})\}$. Below, S denotes the antipode of shuffle Hopf algebras.

Proposition 3.3.4. We have :

$$\text{reg}_{\text{Frob},\alpha} = i_1 \bullet (i_{1,p^\alpha} \circ \Delta^{\text{mot}}_{\Phi^{(z_1)}_{p,\alpha},\ldots,\Phi^{(z_N)}_{p,\alpha}} \circ S)$$
$$\text{reg}_{\text{Frob},-\alpha} = i_{p^\alpha} \bullet (i_{p^\alpha,1} \circ \Delta^{\text{mot}}_{\Phi^{(z_1^{p^\alpha})}_{p,-\alpha},\ldots,\Phi^{(z_N^{p^\alpha})}_{p,-\alpha}} \circ S)$$

Proof. This is the dual of the formulas of Proposition 3.1.5. □

This formula is implicitly inductive, because it involves coefficients of $\text{Ad}_{\Phi^{(z_i)}_{p,\alpha}}(e_{z_i})$, resp. $\text{Ad}_{\Phi^{(z_1^{p^\alpha})}_{p,-\alpha}}(e_{z_i})$ of weight strictly lower than the argument, which can be computed only using $\text{Li}^\dagger_{p,\alpha}$ resp. $\text{Li}^\dagger_{p,-\alpha}$ in strictly lower weight.

Example 3.3.5. i) For all $s \in \mathbb{N}^*$, we have

$$\text{reg}_{\text{Frob},\epsilon\alpha}(e_0^s) = \sum_{l=0}^s e_0^l \text{ ш } (-1)^{s-l} e_0^{s-l} = \sum_{l=0}^s (-1)^{s-l}\binom{s}{l}\omega_0^s = 0$$

In the two next examples, we use that for all $s \in \mathbb{N}^*$, and for all $i \in \{1, \ldots, N\}$, $\Phi^{(z_i)}_{p,\alpha}[e_0^s] = \Phi^{(z_i^{p^\alpha})}_{p,-\alpha}[e_0^s] = 0$, which will be proved in §5.

ii) For all $i \in \{1, \ldots, N\}$, $s_1, s_0 \in \mathbb{N}^*$, we have :

$$\text{reg}_{\text{Frob},\alpha}(e_0^{s_1-1} e_{z_i} e_0^{s_0-1}) = (-1)^{s_0-1}\binom{s_0+s_1-2}{s_0-1} e_0^{s_0+s_1-2}(e_{z_i} - e_{z_i^{p^\alpha}})$$

iii) For all $s \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $i, j \in \{1, \ldots, N\}$, we have :

$$\text{reg}_{\text{Frob},\alpha}(e_{z_i} e_0^{s-1} e_{z_j}) = e_{z_i} e_0^{s-1}(e_{z_j} - e_{z_j^{(p^\alpha)}}) + e_{z_j}(-e_0)^{s-1}(e_{z_i} - e_{z_i^{(p^\alpha)}})$$

3.3.2. *By inductive resolution of the horizontality equation.* The second formula below for the regularization by Frobenius is more adapted for an inductive explicit computation of regularized iterated integrals as in §4.

Notation 3.3.6. Let $\partial, \tilde{\partial} : \mathbb{Q}\langle e_Z\rangle \to \mathbb{Q}\langle e_Z\rangle$, and similarly with $\mathbb{Q}\langle e_{Z^{(p^\alpha)}}\rangle$, the linear maps deleting the furthest to the left resp. furthest to the right letter of words : they send the empty word to 0 and, for all $i_1, \ldots, i_l \in \{0, 1, \ldots, N\}$, we have, respectively,

$$\partial(e_{z_{i_l}} \ldots e_{z_{i_1}}) = e_{z_{i_{l-1}}} \ldots e_{z_{i_1}}$$
$$\tilde{\partial}(e_{z_{i_l}} \ldots e_{z_{i_1}}) = e_{z_{i_l}} \ldots e_{z_{i_2}}$$

Proposition 3.3.7. Let $w = e_0^{s_{d+1}-1} e_{z_{i_{d+1}}} \dots e_0^{s_1-1} e_{z_{i_1}} e_0^{s_0-1}$ be a word over e_Z, with $i_{d+1},\dots,i_1 \in \{1,\dots,N\}$, and $s_{d+1},\dots,s_0 \in \mathbb{N}^*$.

$$\operatorname{reg}_{\mathrm{Frob},\alpha}(w) = \tag{3.3.1}$$

$$\sum_{\substack{0\leq l_0\leq s_0-1\\ 0\leq l_{d+1}\leq s_{d+1}-1}} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{w=e_0^{l_{d+1}} w_2 w_1 e_0^{l_0}} \binom{-(l_{d+1}+1)}{l_0} \operatorname{Ad}_{\Phi_{p,\alpha}^{(z_i)}}(e_{z_i})[w_1] \;\; e_0^{l_{d+1}+l_0} e_{z_i^{(p^\alpha)}} \operatorname{reg}_{\mathrm{Frob},\alpha}(w_2)$$
$$- \sum_{l_0=0}^{s_0-1} \binom{-s_{d+1}}{l_0} \;\; e_0^{s_{d+1}-1+l_0} e_{z_{i_{d+1}}} \operatorname{reg}_{\mathrm{Frob},\alpha}(\tilde{\partial}^{l_0} \partial^{s_{d+1}}(w))$$

$$\operatorname{reg}_{\mathrm{Frob},-\alpha}(w^{(p^\alpha)}) = \tag{3.3.2}$$

$$\sum_{\substack{0\leq l_0\leq s_0-1\\ 0\leq l_{d+1}\leq s_{d+1}-1}} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{w=e_0^{l_{d+1}} w_2 w_1 e_0^{l_0}} \binom{-(l_{d+1}+1)}{l_0} \operatorname{Ad}_{\Phi_{p,-\alpha}^{(z_i^{p^\alpha})}}(e_{z_i^{(p^\alpha)}})[w_1] \;\; e_0^{l_{d+1}+l_0} e_{z_i} \operatorname{reg}_{\mathrm{Frob},-\alpha}(w_2)$$
$$+ \sum_{l_{d+1}=0}^{s_{d+1}-1} \binom{-s_0}{l_{d+1}} \;\; e_0^{l_{d+1}+s_0-1} e_{z_{i_1}^{(p^\alpha)}} \operatorname{reg}_{\mathrm{Frob},-\alpha}(\partial^{l_{d+1}} \tilde{\partial}^{s_0}(w))$$

Proof. This is obtained by iterating the formulas of Proposition 3.1.4. ☐

3.3.3. *In terms of decorated connected permutations (application to low depth).* We would like to write the formulas for cyclotomic p-adic multiple zeta values on simple examples, in a sufficiently readable way ; given that the formulas in depth zero and one will be straightforward, and quite close to the already known formulas for certain values of the Kubota-Leopoldt L-function, we would like to deal with the first examples for which the formulas are newer and not immediately concise, that is to say indices of depth two and three. This requires first to write a formula for $\operatorname{reg}_{\mathrm{Frob},\epsilon\alpha}(w)$ with w of depth up to four (for a reason explained in §5), in a sufficiently readable way. To this end, we define here notions of "connected permutation" and "decorated connected permutation" of the sets of certain letters of a word w ; it provides a natural indexation of the formula for $\operatorname{reg}_{\mathrm{Frob},\epsilon\alpha}(w)$. At the end of this paragraph, we give an example of how it can be applied in low depth.

Let us fix $d \in \mathbb{N}^*$, and let a word $w = e_0^{t_{d+1}} e_{z_{i_{d+1}}} e_0^{t_d} e_{z_{i_d}} \dots e_{z_{i_1}} e_0^{t_0}$ over e_Z, with $t_{d+1},\dots,t_0 \in \mathbb{N}$, and $i_1,\dots,i_{d+1} \in \{1,\dots,N\}$. Let us view a permutation σ of $\{1,\dots,d+1\}$ as an order in which one chooses the $d+1$ letters of this word that are distinct from e_0 : we choose first $e_{z_{i_{\sigma(1)}}}$, then $e_{z_{i_{\sigma(2)}}}$ etc.

Definition 3.3.8. Let σ be a permutation of $\{1,\dots,d+1\}$. We say that σ is a connected permutation if, for all $i \in \{1,\dots,d+1\}$, the set $\sigma(\{1,\dots,i\})$ is a segment $[a,b] \subset \mathbb{N}$, i.e. for all $i \in \{1,\dots,d+1\}$, we have $\sigma(i+1) \in \{\min\sigma(\{1,\dots,i\})-1, \max\sigma(\{1,\dots,i\})+1\}$.

We will represent a connected permutation σ by the diagram

$$\sigma(d+1) \leftarrow \dots \leftarrow \sigma(1) \tag{3.3.3}$$

The direction of these arrows, from the right to the left and from the index 1 to $d+1$, corresponds to the order of application of iterated integration to the letters of a word w as above. Given a connected permutation σ, one can regroup certain elements of the image of σ :

Definition 3.3.9. We call decorated connected permutation of $\{1, \ldots, d+1\}$ a couple (σ, P) where :
i) σ is a connected permutation of $\{1, \ldots, d+1\}$
ii) P is a partition of each $\sigma([i_k^\sigma, j_k^\sigma]) = [\sigma(j_k^\sigma), \sigma(i_k^\sigma)]$ into segments $S_1(k), \ldots, S_{u_k}(k)$, where $[i_1^\sigma, j_1^\sigma], \ldots, [i_l^\sigma, j_l^\sigma]$ are the segments, maximal for the inclusion, pairwise disjoints, with $j_k < i_{k+1} - 1$, on which σ is a strictly decreasing function.

We will represent a decorated connected permutation as a diagram of the type (3.3.3), in which, additionally, we circle the images of the segments $S_j(k)$.

Example 3.3.10. Let $\sigma = \left(\begin{array}{c} 1\,2\,3 \\ 2\,1\,3 \end{array} \right)$; the only $[i_k^\sigma, j_k^\sigma]$ as above is $[i_1^\sigma, j_1^\sigma] = \{1, 2\}$; P can be either equal to $\{1, 2\}$ or to $\{1\} \cup \{2\}$; hence we obtain two decorated connected permutations :

$$3 \leftarrow \boxed{1 \leftarrow 2} \quad \text{and} \quad 3 \leftarrow \boxed{1} \leftarrow \boxed{2} \tag{3.3.4}$$

For the simplicity of the notations, the circles around the $S_j(k)$'s that are singletons can be omitted ; with this convention, the second example above can be simply denoted by $3 \leftarrow 1 \leftarrow 2$.

As a consequence of Proposition 3.3.4, the maps of regularization by Frobenius applied to a word w have a natural expression as a sum indexed by decorated connected permutations of the set of letters of w that are not equal to e_0. This follows from elementary combinatorics of the shuffle product and of the map Δ^{mot}, i.e. of the composition of formal power series in $K\langle\langle e_Z \rangle\rangle$. Precisions on these combinatorics will be given, for other purposes, in I-2, §2. We leave to the reader to write explicitly such a formula which is only a remark ; what is useful is only the examples in low depth.
Before giving one such example, let us remark that there is a natural partition in two elements, of the set of connected permutations of $\{1, \ldots, d+1\}$: let

$$\tau : \begin{array}{c} \{1, \ldots, d+1\} \to \{1, \ldots, d+1\} \\ d' \mapsto d+1-d' \end{array}$$

Then, τ is a connected permutation and we have :

Lemma 3.3.11. The map $\sigma \mapsto \tau \circ \sigma$ defines an involution on the set of connected permutations of $\{1, \ldots, d+1\}$; it induces a bijection between the subset of connected permutations σ such that $\sigma(d) < \sigma(d+1)$, and the one of connected permutations σ such that $\sigma(d) > \sigma(d+1)$.

Thus the formula for the regularization by Frobenius evoked above can be separated into two terms, that are similar to each other. This improves the readability of the formula. Let us give an example of the formula, in the case of $\mathbb{P}^1 - \{0, 1, \infty\}$.

Notation 3.3.12. We will write below the words on $\{e_0, e_1\}$, resp. the convergent words on $\{e_0, e_1, e_{1^{(p^\alpha)}}\}$ as, resp. :

$$\mathbf{e}_0^{s_d-1, \ldots s_1-1, \ldots, s_0-1} = e_0^{s_d-1} e_1 \ldots e_0^{s_1-1} e_1 e_0^{s_0-1}$$
$$\mathbf{e}_{x_d, \ldots, x_1}^{s_d-1, \ldots, s_1-1} = e_0^{s_d-1} e_{z_{i_d}} \ldots e_0^{s_1-1} e_{z_{i_1}}$$

with $x_j = 1$ if $z_{i_j} = 1$ and $x_j = p^\alpha$ if $z_{i_j} = 1^{(p^\alpha)}$; we write $x_j = -1$ if we have $-e_{z_{i_j}}$ instead of $e_{z_{i_j}}$, and

$$\mathbf{e}_{x_d, \ldots, x_2, p^\alpha - 1}^{s_d-1, \ldots, s_1-1} = \mathbf{e}_{x_d, \ldots, x_2, p^\alpha}^{s_d-1, \ldots, s_1-1} - \mathbf{e}_{x_d, \ldots, x_2, 1}^{s_d-1, \ldots, s_1-1}$$

Example 3.3.13. Assume $N = 1$, let $s_2, s_1 \in \mathbb{N}^*$ with $s_2 \geq 2$, and let us write, in two steps, a formula for

$$\mathrm{reg}_{F_*^\alpha}[e_0^{s_2-2} e_1 e_0^{s_1-1} e_1 e_1]$$

This particular quantity helps to write the formula for p-adic multiple zeta values in depth two (see §5).

1) There are four connected permutations of $\{1,2,3\}$: $(1 \leftarrow 2 \leftarrow 3)$, $(3 \leftarrow 1 \leftarrow 2)$, $(1 \leftarrow 3 \leftarrow 2)$ and $(3 \leftarrow 2 \leftarrow 1)$. This yields four terms in $\mathrm{reg}_{F_*^\alpha}[e_0^{s_2-2}e_1e_0^{s_1-1}e_1e_1]$ with trivial decorations, i.e. where the P associated with each σ is the partition of each $\sigma([i_k^\sigma, j_k^\sigma])$ into singletons

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.3.5)\quad & \mathbf{e}_{p^\alpha,p^\alpha,p^\alpha-1}^{s_2-2,s_1-1,0} && (3 \leftarrow 2 \leftarrow 1) \\
& + \sum_{\substack{l_1,l_1'\geq 0 \\ l_1+l_1'=s_1-1}} \mathbf{e}_{p^\alpha,-1,p^\alpha-1}^{s_2-2,l_1',l_1} && (3 \leftarrow 1 \leftarrow 2) \\
& + \sum_{\substack{l_2,l_2'\geq 0 \\ l_2+l_2'=s_2-2}} \mathbf{e}_{-1,p^\alpha,p^\alpha-1}^{l_2',l_2,s_1-1} && (1 \leftarrow 3 \leftarrow 2) \\
& + \sum_{\substack{l_2,l_2',l_2''\geq 0 \\ l_2+l_2'+l_2''=s_2-2}} \binom{-(s_1-1)}{l_2} \mathbf{e}_{-1,-1,p^\alpha-1}^{l_2'',l_2',s_1-1+l_2} && (1 \leftarrow 2 \leftarrow 3)
\end{aligned}$$

2) Two of the connected permutations can have non-trivial decorations : $(1 \leftarrow 2 \leftarrow 3)$ has three of them and $(3 \leftarrow 1 \leftarrow 2)$ has one. This gives four other terms, coresponding to the four possible non-trivial decorations ; each component of a non-trivial decoration corresponds to a factor $(\Phi_{p,-\alpha}^{-1}e_1\Phi_{p,-\alpha})[w'']$.

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.3.6)\quad & \sum_{\substack{l_2,l_2'\geq 0 \\ l_2+l_2'=s_2-2}} (\Phi_{p,-\alpha}^{-1}e_1\Phi_{p,-\alpha})[\mathbf{e}_0^{l_2',s_1-1,0,0}]\ \mathbf{e}_{-1}^{l_2} && (\boxed{1 \leftarrow 2 \leftarrow 3}) \\
& + \sum_{\substack{l_2,l_2'\geq 0 \\ l_2+l_2'=s_2-2}} (\Phi_{p,-\alpha}^{-1}e_1\Phi_{p,-\alpha})[\mathbf{e}_0^{l_2,s_1-1,0}]\ \mathbf{e}_{-1,-1}^{l_2',l_2} && (1 \leftarrow \boxed{2 \leftarrow 3}) \\
& + \sum_{\substack{l_1,l_1',l_2,l_2'\geq 0 \\ l_1+l_1'=s_1-1 \\ l_2+l_2'=s_2-2}} \binom{-l_2}{l_1} (\Phi_{p,-\alpha}^{-1}e_1\Phi_{p,-\alpha})[\mathbf{e}_0^{l_1',0,0}]\ \mathbf{e}_{-1,p^\alpha-1}^{l_2',l_2+l_1} && (\boxed{1 \leftarrow 2} \leftarrow 3) \\
& + \sum_{\substack{l_1,l_1'\geq 0 \\ l_1+l_1'=s_1-1}} (\Phi_{p,-\alpha}^{-1}e_1\Phi_{p,-\alpha})[\mathbf{e}_0^{l_1,0,0}]\ \mathbf{e}_{p^\alpha,-1}^{s_2-2,l_1'} && (3 \leftarrow \boxed{1 \leftarrow 2})
\end{aligned}$$

Then $\mathrm{reg}_{F_*^\alpha}[e_0^{s_2-2}e_1e_0^{s_1-1}e_1e_1] \in \mathbb{Q}_p\langle e_0, e_1, e_{1(p^\alpha)}\rangle^{\mathrm{conv}}$ is the sum of the eight lines of (3.3.5) and (3.3.6).

3.4. **Compatibility of the regularization by Frobenius with the depth filtration.** The notion of depth extends to words over the alphabet $e_{Z \cup Z^{(p^\alpha)}}$ by defining again the depth of a word as the number of its letters that are distinct from e_0. Given a tensor product of words over e_Z, $e_{Z^{(p^\alpha)}}$ or $e_{Z \cup Z^{(p^\alpha)}}$, let its depth be the sum of the depths of all the tensor components. Finally, let the depth of a linear combination of such tensors be the maximal depth of the tensors appearing in the linear combination. We compare now the depth of a word w and the depth of $\mathrm{reg}^{\otimes}(w)$ in the sense of Proposition-Definition 3.2.3. We need the following lemma.

Lemma 3.4.1. For all $s \in \mathbb{N}^*$, we have :

$$\mathrm{Li}_{p,\epsilon\alpha}^{\dagger}[e_0^s] = 0$$

Proof. This follows from that $\mathrm{reg}_{\mathrm{Frob},\epsilon\alpha}(e_0^s) = 0$ (Example 3.3.5). Alternatively, fix a branch $\log_p$ of the p-adic logarithm ; by Proposition 3.1.5 and by the Definition 2.2.12 of the maps $\mathrm{Li}_p^{\mathrm{KZ}}$, we have $\mathrm{Li}_{p,\epsilon\alpha}^{\dagger}[e_0](z) = \pm(p^{\alpha}\log_p(z) - \log_p(z^{p^{\alpha}})) = 0$; then, by the shuffle equation we have, for all $s \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $\mathrm{Li}_{p,\epsilon\alpha}^{\dagger}[e_0^s](z) = \frac{\left(\mathrm{Li}_{p,\epsilon\alpha}^{\dagger}[e_0](z)\right)^s}{s!} = 0$. ☐

We deduce the following crucial statement : it will allow us to control the bounds of the valuation on $\mathrm{Li}_{p,\epsilon\alpha}^{\dagger}$, in function of the depth of indices, in a way that will be sufficiently precise to obtain the main theorem of I-2, on which our whole theory will rely.

Corollary 3.4.2. For both $\tau(p^{\alpha})\phi^{\alpha}$ and F_*^{α}, we can choose $\mathrm{reg}^{\otimes}$ as in Proposition-Definition 3.2.3 such that we have, for all words w :

$$\mathrm{depth}(\mathrm{reg}(w)) \leq \mathrm{depth}(\mathrm{reg}^{\otimes}(w)) \leq \mathrm{depth}(w)$$

Proof. The first inequality is clear. For the second one, consider the formula of Proposition 3.3.7 : it lifts instantly into a formula for a map $\mathrm{reg}^{\otimes}$ as in Proposition-Definition 3.2.3 which one can easily imagine. By Lemma 3.4.1, in the first line of (3.3.1), (3.3.2), the summation on w_2 can be restricted to $w_2 \in \{\emptyset\} \cup \{\mathrm{depth}(w_2) \geq 1\}$: this gives the result by induction on the depth of w. ☐

4. COMPUTATION OF REGULARIZED ITERATED INTEGRALS ON $\mathbb{P}^{1,\mathrm{an}} - \cup_{i=1}^{N}]z_i[$

We show in §4.1 that the map that sends an element $f = \sum_{n>0} c_n z^n \in \mathfrak{A}(U_{0\infty}^{\mathrm{an}})$ to the map $n \in \mathbb{N}^* \mapsto c_n \in K$ defines an isomorphism $\mathrm{comp}_{\mathfrak{A}(U_{0\infty}^{\mathrm{an}})}$ of normed K-vector spaces between $\mathfrak{A}(U_{0\infty}^{\mathrm{an}})$ and a certain explicit space of elementary p-adic functions ; comp stands for comparison and this terminology will be explained by I-2. It will be convenient in the rest of the paragraph to work in $\mathfrak{A}(U^{\mathrm{an}})$ throughout its image by $\mathrm{comp}_{\mathfrak{A}(U_{0\infty}^{\mathrm{an}})}$. We define in §4.2 a particular subspace of $\mathrm{comp}_{\mathfrak{A}(U_{0\infty}^{\mathrm{an}})}(\mathfrak{A}(U_{0\infty}^{\mathrm{an}})))$. We compute the effect of integration of $\mathfrak{A}(U_{0\infty}^{\mathrm{an}})$ on this subspace in §4.3. The integration sends the subspace into a larger one whose elements have "poles", and we define in §4.4 a regularization map that removes these poles. Then we have a notion of regularized integration and regularized iterated integrals. We study in §4.5 the regular iterated integrals of $p^{\alpha}\omega_0(z)$ and $p^{\alpha}\omega_{z_i}(z)$, $\omega_{z_i^{p^{\alpha}}}(z^{p^{\alpha}})$, $i \in \{1,\ldots,N\}$ on this subspace : we give a formula for them that is inductive with respect to the depth, we relate them to multiple harmonic sums and we prove bounds on their valuations.

4.1. **The isomorphism** $\mathrm{comp}_{\mathfrak{A}(U_{0\infty}^{\mathrm{an}})} : \mathfrak{A}(U_{0\infty}^{\mathrm{an}}) \simeq K\delta_0 \oplus \mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{reg}}(\mathbb{Z}_p^{(N)} - \{0\}, K)$.

Ünver has given a criterion to determine which power series $\sum_{n>0} a_n z^n \in K[[z]]$ are series expansions at 0 of elements of $\mathfrak{A}(U_{0\infty}^{\mathrm{an}})$ ([U1], §5, Proposition 1 for $N = 1$, and [U2], §3, Proposition 3.0.3 for any N). In this paragraph, we give a different formulation and proof to these results. In §4.1.1 we assume that $N = 1$, and in §4.1.2 we deduce from it the general case.

4.1.1. *The case of* $\mathbb{P}^1 - \{0, 1, \infty\}$. Let us assume that $N = 1$; thus, $R = \mathbb{Z}_p$ and $K = \mathbb{Q}_p$. The following operations, which we write in the case of $\mathbb{Q}_p^{\mathbb{N}}$, are usual linear endomorphisms of the vector space of sequences over any field.

Definition 4.1.1. i) Let the difference operator D : $\begin{array}{c} \mathbb{Q}_p^{\mathbb{N}} \to \mathbb{Q}_p^{\mathbb{N}} \\ (c_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \mapsto (c_{n+1} - c_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \end{array}$.

ii) Let the shifting operator T : $\begin{array}{c} \mathbb{Q}_p^{\mathbb{N}} \to \mathbb{Q}_p^{\mathbb{N}} \\ (c_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \mapsto (c_{n+1})_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \end{array}$.

iii) We denote by $(z \mapsto \frac{z}{z-1})$ the conjugation of $\begin{array}{c} \mathbb{Q}_p[[z]] \to \mathbb{Q}_p[[z]] \\ \sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}} c_n z^n \mapsto \sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}} c_n \big(\frac{z}{z-1}\big)^n \end{array}$ by the natural isomorphism $\mathbb{Q}_p[[z]] \simeq \mathbb{Q}_p^{\mathbb{N}}$.

Lemma 4.1.2. We have, for all $(c_n) \in \mathbb{Q}_p^{\mathbb{N}}$

$$\big((z \mapsto \tfrac{z}{z-1})c\big)_n = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} c_0 & \text{if } n = 0 \\ (-1)^n \sum_{n'=0}^{n} \binom{n-1}{n'-1} c_{n'} = (D^n T c)_0 & \text{otherwise} \end{array} \right.$$

Definition 4.1.3. Let the Newton series operator :

$$\begin{array}{c} \mathbb{Q}_p^{\mathbb{N}} \to \mathbb{Q}_p^{\mathbb{N}} \\ (c_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \mapsto \big((D^n c)_0\big)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} = \big(\sum_{n'=0}^{n} (-1)^{n-n'} \binom{n}{n'} c_{n'}\big)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \end{array}$$

Unlike the previous statements, the next one is really p-adic ; but it is a counterpart of a classical result concerning sequences in $\mathbb{C}$.

Proposition 4.1.4. (Mahler, [M])
Let $c = (c_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \in \mathbb{Q}_p^{\mathbb{N}}$. We have an equivalence between
i) The map $n \in \mathbb{N}^* \mapsto c_n \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ extends in a continuous function $\mathbb{Z}_p \to \mathbb{Q}_p$
ii) We have $(D^n c)_0 \to_{n\to\infty} 0$.

Let $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_p, \mathbb{Q}_p)$ be the space of continuous functions $\mathbb{Z}_p \to \mathbb{Q}_p$ equipped with the uniform topology. For convenience, we will denote it as the space $\mathcal{C}^{\text{reg}}(\mathbb{Z}_p - \{0\}, \mathbb{Q}_p)$, of continuous functions $\mathbb{Z}_p - \{0\} \to \mathbb{Q}_p$ that extend by continuity to $\mathbb{Z}_p$. Let $\delta_0 : \mathbb{Z}_p \to \mathbb{Q}_p$ be the function that sends 0 to 1 and all other elements to 0.

Definition 4.1.5. (Koblitz, [Ko], §2) For z in $\mathbb{Q}_p -]1[$, let μ_z be the measure on $\mathbb{Z}_p$ defined by, for all $u \in \mathbb{N}^*$:

$$\mu_z(n + p^u \mathbb{Z}_p) = \frac{z^n}{1 - z^{p^u}},\ n \in \{1, \ldots, p^u\}$$

Let μ_∞ be the measure defined by, for all $u \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $\mu_z(p^u\mathbb{Z}_p) = -1$ and $\mu_z(n + p^u\mathbb{Z}_p) = 0$ for all $n \in \{1, \ldots, p^u - 1\}$ (we have $\mu_\infty = \lim_{z\to\infty} \mu_z$ in an appropriate space of measures).
The integration of a map $\tilde{c} \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_p, \mathbb{Q}_p)$ with respect to such a measure μ is defined by $\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \tilde{c}\mu = \lim_{u\to\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{p^u} \tilde{c}(n)\mu(n + p^u\mathbb{Z}_p)$.

Proposition 4.1.6. We have an isomorphism of normed $\mathbb{Q}_p$-vector spaces :

$$\text{comp}_{\mathfrak{A}(U_{0\infty}^{\text{an}})} : \mathfrak{A}(U_{0\infty}^{\text{an}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{Q}_p\delta_0 \oplus \mathcal{C}^{\text{reg}}(\mathbb{Z}_p - \{0\}, \mathbb{Q}_p)$$

$$f(z) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}} c_n z^n \mapsto (c_0, \tilde{c} = \text{continuation of } (n \in \mathbb{N}^* \mapsto c_n \in \mathbb{Q}_p))$$

Its inverse is

$$\text{comp}^{-1}_{\mathfrak{A}(U_{0\infty}^{\text{an}})} : (c_0, \tilde{c}) \to f(z) = c_0 + \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \tilde{c} d\mu_z$$

Proof. By definition, we have $\mathfrak{A}(\mathbb{Z}_p^{\text{an}}) = \{f(z) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}} c_n z^n \mid c_n \underset{n\to+\infty}{\longrightarrow} 0\}$; and the map $z \mapsto \frac{z}{z-1}$, being the unique homography that sends $(0, 1, \infty) \mapsto (0, \infty, 1)$, induces an involutive isomorphism between $U_{0\infty}^{\text{an}} = (\mathbb{P}^{1,\text{an}} -]1[)/\mathbb{Q}_p$ and the rigid analytic unit disk $\mathbb{Z}_p^{\text{an}} = (\mathbb{P}^{1,\text{an}} -]\infty[)/\mathbb{Q}_p$. Thus, by Mahler's theorem (Proposition 4.1.4). $f = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}} c_n z^n$ is in $\mathfrak{A}(U_{0\infty}^{\text{an}})$ if and only if the map $n \in \mathbb{N}^* \mapsto c_n$ extends to a continuous map $\mathbb{Z}_p \to \mathbb{Q}_p$.
The statement concerning $\text{comp}^{-1}_{\mathfrak{A}(U_{0\infty}^{\text{an}})}$ amounts to say that $f \in \mathfrak{A}(U_{0\infty}^{\text{an}})$ is the uniform limit when $u \to \infty$ of the rational functions $f_u : z \mapsto c_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{p^u} \frac{c_n z^n}{1 - z^{p^u}}$ (the poles of f_u are roots of unity of order p^u and are thus in $]1[$ and, moreover, we have $f_u(\infty) = -c_{p^u}$). Since we

have $\sum_{n=1}^{p^u} \frac{c_n z^n}{1-z^{p^u}} = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} c_{n \mod p^u} z^n$ where $n \mod p^u$ is taken in $\{1,\ldots,p^u\}$, and since the supremum norm on $\mathfrak{A}(U^{\mathrm{an}}_{0\infty})$ is equivalent to the supremum norm on the subspace of $\mathbb{Q}_p^{\mathbb{N}}$ defined by the sequences of coefficients of the series expansion at 0 of elements of $\mathfrak{A}(U^{\mathrm{an}}_{0\infty})$, we obtain the result. □

Because of this result we should maybe view $U^{\mathrm{an}}_{0\infty}$ as the simplest example of an affinoid space after the one of the unit disk of dimension 1. By $\mathrm{comp}_{\mathfrak{A}(U^{\mathrm{an}}_{0\infty})}$, $f(\infty)$ corresponds to $c_0 - \tilde{c}(0)$, whence :

Corollary 4.1.7. We have an isomorphism

$$\{f \in \mathfrak{A}(U^{\mathrm{an}}) \mid f(\infty) = 0\} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_p, \mathbb{Q}_p)$$
$$f = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}} c_n z^n \mapsto (\text{continuation of } (n \in \mathbb{N} \mapsto c_n \in \mathbb{Q}_p))$$

Example 4.1.8. Recasting a formula of Coleman [Co] and the following formula of Koblitz ([Ko] §5, Lemma 1)

$$-\frac{1}{p}\log_p\left(\frac{(1-z)^p}{1-z^p}\right) = \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p^*} \frac{d\mu_z(x)}{x}$$

we have for all $s \in \mathbb{N}^*$, by the horizontality equation for $N = 1$, the following equality

$$\mathrm{Li}^{\dagger}_{p,\alpha}[e_0^{s-1}e_1](z) = (p^\alpha)^s \sum_{\substack{n>0\\ p^\alpha \nmid n}} \frac{z^n}{n^s} = \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \frac{\mathbb{1}_{p^\alpha\nmid n}(p^\alpha)^s}{n^s} d\mu_z(n)$$

where the second of the three terms is defined if $|z|_p < 1$. We also have $\mathrm{Li}^{\dagger}_{p,\alpha}[e_0^{s-1}e_1](\infty) = 0$. This will follow from §5.

4.1.2. *The general case of* $\mathbb{P}^1 - \{0, \mu_N, \infty\}$. When $N > 1$, let us consider, instead of $\mathbb{Z}_p$,

$$\mathbb{Z}_p^{(N)} = \varprojlim_{l\to\infty} \mathbb{Z}/Np^l\mathbb{Z} = \amalg_{0\leq m\leq N-1}(m + N\mathbb{Z}_p)$$

As a topological space, it is the disjoint union of N spaces isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_p$; and consider $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_p^{(N)}, K)$, the space of functions $\mathbb{Z}_p^{(N)} \to K$ that are continuous on each $m + N\mathbb{Z}_p$; for reasons that will be clear below, we denote it as $\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{reg}}(\mathbb{Z}_p^{(N)} - \{0\}, K)$, as we did for $N = 1$ in the previous paragraph.

Definition 4.1.9. (Koblitz, [Ko], §2) Let, for $z \in U^{\mathrm{an}}_{0\infty}(K)$, for all $u \in \mathbb{N}^*$:

$$\mu_{z,N}(n + p^u N\mathbb{Z}_p) = \frac{z^n}{1 - z^{p^u N}},\ n \in \{1, \ldots, p^u N\}$$

where, when $z = \infty$, the right-hand side is $\lim_{z\to\infty} \frac{z^n}{1-z^{p^u N}}$.
This defines a measure on $\mathbb{Z}_p^{(N)}$, and the restriction of $\mu_{z,N}$ to each $m + N\mathbb{Z}_p \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p$ is $z^m \mu_{z^N,1}$. One can define the integral of an element $\tilde{c} \in \mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{reg}}(\mathbb{Z}_p^{(N)} - \{0\}, K)$ with respect to such a measure μ by $\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \tilde{c}\mu = \lim_{u\to\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{p^u N} \tilde{c}(n)\mu(n + p^u N\mathbb{Z}_p)$.

Proposition 4.1.10. We have an isomorphism of normed K-vector spaces

$$\mathrm{comp}_{\mathfrak{A}(U^{\mathrm{an}}_{0\infty})} : \mathfrak{A}(U^{\mathrm{an}}_{0\infty}) \xrightarrow{\sim} K\delta_0 \oplus \mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{reg}}(\mathbb{Z}_p^{(N)} - \{0\}, K)$$
$$f = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}} c_n z^n \mapsto (c_0, \text{continuation of } (n \in \mathbb{N}^* \mapsto c_n \in K))$$

Its inverse is :

$$\mathrm{comp}^{-1}_{\mathfrak{A}(U^{\mathrm{an}}_{0\infty})} : (c_0, \tilde{c}) \to f(z) = c_0 + \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p^{(N)}} \tilde{c}\, d\mu_{z,N}$$

In particular we have an isomorphism of normed K-vector spaces :

$$\mathrm{distr} : \mathfrak{A}(U_{0\infty}^{\mathrm{an}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{A}((\mathbb{P}^{1,\mathrm{an}} -]1[)/K) \times \{f \in \mathfrak{A}((\mathbb{P}^{1,\mathrm{an}} -]1[)/K)) \mid f(\infty) = 0\}^{N-1}$$

$$f = \sum_{m=0}^{N-1} z^m f_m(z^N) \mapsto \big((f_0, (f_1, \ldots, f_{N-1})\big)$$

Proof. By definition, the elements of $\mathfrak{A}(U_{0\infty}^{\mathrm{an}})$ are the uniform limits of sequences of rational fractions over $\mathbb{P}^1$ whose poles are in $\cup_{i=1}^{N}]z_i[$. If $r \in]z_i[$, $i \in \{1, \ldots, N\}$, we have $r' = r z_i^{-1} \in]1[$, and, for all $\delta \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $\frac{1}{(z-r)^\delta} = \frac{z_i^{-\delta}}{(z.z_i^{-1} - r')^\delta}$; finally, $n \in \mathbb{N} \mapsto z_i^n$ is constant on each class of congruence modulo N. Thus, necessarily, if $f \in \mathfrak{A}(U^{\mathrm{an}})$, the maps $f_0, \ldots, f_{N-1}$ as in the statement are in $\mathfrak{A}((\mathbb{P}^1 -]1[)/K)$; and because f is continuous at ∞ we must have $f_1(\infty) = \ldots, f_{N-1}(\infty) = 0$. The converse statement is clear. By the definition of the measures $\mu_{z,N}$ we are then reduced to prove that Mahler's lemma remains true for functions with values in K, which we leave to the reader. ☐

4.2. A subspace $\mathrm{LAE}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathcal{S}_\alpha,\xi}(\mathbb{Z}_p^{(N)} - \{0\}, K)$ of $C^{\mathrm{reg}}(\mathbb{Z}_p^{(N)} - \{0\}, K)$.

4.2.1. *Definition.*

Definition 4.2.1. Let $\mathcal{S}_\alpha \subset K^{\mathbb{N}}$ be the subspace consisting of the sequences $b = (b_l)_{l \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that there exist $\kappa_b, \kappa'_b, \kappa''_b \in \mathbb{R}_+^*$ such that, for all $l \in \mathbb{N}$, we have :

$$|b_l|_p \leq p^{\kappa_b + \frac{\kappa'_b}{\log(p)} \log(l + \kappa''_b) + (\alpha - 1) l}$$

This formulation of this assumption is not the most natural one but is the most adapted to our purposes.

Definition 4.2.2. Let $\mathrm{LAE}_{\mathcal{S}_\alpha,\xi}(\mathbb{Z}_p^{(N)}, K)$ be the space of functions $c : \mathbb{N} \to K$, such that, for all $n_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ and $i \in \{1, \ldots, N\}$, there exist sequences $(\kappa_{n_0}^{(l,i)}(c))_{l \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathcal{S}_\alpha$, such that, for $n \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ such that $|n - n_0|_p \leq p^{-\alpha}$ we have the absolutely convergent series expansion :

$$c(n) = \sum_{l \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{i=1}^{N} c^{(l,i)}(n_0) \xi^{-in} (n - n_0)^l \tag{4.2.1}$$

Indeed such functions extend to functions $\mathbb{Z}_p^{(N)} \to K$ that are locally analytic on each $m + N\mathbb{Z}_p$, with coefficients on the various $m + N\mathbb{Z}_p$ closely related to each other. Because we want to deal with elements obtained by $\mathrm{comp}_{\mathfrak{A}(U_{0\infty}^{\mathrm{an}})}(f)$ with $f \in \mathfrak{A}(U_{0\infty}^{\mathrm{an}})$ such that $f(0) = 0$, we will denote this space by $\mathrm{LAE}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathcal{S}_\alpha,\xi}(\mathbb{Z}_p^{(N)} - \{0\}, K)$, and view it as included in $\mathcal{C}_N^{\mathrm{reg}}(\mathbb{Z}_p - \{0\}, K)$. By sending c to its series expansions at $0, 1, \ldots, p^\alpha - 1$ as in equation (4.3.4), we obtain a natural isomorphism $\mathrm{LAE}_{\mathcal{S}_\alpha,\xi}(\mathbb{Z}_p, K) \simeq \mathcal{S}_\alpha^{Np^\alpha}$.

This definition is inspired by [U1], where Ünver computes p-adic multiple zeta values ($N = 1$) in depth one and two ; his proof uses that, in low depth, certain multiple harmonic sums regularized by the Frobenius of $\pi_1^{\mathrm{un,DR}}(\mathbb{P}^1 - \{0, 1, \infty\})$ (i.e. certain sequences of coefficients of the series expansion at 0 of $\mathrm{Li}^\dagger_{p,-1}$), admit, as functions of their upper bound n, itself viewed as a p-adic integer, certain p-adic analytic expansions at $n = 0$: for example, when $n \in p\mathbb{N}^*$, one has (by [W]) $\sum_{\substack{0<j<n \\ p \nmid j}} \frac{1}{j} = -\sum_{s \geq 1} p^{-(s+1)} \zeta_p(s+1) n^s$. We will discuss also below the relations between this space and a definition in [U2].

4.2.2. *Two families of elements of* $\mathrm{LAE}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathcal{S}_\alpha,\xi}(\mathbb{Z}_p^{(N)}-\{0\},K)$. We give two examples of sequences, indexed by convergent words on e_Z, of elements of $\mathrm{LAE}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathcal{S}_\alpha,\xi}(\mathbb{Z}_p^{(N)}-\{0\},K)$. The first one is the multiple harmonic sums associated with positive powers of the indices.

Lemma-Notation 4.2.3. For all $l_1,\dots,l_d\in\mathbb{N}$, and $i_1,\dots,i_{d+1}\in\{1,\dots,N\}$, let

$$n\in\mathbb{N}^*\mapsto\sigma_n\left(\begin{array}{c} z_{i_{d+1}},\dots,z_{i_1} \\ -l_d,\dots,-l_1\end{array}\right)=\sum_{0<n_1<\ldots<n_{d-1}<n_d<n}\Big(\frac{z_{i_2}}{z_{i_1}}\Big)^{n_1}\dots\Big(\frac{z_{i_{d+1}}}{z_{i_d}}\Big)^{n_d}\Big(\frac{1}{z_{i_{d+1}}}\Big)^{n}n_1^{l_1}\dots n_d^{l_d}$$

i) There exists a unique sequence of coefficients $\big(\mathcal{B}_m\left(\begin{array}{c} z_{i_{d+1}},\dots,z_{i_1} \\ l_d,\dots,l_1\end{array}\right)\big)_{m\in\{0,\dots,l_1+\ldots+l_d+d\}}$ in K and $A\in\{1,\dots,N\}$ such that we have, for all $n\in\mathbb{N}^*$,

$$\sigma\left(\begin{array}{c} z_{i_{d+1}},\dots,z_{i_1} \\ -l_d,\dots,-l_1\end{array}\right)=z_A^n\sum_{m=0}^{l_1+\ldots+l_d+d}\mathcal{B}_m\left(\begin{array}{c} z_{i_{d+1}},\dots,z_{i_1} \\ l_d,\dots,l_1\end{array}\right)n^m$$

ii) We have :

$$v_p\big(\mathcal{B}_m\left(\begin{array}{c} z_{i_{d+1}},\dots,z_{i_1} \\ l_d,\dots,l_1\end{array}\right)\big)\geq -d-d\frac{\log(l_1+\ldots+l_d+d)}{\log(p)}$$

In particular, $\sigma\left(\begin{array}{c} z_{i_{d+1}},\dots,z_{i_1} \\ -l_d,\dots,-l_1\end{array}\right)\in\mathrm{LAE}^{\mathrm{reg}}(\mathbb{Z}_p^{(N)}-\{0\},K)$.

Proof. By induction on the depth we are reduced to treat the case of $d=1$.
i) When $z_{i_1}=1$, we can write $\sum_{n_1=0}^{n-1}n_1^l=\sum_{m=1}^{l+1}\frac{1}{l+1}\binom{l+1}{m}B_{l+1-m}n^m$. When $z_{i_1}\neq 1$, we apply $(T\frac{d}{dT})^l$ to the equation $\sum_{n_1=0}^{n-1}T^{n_1}=\frac{1-T^n}{1-T}$, where T is a formal variable : this gives the result with $\mathcal{B}^l_m(z_{i_1})\in\mathbb{Z}[z_{i_1},\frac{1}{z_{i_1}},\frac{1}{z_{i_1}-1}]\subset\mathbb{Z}_p$.
ii) By Von Staudt-Clausen's theorem, we have $v_p(B_u)\geq -1$ for all $u\in\mathbb{N}$; for all $u\in\mathbb{N}$, $p^{v_p(u)}\leq u$ thus $v_p(\frac{1}{u})\geq -\frac{\log(u)}{\log(p)}$; whence for any z_{i_1}, $v_p(\mathcal{B}^l_m(z_{i_1}))\geq -1-\frac{\log(l+1)}{\log(p)}$. ☐

Second example : there is a regular variant of multiple harmonic sums that can be viewed as a naive analogue of the regularization by Frobenius of multiple harmonic sums :

$$\mathrm{reg}_{p^\alpha\nmid}\,\mathrm{har}_n\left(\begin{array}{c} z_{i_{d+1}},\dots,z_{i_1} \\ s_d,\dots,s_1\end{array}\right)=n^{s_d+\ldots+s_1}\sum_{\substack{0<n_1<\ldots<n_{d-1}<n_d<n \\ p^\alpha\nmid n_1,\dots,p^\alpha\nmid n_d}}\frac{\big(\frac{z_{i_2}}{z_{i_1}}\big)^{n_1}\dots\big(\frac{z_{i_{d+1}}}{z_{i_d}}\big)^{n_d}\big(\frac{1}{z_{i_{d+1}}}\big)^{n}}{n_1^{s_1}\dots n_d^{s_d}}$$

By the previous lemma and by writing $n_i^{-s_i}=(p^\alpha u_i+r_i)^{-s_i}=\sum_{l\in\mathbb{N}}\binom{-s}{l}\frac{(p^\alpha u_i)^l}{r_i^{s_i+l}}$, where $n_i=p^\alpha u_i+r_i$ is the Euclidean division of n_i by p^α, one can obtain that :

Lemma 4.2.4. The map $n\in\mathbb{N}^*\mapsto\mathrm{reg}_{p^\alpha\nmid}\,\mathrm{har}_n\left(\begin{array}{c} z_{i_{d+1}},\dots,z_{i_1} \\ s_d,\dots,s_1\end{array}\right)$ is in $\mathrm{LAE}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathcal{S}_\alpha,\xi}(\mathbb{Z}_p-\{0\},K)$; the coefficients κ at $n=0$ in the sense of equation (4.3.4) are in the algebra $\widehat{\mathrm{Har}}_{\mathcal{P}_N^{\mathbb{N}^*}}$ defined in §1.

In depth one, the naive regularization is closely related to the regularization by Frobenius ; in general, the two are entirely different, but the naive regularization gives us an indication on the shape of the multiple harmonic sums regularized by Frobenius. There is actually a genuine relation between the two regularizations, that will follow from I-2, §4-§5.

4.3. **Integration on** $\mathrm{LAE}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathcal{S}_\alpha,\xi}(\mathbb{Z}_p^{(N)}-\{0\},K)$**.** We want to study the image by $\mathrm{comp}_{\mathfrak{A}(U^{\mathrm{an}}_{0\infty})}$ of the maps on $\mathfrak{A}(U^{\mathrm{an}}_{0\infty})$ of integration $f\mapsto\int f\omega_0$, and $f\mapsto\int f\omega_{z_i}(z)$, $f\mapsto\int f\omega_{z_i^{p^\alpha}}(z^{p^\alpha})$, $i\in$

$\{1,\ldots,N\}$, on the subspace $\mathrm{LAE}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathcal{S}_\alpha,\xi}(\mathbb{Z}_p^{(N)}-\{0\},K)$. By writing $f(z)\omega_{z_i}(z)=\big(f(z)\frac{z}{z-\xi_i}\big)\omega_0$ and $f(z)\omega_{z_i^{p^\alpha}}(z^{p^\alpha})=\big(f(z)\frac{z^{p^\alpha}}{z^{p^\alpha}-\xi_i^{p^\alpha}}\big)\omega_0$, $i\in\{1,\ldots,N\}$, we are reduced to study the multiplication of two elements $\mathrm{LAE}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathcal{S}_\alpha,\xi}(\mathbb{Z}_p^{(N)}-\{0\},K)$, and the map $f\mapsto\int f\omega_0$.

4.3.1. *The multiplication of* $\mathfrak{A}(U^{\mathrm{an}}_{0\infty})$ *in* $\mathrm{LAE}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathcal{S}_\alpha,\xi}(\mathbb{Z}_p^{(N)}-\{0\},K)$. The Lemma-Notation 4.2.3 implies the following :

Lemma-Notation 4.3.1. Let $l,l'\in\mathbb{N}$, $i\in\{1,\ldots,N\}$.

i) There exist unique sequences $\big(\mathcal{B}_l^{(m,m')}(z_i)\big)$, $\big({}_*\mathcal{B}_l^{(m,m')}(z_i)\big)$, $\big({}_*\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_l^{m,m'}(z_i)\big)$, $\big({}_*\widetilde{\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}}_l^{m,m'}(z_i)\big)$ of elements of K indexed by $\{(l,m,m')\in\mathbb{N}^3\mid 0\leq l\leq m+m'+1\}$, such that we have, for all $u\in\mathbb{N}$, respectively :

$$\sum_{u_1=1}^{u-1}u_1^m(u-u_1)^{m'}z_i^{u_1}=\sum_{m=0}^{l+l'+1}\mathcal{B}_l^{m,m'}(z_i)u^m z_i^u$$

$$\sum_{u_1=1}^{u}u_1^m(u-u_1)^{m'}z_i^{u_1}=\sum_{m=0}^{l+l'+1}{}_*\mathcal{B}_l^{m,m'}(z_i)u^m z_i^u$$

$$\sum_{u_1=0}^{u}u_1^m(u-u_1)^{m'}z_i^{u_1}=\sum_{m=0}^{l+l'+1}{}_*\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_l^{m,m'}(z_i)u^m z_i^u$$

$$\sum_{u_1=0}^{u-1}u_1^m(u-1-u_1)^{m'}z_i^{u_1}=\sum_{m=0}^{l+l'+1}{}_*\widetilde{\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}}_l^{m,m'}(z_i)u^m z_i^u$$

ii) We have, for all $i\in\{1,\ldots,N\}$ and for all (l,m,m') :

$$\min\Big(v_p(\mathcal{B}_l^{m,m'}(z_i)),v_p({}_*\mathcal{B}_l^{m,m'}(z_i)),v_p({}_*\widetilde{\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}}_l^{(m,m')}(z_i)),v_p({}_*\widetilde{\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}}_l^{m,m'}(z_i))\Big)\geq -1-\frac{\log(1+m+m')}{\log(p)}$$

Proposition 4.3.2. Let $c_1,c_2\in\mathrm{LAE}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathcal{S}_\alpha,\xi}(\mathbb{Z}_p^{(N)}-\{0\},K)$, and $f_i=\mathrm{comp}^{-1}_{\mathfrak{A}(U^{\mathrm{an}}_{0\infty})}(0,c_i)$, $i=1,2$. Then the map $\mathrm{comp}_{\mathfrak{A}(U^{\mathrm{an}}_{0\infty})}(f_1f_2):\mathbb{N}^*\to K$ extends to the element of $\mathrm{LAE}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathcal{S}_\alpha,\xi}(\mathbb{Z}_p^{(N)}-\{0\},K)$ characterized by, for all $l\in\mathbb{N}$ and $i\in\{1,\ldots,N\}$:

(4.3.1)

$$\mathrm{comp}_{\mathfrak{A}(U^{\mathrm{an}}_{0\infty})}(f_1f_2)^{(l,i)}(0)=\sum_{\substack{m,m'\in\mathbb{N}\\ m+m'+1\geq l}}(p^\alpha)^{m+m'-l}\sum_{j=1}^{N}\Bigg[\mathcal{B}_l^{m,m'}(\xi^{-ip^\alpha+jp^\alpha})\;c_1^{(m,i)}(0)\;c_2^{(m',j)}(0)$$
$$+\sum_{r=1}^{p^\alpha-1}{}_*\widetilde{\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}}_l^{m,m'}(\xi^{-ip^\alpha+jp^\alpha})\xi^{jr}\;c_1^{(m,i)}(r)\;c_2^{(m',j)}(p^\alpha-r)\Bigg]$$

and, for all $r_0 \in \{1,\dots,p^\alpha - 1\}$.

$$(4.3.2)\quad \mathrm{comp}_{\mathfrak{A}(U^{\mathrm{an}}_{0\infty})}(f_1 f_2)^{((l,i))}(r_0) =$$

$$\sum_{\substack{m,m'\in\mathbb{N}\\ m+m'+1\geq l}} (p^\alpha)^{m+m'-l} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \Bigg[{}_*\mathcal{B}_l^{m,m'}(\xi^{-ip^\alpha+jp^\alpha})\xi^{(i-j)r_0}\ c_1^{(m,i)}(0)\ c_2^{(m',j)}(r_0)$$

$$+ \sum_{r=1}^{r_0} {}_*\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_l^{m,m'}(\xi^{ip^\alpha-jp^\alpha})\xi^{(i-j)(r_0-r)}\ c_1^{(m,i)}(r)\ c_2^{(m',j)}(r_0-r)$$

$$+ \sum_{r=r_0+1}^{p^\alpha-1} {}_*\widetilde{\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}}_l^{m,m'}(\xi^{-ip^\alpha+jp^\alpha})\xi^{jp^\alpha}\xi^{(i-j)(r_0-r)}\ c_1^{(m,i)}(r)\ c_2^{(m',j)}(p^\alpha+r_0-r)\Bigg]$$

Proof. We have, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $\mathrm{comp}_{\mathfrak{A}(U^{\mathrm{an}}_{0\infty})}(f_1 f_2)_n = \sum_{n_1=1}^{n-1} c_{1n_1} c_{2n-n_1}$. We prove the desired series expansion of $\mathrm{comp}_{\mathfrak{A}(U^{\mathrm{an}}_{0\infty})}(f_1 f_2)$ at 0, the one at $r_0 \in \{1,\dots,p^\alpha-1\}$ being proved similarly. Let $n = p^\alpha u \in \mathbb{N}^*$ with $u \in \mathbb{N}^*$. Let us write :

$$\mathrm{comp}_{\mathfrak{A}(U^{\mathrm{an}}_{0\infty})}(f_1 f_2)_n = \sum_{u'=1}^{u-1} c_{p^\alpha u'} c'_{p^\alpha(u-u')} + \sum_{u'=0}^{u-1}\sum_{u'=1}^{p^\alpha-1} c_{p^\alpha u'+r} c'_{p^\alpha(u-1-u')+p^\alpha-r}$$

then let us replace c and c' by their series expansion at 0, resp. at $r \in \{1,\dots,p^\alpha-1\}$ given by equation (4.3.4), and replace the sums over u' that appear by their expressions given by Lemma-Notation 4.3.1. Up to the inversion of an absolutely convergent double series, this gives $\mathrm{comp}_{\mathfrak{A}(U^{\mathrm{an}}_{0\infty})}(f_1 f_2)_n = \sum_{lm\in\mathbb{N}}\sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathrm{comp}_{\mathfrak{A}(U^{\mathrm{an}}_{0\infty})}(f_1 f_2)^{(l,i)}(0)\xi^{-in}n^l$ with $\mathrm{comp}_{\mathfrak{A}(U^{\mathrm{an}}_{0\infty})}(f_1 f_2)^{(l,i)}(0)$ as in the statement ; it remains to bound the valuation of $\mathrm{comp}_{\mathfrak{A}(U^{\mathrm{an}}_{0\infty})}(f_1 f_2)^{(l,i)}(0)$ of the statement. We have

$$(4.3.3)\quad v_p(\mathrm{comp}_{\mathfrak{A}(U^{\mathrm{an}}_{0\infty})}(f_1 f_2)^{(l,i)}(0)) \geq \inf_{\substack{m,m'\in\mathbb{N}\\ m+m'+1\geq l}} \Bigg(\alpha(m+m'-l) - (\alpha-1)(m+m')$$

$$- (1 + \frac{\log(m+m'+1)}{\log(p)} + \kappa_{c_1} + \frac{\kappa'_{c_1}}{\log(p)}\log(m+\kappa''_{c_1}) + \kappa_{c_2} + \frac{\kappa'_{c_2}}{\log(p)}\log(m'+\kappa_{c_2}))\Bigg)$$

$$\geq \inf_{\delta\in[-1,+\infty[}\Bigg(\delta - (1+\kappa_{c_1}+\kappa_{c_2}) - \frac{1+\kappa'_{c_1}+\kappa'_{c_2}}{\log(p)}\log(l+\delta+\max(1,\kappa''_{c_1},\kappa''_{c_2})) - (\alpha-1)l\Bigg)$$

where we have posed $\delta = m+m'-l$. The map $\delta \mapsto \delta - \frac{1+\kappa'_{c_1}+\kappa'_{c_2}}{\log(p)}\log(l+\delta+\max(1,\kappa''_{c_1},\kappa''_{c_2}))$ is increasing on $[\frac{1+\kappa'_{c_1}+\kappa'_{c_2}}{\log(p)} - l - \max(1,\kappa''_{c_1},\kappa''_{c_2}), +\infty[$, and for $l \geq \frac{1+\kappa'_{c_1}+\kappa'_{c_2}}{\log(p)} - \max(1,\kappa''_{c_1},\kappa''_{c_2})+1$, we have : $[\frac{1+\kappa'_{c_1}+\kappa'_{c_2}}{\log(p)} - l - \max(1,\kappa''_{c_1},\kappa''_{c_2}), +\infty[\subset [-1,+\infty[$, whence :

$$v_p(\mathrm{comp}_{\mathfrak{A}(U^{\mathrm{an}}_{0\infty})}(f_1 f_2)^{(l,i)}(0)) \geq -\big(2+\kappa_{c_1}+\kappa_{c_2}\big) - \frac{1+\kappa'_{c_1}+\kappa'_{c_2}}{\log(p)}\log(l-1+\max(1,\kappa''_{c_1},\kappa''_{c_2})) - (\alpha-1)l$$

Since this is true for all $l \in \mathbb{N}$ except a finite number of values, we deduce the result. □

Remark 4.3.3. The Proposition 5.0.5 of [U2] can be generalized into a variant of this Proposition. Let $\mathrm{LAE}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\alpha,\xi}(\mathbb{Z}_p^{(N)} - \{0\}, K)$ be the space defined like $\mathrm{LAE}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathcal{S}_\alpha,\xi}(\mathbb{Z}_p^{(N)} - \{0\}, K)$ except that the condition of bounds of the valuation of $c^{(l,i)}(r_0)$ is replaced by the fact that the analytic-exponential expansions are convergent on disks of radius strictly superior to $p^{-\alpha}$. Then $\mathrm{LAE}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\alpha,\xi}(\mathbb{Z}_p^{(N)} - \{0\}, K)$ is also stable by multiplication in the sense of the previous proposition. Given c_1, c_2, f_1, f_2 as above, we obtain in the same way that $\mathrm{comp}_{\mathfrak{A}(U^{\mathrm{an}}_{0\infty})}(f_1 f_2)$ has the same analytic-exponential expansion with the one of the proposition, and then one wants to bound the valuation of the coefficients. Assume that the expansions of c_1 and c_2 are convergent on a disk or radius $(p^{-\alpha})^{1-\nu}$, $\nu > 0$, and write

$$(p^{\alpha})^{l(1-\frac{\nu}{2})} \sum_{\substack{m,m' \in \mathbb{N} \\ m+m'+1 \geq l}} (p^{\alpha})^{m+m'-l} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \mathcal{B}_{l}^{m,m'}(\xi^{-ip^{\alpha}+jp^{\alpha}})\ c_1^{(m,i)}(0)\ c_2^{(m',j)}(0) =$$

$$\sum_{\substack{m,m' \in \mathbb{N} \\ m+m'+1 \geq l}} (p^{\alpha})^{m+m'-l} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \xi^{(j-i)p^{\alpha}} \left(p^{\alpha\frac{l}{2}\nu-\delta(1-\nu)} \mathcal{B}_{l}^{m,m'}\right) \left(p^{m(1-\nu)} c_1^{(m,i)}(0)\right) \left(p^{m'(1-\nu)} c_2^{(m',j)}(0)\right)$$

where $\delta = m + m' - l$; we have, for all m, m',

$$v_p\big((p^{\alpha})^{m+m'-l} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \xi^{(j-i)p^{\alpha}} p^{\alpha\frac{l}{2}\nu-\delta(1-\nu)} \mathcal{B}_{l}^{m,m'}\big) \geq \alpha\big(\nu\frac{l+\delta}{2} - 1 - \frac{\log(l+\delta+1)}{\log(p)}\big)$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{\log(p)} - \frac{\nu}{2} + \frac{\log(\frac{2}{\nu}\log(p))}{\log(p)}$$

Thus we obtain that the series expansions of $\text{comp}_{\mathfrak{A}(U_{0\infty}^{\text{an}})}(f_1 f_2)$ are convergent on disks of radius $(p^{-\alpha})^{1-\frac{\nu}{2}}$.

4.3.2. *The map* $f \mapsto p^{\alpha} \int_0 f\omega_0$ *of* $\mathfrak{A}(U_{0\infty}^{\text{an}})$ *in* $\text{LAE}_{\mathcal{S}_{\alpha},\xi}^{\text{reg}}(\mathbb{Z}_p^{(N)} - \{0\}, K)$.

Definition 4.3.4. Let $\text{LAE}^{\text{pol}}(\mathbb{Z}_p^{(N)} - \{0\}, K)$ be the set of functions $\mathbb{Z}_p - \{0\} \to K$ satisfying series expansion as in (4.3.4), with sequences of coefficients in $\mathcal{S}_{\alpha}$, except that the series expansion at 0 starts at $l = -1$: for $|n|_p \leq p^{-\alpha}$,

$$c(n) = \sum_{l=-1}^{+\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{N} c^{(l,i)}(0) \xi^{-in} (n - n_0)^{l} \tag{4.3.4}$$

Lemma 4.3.5. Let $c \in \text{LAE}_{\mathcal{S}_{\alpha},\xi}^{\text{reg}}(\mathbb{Z}_p^{(N)} - \{0\}, K)$ and $f = \text{comp}_{\mathfrak{A}(U_{0\infty}^{\text{an}})}^{-1}(0, c)$. Then $p^{\alpha}\, \text{comp}_{\mathfrak{A}(U_{0\infty}^{\text{an}})} \int f\omega_0 : \mathbb{N}^{*} \to K$ $(n \mapsto p^{\alpha}\frac{c_n}{n})$ defines the element of $\text{LAE}^{\text{pol}}(\mathbb{Z}_p^{(N)} - \{0\}, K)$ characterized by, for all l, i and for all $r \in \{1, \ldots, p^{\alpha} - 1\}$,

$$\big(p^{\alpha}\, \text{comp}_{\mathfrak{A}(U_{0\infty}^{\text{an}})} \int f\omega_0\big)^{(l,i)}(0) = p^{\alpha} c^{(l+1,i)}(0)$$

$$\big(p^{\alpha}\, \text{comp}_{\mathfrak{A}(U_{0\infty}^{\text{an}})} \int f\omega_0\big)^{(l,i)}(r) = p^{\alpha} \sum_{l_1=0}^{l} \frac{c^{(l_1,i)}(r)}{r^{l-l_1+1}}$$

Proof. Clear, and the series expansion at $r \in \{1, \ldots, p^{\alpha} - 1\}$ also reduces to a particular case of the Proposition 4.3.2. ☐

Remark 4.3.6. In [U2], Ünver provides a hint of systematization of the phenomenon of analytic expansion of regularized multiple harmonic sums. He defines a space of "N-power series functions" ([U2], Definition 5.0.2), and shows that it is stable by certain operations of regularized integration ; our space $\text{LAE}_{\mathcal{S}_{\alpha},\xi}(\mathbb{Z}_p, K)$ plays here a similar role. However, our space $\text{LAE}_{\mathcal{S}_{\alpha},\xi}(\mathbb{Z}_p, K)$ is a very specific subspace of the one of N-power series functions ; it seems to us that, when $N > 1$, it is not possible to use only the space N-power series functions to relate cyclotomic p-adic multiple zeta values and prime weighted multiple harmonic sums and prove our Theorem I-1. Indeed, the values of general N-power series functions on different classes of congruences modulo N are unrelated to each other.

4.4. Regularization $\mathrm{LAE}^{\mathrm{pol}}(\mathbb{Z}_p^{(N)} - \{0\}, K) \to \mathrm{LAE}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathcal{S}_\alpha,\xi}(\mathbb{Z}_p^{(N)} - \{0\}, K)$.

We denote by $V_\xi = (\xi^{ij})_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq N \\ 1 \leq j \leq N}} \in M_N(\mathbb{Q}(\xi))$ the Vandermonde matrix associated with the sequence $(\xi, \ldots, \xi^N) = (z_1, \ldots, z_N)$.

Lemma 4.4.1. We have clearly :

$$\mathrm{LAE}^{\mathrm{pol}}(\mathbb{Z}_p^{(N)} - \{0\}, K) \cap \mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{reg}}(\mathbb{Z}_p^{(N)} - \{0\}, K) = \mathrm{LAE}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathcal{S}_\alpha,\xi}(\mathbb{Z}_p^{(N)} - \{0\}, K)$$

$$\mathrm{LAE}^{\mathrm{pol}}(\mathbb{Z}_p^{(N)} - \{0\}, K) = \mathrm{Vect}(f_i : n \mapsto \mathbb{1}_{p^\alpha | n} \frac{\xi^{-in}}{n},\ i = 1 \ldots N) \oplus \mathrm{LAE}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathcal{S}_\alpha,\xi}(\mathbb{Z}_p - \{0\}, K)$$

Proof. An element c of $\mathrm{LAE}^{\mathrm{pol}}(\mathbb{Z}_p^{(N)} - \{0\}, K) \cap \mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{reg}}(\mathbb{Z}_p^{(N)} - \{0\}, K)$ satisfies $\begin{pmatrix} \lim\limits_{\substack{|n|_p \to 0 \\ n \equiv 1 \mod N}} nc(n) \\ \vdots \\ \lim\limits_{\substack{|n|_p \to 0 \\ n \equiv N \mod N}} nc(n) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$; for all $c \in \mathrm{LAE}^{\mathrm{pol}}(\mathbb{Z}_p^{(N)} - \{0\}, K)$, we have $\begin{pmatrix} \lim\limits_{\substack{|n|_p \to 0 \\ n \equiv 1 \mod N}} nc(n) \\ \vdots \\ \lim\limits_{\substack{|n|_p \to 0 \\ n \equiv N \mod N}} nc(n) \end{pmatrix} = V_\xi \begin{pmatrix} c^{(-1,1)}(0) \\ \vdots \\ c^{(-1,N)}(0) \end{pmatrix}$; and, since $\xi, \ldots, \xi^N$ are pairwise distinct, V_ξ is invertible ; whence the result. ☐

Corollary 4.4.2. Let $c \in \mathrm{LAE}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathcal{S}_\alpha,\xi}(\mathbb{Z}_p^{(N)} - \{0\}, K)$ and $f = \mathrm{comp}^{-1}_{\mathfrak{A}(U^{\mathrm{an}}_{0\infty})}(0, c)$. The map $p^\alpha \,\mathrm{comp}_{\mathfrak{A}(U^{\mathrm{an}}_{0\infty})} \int f\omega_0$ is in $\mathrm{LAE}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathcal{S}_\alpha,\xi}(\mathbb{Z}_p^{(N)} - \{0\}, K)$ if and only if $c^{(0,1)}(0) = \ldots = c^{(0,N)}(0) = 0$.

Proof. Lemma 4.3.5 and Lemma 4.4.1. ☐

We note that f_i above is equal to $\mathrm{comp}_{\mathfrak{A}(U^{\mathrm{an}}_{0\infty})} \int \frac{z^{p^\alpha}}{z^{p^\alpha} - z_i^{p^\alpha}} \omega_0 = \mathrm{comp}_{\mathfrak{A}(U^{\mathrm{an}}_{0\infty})} \int \omega_{z_i^{p^\alpha}}(z^{p^\alpha})$.

Definition 4.4.3. i) For all $h_1, \ldots, h_N$ in $\mathrm{LAE}^{\mathrm{pol}}(\mathbb{Z}_p^{(N)} - \{0\}, K)$ such that $h_i - f_i \in \mathrm{LAE}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathcal{S}_\alpha,\xi}(\mathbb{Z}_p^{(N)} - \{0\}, K)$ for all i, let

$$\mathrm{reg}^{\mathrm{LAE}}_{h_1,\ldots,h_N} : \mathrm{LAE}^{\mathrm{pol}}(\mathbb{Z}_p^{(N)} - \{0\}, K) \to \mathrm{LAE}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathcal{S}_\alpha,\xi}(\mathbb{Z}_p^{(N)} - \{0\}, K)$$

$$c \mapsto c - \sum_{i=1}^{N} c^{(-1,i)} h_i$$

ii) Let $\mathrm{reg}^{\mathrm{LAE}}_{X_K} = \mathrm{reg}_{\mathrm{comp}_{\mathfrak{A}(U^{\mathrm{an}}_{0\infty})} \int \frac{z}{z - z_1}\omega_0, \ldots, \mathrm{comp}_{\mathfrak{A}(U^{\mathrm{an}}_{0\infty})} \int \frac{z}{z - z_N}\omega_0} = \mathrm{reg}_{\mathrm{comp}_{\mathfrak{A}(U^{\mathrm{an}}_{0\infty})} \int \omega_{z_1}, \ldots, \mathrm{comp}_{\mathfrak{A}(U^{\mathrm{an}}_{0\infty})} \int \omega_{z_N}}$.
iii) Let $\mathrm{reg}^{\mathrm{LAE}}_{X_K^{(p^\alpha)}} = \mathrm{reg}_{\mathrm{comp}_{\mathfrak{A}(U^{\mathrm{an}}_{0\infty})} \int \frac{z^{p^\alpha}}{z^{p^\alpha} - z_1^{p^\alpha}}\omega_0, \ldots, \mathrm{comp}_{\mathfrak{A}(U^{\mathrm{an}}_{0\infty})} \int \frac{z^{p^\alpha}}{z^{p^\alpha} - z_N^{p^\alpha}}\omega_0} = \mathrm{reg}_{f_1,\ldots,f_N}$.

The reasons for considering specifically the examples ii) and iii), besides the fact that $\mathrm{reg}^{\mathrm{LAE}}_{f_1,\ldots,f_N}$ is the most natural choice of a $\mathrm{reg}^{\mathrm{LAE}}_{h_1,\ldots,h_N}$, will be explained in §6.1. We will work below with $\mathrm{reg}^{\mathrm{LAE}}_{X_K^{(p^\alpha)}}$ and we leave to the reader the variants with $\mathrm{reg}^{\mathrm{LAE}}_{X_K}$.

4.5. Regularized iterated integrals of $\omega_0(z), \omega_{z_i}(z), \omega_{z_i}(z^{p^\alpha})$, $i = \{1, \ldots, N\}$, in $\mathrm{LAE}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathcal{S}_\alpha,\xi}(\mathbb{Z}_p^{(N)} - \{0\}, K)$.

4.5.1. *Definition.*

Definition 4.5.1. i) Let $\mathrm{reg}_{X_K^{(p^\alpha)}} : K\langle e_{Z \cup Z^{(p^\alpha)}} \rangle^{\mathrm{conv}} \to K\langle e_{Z \cup Z^{(p^\alpha)}} \rangle^{\mathrm{conv}}$ be the linear map that sends the empty word to itself and a word $w = e_{z_{i_v}} \ldots e_{z_{i_1}}$ $(i_1, \ldots, i_v \in \{0, 1, \ldots, N, 1^{(p^\alpha)}, \ldots, N^{(p^\alpha)}\})$

to

$$\mathrm{reg}_{X_K^{(p^\alpha)}}(w) = (e_{z_{i_v}} - \sum_{i=1}^{N} c^{(0,i)}(\omega_{z_{i_v}}) e_{z_i^{(p^\alpha)}}) \,\mathrm{reg}_{X_K^{(p^\alpha)}}(e_{z_{i_{v-1}}} \ldots e_{z_{i_1}})$$

ii) The map $\int_{\mathrm{reg}_{X_K^{(p^\alpha)}}} : K\langle e_{Z \cup Z^{(p^\alpha)}} \rangle^{\mathrm{conv}} \to \mathrm{LAE}^{\mathrm{reg}}(\mathbb{Z}_p - \{0\}, K)$ (variant of $\int$ of Definition 3.2.2) is the linear map that sends a word $w = e_{z_{i_v}} \ldots e_{z_{i_1}}$ as above to

$$\int_{\mathrm{reg}_{X_K^{(p^\alpha)}}} w = \mathrm{reg}^{\mathrm{LAE}}_{X_K^{(p^\alpha)}} \,\mathrm{comp}_{\mathfrak{A}(U^{\mathrm{an}}_{0\infty})} \int e_{z_{i_v}} \,\mathrm{comp}^{-1}_{\mathfrak{A}(U^{\mathrm{an}}_{0\infty})}(0, \int_{\mathrm{reg}_{X_K^{(p^\alpha)}}} e_{z_{i_{v-1}}} \ldots e_{z_{i_1}}) = \int \mathrm{reg}_{X_K^{(p^\alpha)}}(w)$$

4.5.2. *Formula by induction on the depth.* We now give an inductive formula for $\int_{\mathrm{reg}_{X_K^{(p^\alpha)}}} w$ for all w. Let us first give a formula for the multiplication by $\frac{z}{z-\xi^{i_0}}$, and $\frac{z^{p^\alpha}}{z^{p^\alpha}-\xi^{i_0 p^\alpha}}$, $i_0 \in \{1,\ldots,N\}$.

Notation 4.5.2. Let $\mathcal{B}_l^m(z_i) = \mathcal{B}_l^{m,0}(z_i)$, and similarly for ${}_*\mathcal{B}$, ${}_*\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}$, ${}_*\widetilde{\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}}$ of Lemma-Notation 4.3.1.

Corollary 4.5.3. Let $c \in \mathrm{LAE}^{\mathrm{reg}}(\mathbb{Z}_p^{(N)} - \{0\}, K)$ and $f = \mathrm{comp}^{-1}_{\mathfrak{A}(U^{\mathrm{an}}_{0\infty})}(0, c)$.
i) $\mathrm{comp}_{\mathfrak{A}(U^{\mathrm{an}}_{0\infty})}(f\frac{z}{z-\xi^{i_0}})$ is the element of $\mathrm{LAE}^{\mathrm{reg}}(\mathbb{Z}_p^{(N)} - \{0\}, K)$ characterized by, for all $l \in \mathbb{N}$, $i \in \{1,\ldots,N\}$, and $r_0 \in \{1,\ldots,p^\alpha - 1\}$,

$$\mathrm{comp}_{\mathfrak{A}(U^{\mathrm{an}}_{0\infty})}(f\frac{z}{z-\xi^{i_0}})^{(l,i)}(0) = \tag{4.5.1}$$
$$- \sum_{L \geq -1} (p^\alpha)^L \left[\mathcal{B}_l^{l+L}(\xi^{(i_0-i)p^\alpha})\ c^{(l+L,i)}(0) + \sum_{r=1}^{p^\alpha-1} {}_*\widetilde{\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}}_l^{l+L}(\xi^{(i_0-i)p^\alpha})\xi^{jr}\ c^{(l+L,i)}(r)\right]$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{comp}_{\mathfrak{A}(U^{\mathrm{an}}_{0\infty})}(f\frac{z}{z-\xi^{i_0}})^{(l,i)}(r_0) = &- \sum_{L \geq -1} (p^\alpha)^L \Big[{}_*\mathcal{B}_l^{l+L}(\xi^{(i_0-i)p^\alpha})\xi^{(i-i_0)r_0}\ c^{(l+L,i)}(0) \\ &+ {}_*\widetilde{\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}}_l^{l+L}(\xi^{(i_0-i)p^\alpha})\xi^{i_0 p^\alpha} \sum_{r=1}^{p^\alpha-1} \xi^{(i-i_0)(r_0-r)}\ c^{(l+L,i)}(r)\Big] \\ &- (\xi^{(i-i_0)p^\alpha}) \sum_{r=1}^{r_0} \xi^{(i-i_0)(r_0-r)}\ c^{(l,i)}(r)\end{aligned} \tag{4.5.2}$$

ii) $\mathrm{comp}_{\mathfrak{A}(U^{\mathrm{an}}_{0\infty})}(f\frac{z^{p^\alpha}}{z^{p^\alpha}-\xi^{i_0 p^\alpha}})$ is the element of $\mathrm{LAE}^{\mathrm{reg}}(\mathbb{Z}_p^{(N)} - \{0\}, K)$ characterized by, for all $l \in \mathbb{N}$, $i \in \{1,\ldots,N\}$, and $r_0 \in \{1,\ldots,p^\alpha - 1\}$,

$$\mathrm{comp}_{\mathfrak{A}(U^{\mathrm{an}}_{0\infty})}(f\frac{z^{p^\alpha}}{z^{p^\alpha}-\xi^{i_0 p^\alpha}})^{(l,i)}(0) = - \sum_{L \geq -1} (p^\alpha)^L \left[\mathcal{B}_l^{l+L}(\xi^{(i_0-i)p^\alpha})\ c^{(l+L,i)}(0)\right] \tag{4.5.3}$$

$$\mathrm{comp}_{\mathfrak{A}(U^{\mathrm{an}}_{0\infty})}(f\frac{z^{p^\alpha}}{z^{p^\alpha}-\xi^{i_0 p^\alpha}})^{(l,i)}(r_0) = - \sum_{L \geq -1} (p^\alpha)^L \left[{}_*\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_l^{l+L}(\xi^{(i-i_0)p^\alpha})\ c^{(l+L,i)}(r_0)\right] \tag{4.5.4}$$

Proof. Particular case of Proposition 4.3.2, using that ${}_*\widetilde{\widetilde{B}}_l^m = {}_*\widetilde{B}_l^m - \mathbb{1}_{l=m}$. □

Corollary 4.5.4. Let $c \in \mathrm{LAE}^{\mathrm{reg}}(\mathbb{Z}_p^{(N)} - \{0\}, K)$ and $s \in \mathbb{N}^*$. Then, for all $l \in \mathbb{N}$, $i \in \{1,\ldots,N\}$ and for all $r_0 \in \{1,\ldots,p^\alpha - 1\}$,

$$\big((p^\alpha)^s\,\mathrm{comp}_{\mathfrak{A}(U^{\mathrm{an}}_{0\infty})} \int f\omega_0\big)^{(l,i)}(0) = (p^\alpha)^s c^{(l+s,i)}(0)$$

$$\big((p^{\alpha})^{s}\, \mathrm{comp}_{\mathfrak{A}(U^{\mathrm{an}}_{0\infty})} \int f\omega_0\big)^{(l,i)}(r_0) = (p^{\alpha})^{s} \sum_{l_1=0}^{l} \binom{-s}{l-l_1} \frac{c^{(l_1,i)}(r_0)}{r_0^{l-l_1+s}}$$

Proof. Follows from Corollary 4.3.5 and Definition 4.4.3. □

Combining Corollary 4.5.3 and Corollary 4.5.4 we obtain a formula inductive on the depth for regularized iterated integration.

Proposition 4.5.5. Let a word $w = e_0^{s_d-1} e_{y_d^{u_d}} \ldots e_0^{s_1-1} e_{y_1^{u_1}}$, with $s_d, \ldots, s_1 \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $y_1, \ldots, y_d \in \{z_1, \ldots, z_N\}$, $u_1, \ldots, u_d \in \{1, (p^{\alpha})\}$. We have $\partial^{s_d} w = e_0^{s_{d-1}-1} e_{y_{d-1}^{u_{d-1}}} \ldots e_0^{s_1-1} e_{y_1^{u_1}}$ with ∂ as in Notation 3.3.6. Let $l \in \mathbb{N}$, $i \in \{1, \ldots, N\}$, and $r_0 \in \{1, \ldots, p^{\alpha}-1\}$.
i) If $u_d = 1$ then

(4.5.5)
$$\Big(\int_{\mathrm{reg}_{X_K^{(p^\alpha)}}} w\Big)^{(l,i)}(0) = -(p^{\alpha})^{s_d} \sum_{L\geq -1} (p^{\alpha})^{L} \Bigg[\mathcal{B}_{l+s_d}^{l+s_d+L}(\xi^{(i_0-i)p^{\alpha}}) \Big(\int_{\mathrm{reg}_{X_K^{(p^\alpha)}}} \partial^{s_d} w\Big)^{(l+s_d+L,i)}(0) + \sum_{r=1}^{p^{\alpha}-1} {}_{*}\widetilde{\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}}_{l+s_d}^{l+s_d+L}(\xi^{(i_0-i)p^{\alpha}})\xi^{jr} \Big(\int_{\mathrm{reg}_{X_K^{(p^\alpha)}}} \partial^{s_d} w\Big)^{(l+s_d+L,i)}(r)\Bigg]$$

(4.5.6)
$$\Big(\int_{\mathrm{reg}_{X_K^{(p^\alpha)}}} w\Big)^{(l,i)}(r_0) = - (p^{\alpha})^{s_d} \sum_{l_1=0}^{l} \frac{\binom{-s_d}{l-l_1}}{r^{l-l_1+s_d}} \Bigg[\sum_{L\geq -1} (p^{\alpha})^{L} \Bigg[{}_{*}\mathcal{B}_{l_1}^{l_1+L}(\xi^{(i_0-i)p^{\alpha}})\xi^{(i-i_0)r_0} \Big(\int_{\mathrm{reg}_{X_K^{(p^\alpha)}}} \partial^{s_d} w\Big)^{(l_1+L,i)}(0) + {}_{*}\widetilde{\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}}_{l_1}^{l_1+L}(\xi^{(i_0-i)p^{\alpha}})\xi^{i_0p^{\alpha}} \sum_{r=1}^{p^{\alpha}-1} \xi^{(i-i_0)(r_0-r)} \Big(\int_{\mathrm{reg}_{X_K^{(p^\alpha)}}} \partial^{s_d} w\Big)^{(l_1+L,i)}(r)\Bigg] - (\xi^{(i-i_0)p^{\alpha}}) \sum_{r=1}^{r_0} \xi^{(i-i_0)(r_0-r)} \Big(\int_{\mathrm{reg}_{X_K^{(p^\alpha)}}} \partial^{s_d} w\Big)^{(l_1,i)}(r)\Bigg]$$

ii) If $u_d = p^{\alpha}$ then
(4.5.7)
$$\Big(\int_{\mathrm{reg}_{X_K^{(p^\alpha)}}} w\Big)^{(l,i)}(0) = -(p^{\alpha})^{s_d} \sum_{L\geq -1} (p^{\alpha})^{L} \Bigg[{}_{*}\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_{l+s_d}^{l+s_d+L}(\xi^{(i-i_0)p^{\alpha}}) \Big(\int_{\mathrm{reg}_{X_K^{(p^\alpha)}}} \partial^{s_d} w\Big)^{(l+s_d+L,i)}(0)\Bigg]$$

(4.5.8)
$$\Big(\int_{\mathrm{reg}_{X_K^{(p^\alpha)}}} w\Big)^{(l,i)}(r_0) = - (p^{\alpha})^{s_d} \sum_{l_1=0}^{l} \frac{\binom{-s_d}{l-l_1}}{r^{l-l_1+s_d}} \sum_{L\geq -1} (p^{\alpha})^{L} \Bigg[{}_{*}\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_{l_1}^{l_1+L}(\xi^{(i-i_0)p^{\alpha}}) \Big(\int_{\mathrm{reg}_{X_K^{(p^\alpha)}}} \partial^{s_d} w\Big)^{(l_1+L,i)}(r_0)\Bigg]$$

4.5.3. *Relation with multiple harmonic sums.* We define a variant of the algebra $\widehat{\mathrm{Har}}_{\mathcal{P}_N^{\mathbb{N}^*}}$ defined in (1.7). It is again convenient to consider all values of p and α at the same time.

Definition 4.5.6. Let $r \in \mathbb{N}$.
i) Let

$$(4.5.9) \quad \widehat{\mathrm{Har}}_{\left(\mathcal{P}_N^{\mathbb{N}^*}\right)_{\geq r}} = \mathrm{Vect}_{\mathbb{Q}}\Big\{ \Big(\sum_{L \in \mathbb{N}} F_L(\xi, \ldots, \xi^{N-1}) \, \mathrm{har}_r(\tilde{w}_L) \prod_{\eta=1}^{\eta_0} \mathrm{har}_{p^\alpha}(w_{L,\eta}) \Big)_{(p,\alpha)} \mid (*) \Big\}$$

$$\subset \prod_{(p,\alpha) \in \mathcal{P}_N \times \mathbb{N}^* \ \mid\ p^\alpha > r} \mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_N)$$

where $(*)$ means, as in §1, that $(\tilde{w}_L)_{L \in \mathbb{N}}, (w_{L,1})_{L \in \mathbb{N}}, \ldots, (w_{L,\eta_0})_{L \in \mathbb{N}}$ ($\eta_0 \in \mathbb{N}^*$) are sequences of words on e_Z satisfying $\mathrm{weight}(\tilde{w}_L) + \sum_{\eta=1}^{\eta_0} \mathrm{weight}(w_{L,\eta}) \to_{L \to \infty} \infty$ and $\limsup_{l \to \infty} \mathrm{depth}(\tilde{w}_L) + \sum_{\eta=1}^{\eta_0} \mathrm{depth}(w_{L,\eta}) < \infty$, and that $(F_L)_{L \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of elements of $\mathbb{Q}$ if $N = 1$, resp. of $\mathbb{Q}[T_1, \ldots, T_{N-1}, \frac{1}{T_1}, \ldots, \frac{1}{T_{N-1}}, \frac{1}{T_1 - 1}, \ldots, \frac{1}{T_{N-1}-1}]$ if $N \neq 1$.

ii) For all $(wt, d) \in (\mathbb{N}^*)^2$, let $\widehat{\mathrm{Har}}^{wt,d}_{\left(\mathcal{P}_N^{\mathbb{N}^*}\right)_{\geq r}} \subset \widehat{\mathrm{Har}}_{\left(\mathcal{P}_N^{\mathbb{N}^*}\right)_{\geq r}}$ be the subspace generated by the elements as above such that, for all $L \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathrm{weight}(\tilde{w}_L) + \sum_{\eta=1}^{\eta_0} \mathrm{weight}(w_{L,\eta}) \geq wt$ and $\mathrm{depth}(\tilde{w}_L) + \sum_{\eta=1}^{\eta_0} \mathrm{depth}(w_{L,\eta}) \leq d$.

We have $\widehat{\mathrm{Har}}_{\left(\mathcal{P}_N^{\mathbb{N}^*}\right)_{\geq r}} = \cup_{(wt,d) \in \mathbb{N}^2} \widehat{\mathrm{Har}}^{wt,d}_{\left(\mathcal{P}_N^{\mathbb{N}^*}\right)_{\geq r}}$.

Proposition 4.5.7. Let $s_d, \ldots, s_1 \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $x_d, \ldots, x_1$ a sequence of elements of the set with two elements $\{(p,\alpha) \mapsto 1, (p,\alpha) \mapsto p^\alpha\}$, and $(w_{p,\alpha})_{(p,\alpha) \in \mathcal{P}^{\mathbb{N}^*} \times \mathbb{N}} \in \prod_{p \nmid N} \mathbb{Q}\langle e_{Z \cup Z^{(p^\alpha)}} \rangle$ be the sequence $(e_0^{s_d - 1} e_{z_{i_d}^{x_d(p,\alpha)}} \ldots e_0^{s_d - 1} e_{z_{i_1}^{x_1(p,\alpha)}})$. For all $l \in \mathbb{N}$, and $i \in \{1, \ldots, N\}$, we have

$$\bigg(\mathrm{comp}_{\mathfrak{A}(U_{0\infty}^{\mathrm{an}})} \Big(\int_{\mathrm{reg}_{X_K^{(p^\alpha)}}} w_{p,\alpha} \Big)^{(l,i)} (0) \bigg)_{(p,\alpha) \in \mathcal{P}^{\mathbb{N}^*} \times \mathbb{N}} \in \widehat{\mathrm{Har}}^{s_d + \ldots + s_1 - d, d}_{\mathcal{P}_N^{\mathbb{N}^*}}$$

and, for all $r \in \mathbb{N}^*$,

$$\bigg(\mathrm{comp}_{\mathfrak{A}(U_{0\infty}^{\mathrm{an}})} \Big(\int_{\mathrm{reg}_{X_K^{(p^\alpha)}}} w_{p,\alpha} \Big)^{(l,i)} (r) \bigg)_{(p,\alpha) \in \mathcal{P}^{\mathbb{N}^*} \times \mathbb{N} \ \mid\ p^\alpha > r} \in \widehat{\mathrm{Har}}^{s_d + \ldots + s_1 - d, d}_{\left(\mathcal{P}_N^{\mathbb{N}^*}\right)_{\geq r}}$$

Proof. Induction with respect to d by Proposition 4.5.5. ☐

Remark 4.5.8. Multiple harmonic sums satisfy the quasi-shuffle relation, that follows from the generalization to finite iterated sums of the identity

$$\sum_{0 < n_1 < n} \times \sum_{0 < n_1' < n} = \sum_{0 < n_1 = n_1' < n} + \sum_{0 < n_1 < n_1' < n} + \sum_{0 < n_1' < n_1 < n}$$

It is an expression of every product of multiple harmonic sums of depths d' and d'' as a $\mathbb{Z}$-linear combination of multiple harmonic sums of depth $\leq d' + d''$. This allows to replace each $\prod_{\eta=1}^{\eta_0} \mathrm{har}_{p^\alpha}(w_{L,\eta})$ in (1.7) and (4.5.9) by a linear combination of prime weighted multiple harmonic sums of weight equal to $\sum_{\eta=1}^{\eta_0} \mathrm{weight}(w_{L,\eta})$.

4.5.4. *Indexation of non-recursive formulas.* The Proposition 4.5.5 can be turned into a non-recursive formula for the iterated integrals $\int_{\mathrm{reg}_{X_K^{(p^\alpha)}}} w$; for our purposes it is useful only to describe certain of its features.
Let us fix a sequence $x_d, \ldots, x_1$ of elements of $\{1, (p^\alpha)\}$; we are going to describe the formula for $\int_{\mathrm{reg}_{X_K^{(p^\alpha)}}} w$ for all words w of the type $e_0^{s_d - 1} e_{z_{i_d}^{x_d}} \ldots e_0^{s_1 - 1} e_{z_{i_1}^{x_1}}$.
Let $r \in \{1, \ldots, p^\alpha - 1\}$ and let us consider two binary trees T_0, T_r, of depth $d+1$ (with $2^{d+1} - 1$

vertices) ; label each vertex by 0 or r in a way such that each vertex has its son at the left labeled by 0 and its son at the right labeled by r, and label the root of T_0 resp. T_r by 0 resp. r ; T_0, resp. T_r will represent the computation of $\big(\int_{\text{reg}_{X_K^{(p^\alpha)}}} w\big)^{(l,i)}(0)$, resp. $\big(\int_{\text{reg}_{X_K^{(p^\alpha)}}} w\big)^{(l,i)}(r)$, $r \in \{1,\ldots,p^\alpha\}$.

Let us replace the arrows of T_0 and T_r by arrows corresponding to the lines of the formulas of Proposition 4.5.5 in the following way : if $x_d = 1$, since the right-hand side of (4.5.5) has one line involving coefficients at 0 and one line involving coefficients at $1,\ldots,p^\alpha-1$, we draw one arrow from the root of T_0 to each of its sons ; if $x_d = (p^\alpha)$, given that the right-hand side of (4.5.7) has only one line, that involves coefficients at 0, we draw only an arrow from the root of T_0 to its son labeled by 0. We do the same with the root of T_r by reading (4.5.6) and (4.5.8), that give rise respectively to three or one arrows starting at the root. We draw in the same way arrows from the vertices having distance equal to k arrows to the root, to their sons, in function of the value of x_{d-k}.

We obtain in this way two oriented graphs ; the formula for each $\big(\text{comp}_{\mathfrak{A}(U_{0\infty}^{\text{an}})}\int_{\text{reg}_{X_K^{(p^\alpha)}}} w\big)^{(l,i)}(0)$, resp. $\big(\text{comp}_{\mathfrak{A}(U_{0\infty}^{\text{an}})}\int_{\text{reg}_{X_K^{(p^\alpha)}}} w\big)^{(l,i)}(r)$, $r \in \{1,\ldots,p^\alpha\}$, is in a natural way a sum over possible paths from the root of T_0, resp. T_r to the leaves.

For each path γ from the root to the leaves of T_0 or T_r, except from one path γ_0 of T_r, the associated term of the formula depends, among others indices, on the sum "ΣL" of all indices "L" of Proposition 4.5.5 that appear by applying this Proposition several times ; the path γ_0 is obtained by choosing the third line of (4.5.6) at each step. In other words, we can write Proposition 4.5.5 as :

Corollary 4.5.9. We have, for all $l \in \mathbb{N}$, $i \in \{1,\ldots,N\}$, and $n \in \{0,\ldots,p^\alpha-1\}$:

$$\big(\text{comp}_{\mathfrak{A}(U_{0\infty}^{\text{an}})}\int_{\text{reg}_{X_K^{(p^\alpha)}}} w\big)^{(l,i)}(n) = \sum_{\substack{\gamma\text{ path from the root}\\ \text{to the leaves of } T_n}} (\text{comp}_{\mathfrak{A}(U_{0\infty}^{\text{an}})}\int_{\text{reg}_{X_K^{(p^\alpha)}}} (w,\gamma))^{(l,i)}(n)$$

and for all γ, except for the path γ_0 when $n \neq 0$:

$$(\text{comp}_{\mathfrak{A}(U_{0\infty}^{\text{an}})}\int_{\text{reg}_{X_K^{(p^\alpha)}}} (w,\gamma))^{(l,i)}(n) = \sum_{\Sigma L \geq -d} (\text{comp}_{\mathfrak{A}(U_{0\infty}^{\text{an}})}\int_{\text{reg}_{X_K^{(p^\alpha)}}} (w,\gamma,\Sigma L))^{(l,i)}(n)$$

where the right-hand sides above are given inductively by Proposition 4.5.5, by a formula that we leave to the reader.

If we want to represent the computations for all possible sequences $x_d,\ldots,x_1$ at the same time, it suffices to use two different colors, one for each element of $\{1,(p^\alpha)\}$, and to draw at the same time the arrows associated to the two possible values of each x_i.

4.5.5. *Bounds of valuations.*

Proposition 4.5.10. Let w a word over e_Z of depth $d+1$ and of weight s.
i) We have, for all $r \in \{0,\ldots,p^\alpha-1\}$, $l \in \mathbb{N}$, $i \in \{1,\ldots,N\}$:

$$v_p(\text{comp}_{\mathfrak{A}(U_{0\infty}^{\text{an}})}\textstyle\int_{\text{reg}_{X_K^{(p^\alpha)}}} w)^{(l,i)}(r) \quad \geq s-2d-\frac{d}{\log(p)}\log(\max(l+s+1-d,\frac{d}{\log(p)}))-(\alpha-1)l$$

ii) In particular, for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_p^{(N)}$:

$$v_p((\text{comp}_{\mathfrak{A}(U_{0\infty}^{\text{an}})}\int_{\text{reg}_{X_K^{(p^\alpha)}}} w)(n)) \geq s-2d-\frac{d}{\log(p)}\log(s+1+d)$$

Proof. i) For each $\gamma \neq \gamma_0$ path of T_0 or T_r, and ΣL, for each $r \in \{0, \ldots, p^\alpha - 1\}$, we have :

$$v_p(\text{comp}_{\mathfrak{A}(U^{\text{an}}_{0\infty})} \textstyle\int_{\text{reg}_{X_K^{(p^\alpha)}}} (w, \gamma, \Sigma L, l, i, r)) \geq s + \Sigma L - d - \frac{d}{\log(p)} \log(l + s + \Sigma L + 1) - (\alpha - 1)l$$

and for γ_0,

$$v_p(\text{comp}_{\mathfrak{A}(U^{\text{an}}_{0\infty})} \textstyle\int_{\text{reg}_{X_K^{(p^\alpha)}}} (w, \gamma_0, l, i, r)) \geq s - (\alpha - 1)l$$

This follows by induction on the depth from Lemma-Notation 4.3.1 and that, for all words w' on e_Z, $v_p(\text{har}_{p^\alpha}(w')) \geq \text{weight}(w')$, which is seen by splitting the sum

$$\text{har}_{p^\alpha}(w') = (p^\alpha)^{s_d + \ldots + s_1} \textstyle\sum_{0 < n_1 < \ldots < n_d < p^\alpha} \frac{\left(\frac{z_{i_2}}{z_{i_1}}\right)^{n_1} \ldots \left(\frac{z_{i_{d+1}}}{z_{i_d}}\right)^{n_d} \left(\frac{1}{z_{i_{d+1}}}\right)^{p^\alpha}}{n_1^{s_1} \ldots n_d^{s_d}}$$

into the subsum indexed by $p^{\alpha-1} | n_1, \ldots, p^{\alpha-1} | n_d$, that is equal to $\text{har}_p(w')$ and of valuation $\geq \text{weight}(w')$, and the complementary subsum that is of higher p-adic valuation. The function $f : t \in] - 1 - l - s, +\infty[\mapsto t - \frac{d}{\log(p)} \log(l + s + t + 1) \in \mathbb{R}$ is increasing over $[t_0, +\infty[$, where $t_0 = \frac{d}{\log(p)} - s - 1 - l$ and we always have $\Sigma L \geq -d$:
If $t_0 \geq -d$, then $l + s + 1 - d \leq \frac{d}{\log(p)}$ and

$$f(\Sigma L) \geq f(t_0) = t_0 - \frac{d}{\log(p)} \log(\frac{d}{\log(p)}) \geq -d - \frac{d}{\log(p)} \log(\frac{d}{\log(p)})$$

If $t_0 \leq -d$, which is equivalent to $p \leq \exp(\frac{d}{s+1+l-d})$, then f is increasing in $[-d, \Sigma L]$ and

$$f(\Sigma L) \geq f(-d) = -d - \frac{d}{\log(p)} \log(l + s - d + 1)$$

whence the first inequality.
ii) By the series expansion of $\text{comp}_{\mathfrak{A}(U^{\text{an}}_{0\infty})} \int_{\text{reg}_{X_K^{(p^\alpha)}}} w$ as in Definition 4.2.2, we have

$$v_p(\text{comp}_{\mathfrak{A}(U^{\text{an}}_{0\infty})} \textstyle\int_{\text{reg}_{X_K^{(p^\alpha)}}} w) \geq \inf_{l \in \mathbb{N}} \left(\alpha l + s - 2d - \frac{d}{\log(p)} \log(\max(l + s + 1 - d, \frac{d}{\log(p)})) - (\alpha - 1)l\right)$$

whence the second inequality after having studied how the right-hand side above depends on l. ☐

Now we want to show that the previous bound of valuation is better when p is large enough. We fix $e \in \mathbb{Z}$ and we will split the sums of series into two parts depending on e. We denote by $\kappa_p = 2$ if $p = 2$, and $\kappa_p = 1$ otherwise ; in both cases we have $1 - \kappa_p \log(p) > 0$; let also

$$\Sigma L_0^p(e) = \frac{e + d + \frac{d}{\log(p)} \log(\kappa_p(l + s + 1))}{1 - \frac{1}{\kappa_p \log(p)}}$$

$$\Sigma L_0(e) = \frac{e + d + \frac{d}{\log(2)} \log(2(l + s + 1))}{1 - \frac{1}{\log(4)}}$$

Since $\kappa_p \log(p) \geq \min(2\log(2), \log(3)) = \log(3)$, we have $\Sigma L_0^p(e) \geq \Sigma L_0(e)$.

Corollary 4.5.11. Let w be a word on e_Z of depth $d + 1$ and weight s, $\gamma \neq \gamma_0$ a path of T_0 or T_r from the root to the leaves, and $\Sigma L \geq -d$.
i) If $\Sigma L \geq \Sigma L_0^p(e)$ then

$$v_p(\text{comp}_{\mathfrak{A}(U^{\text{an}}_{0\infty})} \int_{\text{reg}_{X_K^{(p^\alpha)}}} (w, \gamma, \Sigma L)^{(l,i)}(r)) \geq e - (\alpha - 1)l$$

ii) Assume that $\Sigma L < \Sigma L_0(e)$ and $p > \max(\Sigma L_0^p(e) + s + l, 2\Sigma L_0^p(e) + 3)$. Then we have

$$v_p(\mathrm{comp}_{\mathfrak{A}(U_{0\infty}^{\mathrm{an}})} \int_{\mathrm{reg}_{X_K^{(p^\alpha)}}} (w, \gamma, \Sigma L)^{(l,i)}(r)) \geq s_1 + \ldots + s_d - d - (\alpha - 1)l$$

iii) In particular, for p large enough, we have, for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_p^{(N)}$:

$$v_p((\mathrm{comp}_{\mathfrak{A}(U_{0\infty}^{\mathrm{an}})} \int_{\mathrm{reg}_{X_K^{(p^\alpha)}}} w)(n)) \geq s_1 + \ldots + s_d - d$$

Proof. i) For $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $x+y > 0$ and $x > 0$, we have : $\log(x+y) = \log(x) + \log(1+\frac{y}{x}) \leq \log(x) + \frac{y}{x}$. We deduce :

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma L - d - \tfrac{d}{\log(p)} \log(l + s + \Sigma L + 1) &\geq \Sigma L - d - \tfrac{d}{\log(p)} \log(\kappa_p(l + s + 1) + \Sigma L) \\ &\geq \Sigma L \left(1 - \tfrac{d}{\log(p)\kappa_p(l+s+1)}\right) - d - \tfrac{d}{\log(p)} \log(c_p(l + s + 1)) \\ &\geq \Sigma L \left(1 - \tfrac{1}{\kappa_p \log(p)}\right) - d - \tfrac{d}{\log(p)} \log(l + s + 1) \end{aligned}$$

Whence the result by Proposition 4.5.10.
ii) The Von Staudt - Clausen theorem states that for $l \in \mathbb{N}$, the Bernoulli number B_l is in $\mathbb{Z}_p$ as soon as $p - 1 \nmid 2n$. By considering the expression of the four types of coefficients $\mathcal{B}$ of Lemma-Notation 4.3.1 in terms of Bernoulli numbers, we can use that for p large enough, the coefficients $\mathcal{B}$ are in $\mathbb{Z}_p$. We leave the details to the reader.
iii) is a direct consequence of i) and ii) by choosing $e \in \mathbb{N}$ large enough. ☐

5. Relations between the Frobenius at different tangential base-points

We express in §5.1 the cyclotomic p-adic multiple zeta values associated with tangential base points at $(0, z_i)$, $i \in \{1, \ldots, N\}$, in terms of their variant associated with tangential base-points at $(0, \infty)$, and in terms of the cyclotomic p-adic multiple zeta values of weight one and depth one ; the important feature of the result is its compatibility with both the depth filtration and the lower bounds of valuations that we expect to prove. We review other relations between several variants of cyclotomic p-adic multiple zeta values in §5.2. We interpret these facts in terms of the algebraic theory of multiple zeta values in §5.3.

5.1. Main result.

This paragraph relies on Corollary 2.2.11, computations in low depth, and certain properties of shuffle algebras.

Lemma 5.1.1. We have :

$$\Phi_{p,\epsilon\alpha}^{(\infty)} = 1 + \text{terms of depth } \geq 2$$

and for all $i \in \{1, \ldots, N\}$,

$$\Phi_{p,\epsilon\alpha}^{(z_i)} = 1 + \text{terms of depth } \geq 1$$

Proof. See [U2], §4. By §3.4 we have $\mathrm{Li}_{p,\epsilon\alpha}^{\dagger}[e_0^l] = 0$, whence $\Phi_{p,\epsilon\alpha}^{(\infty)} = 1 + \text{terms of depth } \geq 1$. By the equation of horizontality (§3.1.2) we also have $\mathrm{Li}_{p,\epsilon\alpha}^{\dagger}[e_{z_i}] = \epsilon \int (e_{z_i} - e_{z_i^{(p^\alpha)}}) = \epsilon \sum_{\substack{n>0 \\ p^\alpha \nmid n}} \frac{(z_i^{-1}z)^n}{n}$, whence $\Phi_{p,\epsilon\alpha}^{(\infty)} = \mathrm{Li}_{p,\epsilon\alpha}^{\dagger}(\infty)$ vanishes in weight one and depth one. By specifying Corollary 2.2.11 to words $e_0 e_{z_i}$, resp. $e_0 e_{z_i^{(p^\alpha)}}$, $i \in \{1, \ldots, N\}$, one deduces that $\Phi_{p,\alpha}^{(z_i)}[e_0] = 0$ whence, by the shuffle equation $\Phi_{p,\alpha}^{(z_i)}[e_0^l] = 0$ for all $l \in \mathbb{N}^*$. The rest follows by specifying Corollary 2.2.11 to words of depth one. ☐

Lemma 5.1.2. i) For all $i \in \{1,\ldots,N\}$,

$$\Phi_{p,\alpha}^{(z_i)}[e_{z_i}] = 0$$

ii) For all $i \neq j \in \{1,\ldots,N\}$,

$$\Phi_{p,\alpha}^{(z_i)}[e_{z_j}] \quad = \quad \frac{-1}{1-\xi^{\frac{i-j}{p^\alpha}}} \sum_{l \geq 0} (-1)^l \mathcal{B}_0 \left(\begin{array}{c} 1, \xi^{-(i-j)} \\ l \end{array} \right) \mathrm{har}_{p^\alpha} \left(\begin{array}{c} 1, \xi^{-\frac{(i-j)}{p^\alpha}} \\ l \end{array} \right) \quad \in \quad \widehat{\mathrm{Har}}_{\mathcal{P}_N^{\mathbb{N}^*}}^{0,1} \tag{5.1.1}$$

Similarly for $\epsilon = -1$ with the alphabet $\{e_0, e_{z_1^{(p^\alpha)}}, \ldots, e_{z_N^{(p^\alpha)}}\}$, after multiplying the last equality by -1.

Proof. i) By functoriality (see §5.2), we have $\Phi_{p,\alpha}^{(z_i)}[e_{z_i}] = \Phi_{p,\alpha}^{(1)}[e_1]$, and this expression can be computed under the assumption that $N = 1$. It is equal to zero by [U1], §5.6 (the proof there is made for $\alpha = 1$ and $\epsilon = -1$, but works for all values of α and ϵ).
ii) Unver proves in [U2], §4.3 (for $\alpha = 1$ and $\epsilon = -1$, but again the proof is valid for all α and ϵ) that

$$\Phi_{p,\epsilon\alpha}^{(z_i)}[e_{z_j}] = -\epsilon \lim_{u \to \infty} \frac{p^\alpha}{1-\xi^{(\frac{i}{p^\alpha}-\frac{j}{p^\alpha})p^u}} \sum_{\substack{0<n_1<p^u \\ p^\alpha \nmid n_1}} \frac{(\xi^{\frac{j}{p^\alpha}-\frac{i}{p^\alpha}})^{n_1}}{n_1} \tag{5.1.2}$$

The proof goes as follows : by functoriality (see §5.2) we have

$$\Phi_{p,\alpha}^{(z_i)}[e_{z_j}] = \Phi_{p,\alpha}^{(\xi^{i-j})}[e_1] = \tau(p^\alpha)\phi^\alpha({}_{\vec{1}_{\xi^{j-i}}}1_{\vec{1}_0}[e_1])$$

and this last expression can be computed under the assumption that $N = 1$; one can then compute it as the value at ξ^{j-i} of $\mathrm{Li}_{p,\epsilon\alpha}^\dagger[e_1]$, equal to (5.1.2) by Proposition 4.1.6 (similarly for $\epsilon = -1$). We deduce the formula of the statement by writing in the expression above the Euclidean division $n_1 = p^\alpha u + r$ of n_1, then $\frac{1}{n_1} = \sum_{l \geq 0} \frac{-(p^\alpha u)^l}{r^{l+1}}$, and by expressing the sum over u by Lemma-Notation 4.2.3. □

Lemma 5.1.3. Let $s_d,\ldots,s_1 \in \mathbb{N}^*$, and let $i_0,i_1,\ldots,i_d \in \{1,\ldots,N\}$. Assume $s_d \geq 2$. We have :

$$\begin{aligned} & \Phi_{p,\alpha}^{(z_{i_0})^{-1}}[e_0^{s_d-1}e_{z_{i_d}}\ldots e_0^{s_1-1}e_{z_{i_1}}] \\ & \quad + \sum_{i=1}^{N}\sum_{d'=1}^{d-1} \Phi_{p,\alpha}^{(z_i)^{-1}}[e_0^{s_d-1}e_{z_{i_d}}\ldots e_0^{s_{d'}-1}]\Phi_{p,\alpha}^{(z_i)}[e_0^{s_{d'-1}-1}e_{z_{i_{d'-1}}}\ldots e_0^{s_1-1}e_{z_{i_1}}e_{z_{i_0}}] \\ & \qquad = \Phi_{p,\alpha}^{(\infty)}[e_0^{s_d-2}e_{z_{i_d}}\ldots e_0^{s_1-1}e_{z_{i_1}}e_{z_{i_0}}] \\ & \quad + \sum_{d'=1}^{d-1}\sum_{t_{d'}=0}^{s_{d'}-2} \Phi_{p,\epsilon\alpha}^{(\infty)^{-1}}[e_0^{s_d-1}e_{z_{i_d}}\ldots e_0^{s_{d'}-2-t_{d'}}]\Phi_{p,\alpha}^{(\infty)}[e_0^{t_{d'}}e_{z_{i_{d'}}}\ldots e_{z_{i_0}}] \\ & \qquad + \sum_{d'=2}^{d-1} \Phi_{p,\alpha}^{(\infty)^{-1}}[e_0^{s_d-1}e_{z_{i_d}}\ldots e_0^{s_{d'}-1}]\Phi_{p,\epsilon\alpha}^{(\infty)}[e_0^{s_{d'-1}-1}e_{z_{i_{d'-1}}}\ldots e_{z_{i_0}}] \end{aligned} \tag{5.1.3}$$

and similarly when $\epsilon = -1$ with the alphabet $\{e_0, e_{z_1^{(p^\alpha)}}, \ldots, e_{z_N^{(p^\alpha)}}\}$.

Proof. We consider the coefficient $e_0^{s_d-1}e_{z_{i_d}}\ldots e_0^{s_1-1}e_{z_{i_1}}e_{z_{i_0}}$ of equation of Corollary 2.2.11. The indices d' vary from 0 to d, and the extremal terms of the sums over d' vanish by Lemma 5.1.1. □

Lemma 5.1.4. Let f in $K\langle\langle e_Z\rangle\rangle$ be a grouplike series satisfying $f[e_0]=0$. Then, every $f[w]$ with w a word of weight n and depth d a $\mathbb{Z}$-linear combination of the elements

$$\frac{1}{d!}\Big(\prod_{i=1}^{N} f[e_{z_i}]^{\delta_i}\Big) f[w]$$

where w is a word of the form $e_0 w' e_{z_i}$, $i=1,\ldots,N$, $\sum_{i=1}^{N}\delta_i + \text{weight}(w) = d$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{N}\delta_i + \text{depth}(w) = d$.

Proof. It is classical that we have, for all $s_0,\ldots,s_d \in \mathbb{N}^*$, and $i_1,\ldots,i_d \in \{1,\ldots,N\}$:

$$f[e_0^{s_d-1}e_{z_{i_d}}\ldots e_0^{s_1-1}e_{z_{i_1}}e_0^{s_0-1}] = \sum_{\substack{l_1,\ldots,l_d\in\mathbb{N}\\ l_1+\ldots+l_d=s_0}} \prod_{i=1}^{d}\binom{-s_i}{l_i} f[e_0^{s_d+l_d-1}e_{z_{i_d}}\ldots e_0^{s_1+l_1-1}e_{z_{i_1}}] \tag{5.1.4}$$

and, for all $i\in\{1,\ldots,N\}$, $k\in\mathbb{N}^*$, and w a word :

$$f[e_{z_i}]f[e_{z_i}^{k}e_0 w] = (k+1)f[e_{z_i}^{k+1}e_0 w] + f[e_{z_i}^{k}e_0(w ш e_{z_i})] \tag{5.1.5}$$

□

Proposition 5.1.5. For all $i\in\{1,\ldots,N\}$, and $n,d\in\mathbb{N}^*$, every $\Phi_{p,\alpha}^{(z_i)}[w]$ with w a word of weight n and depth d is a $\mathbb{Z}$-linear combination of elements

$$\frac{1}{d!}\Big(\prod_{i=1}^{N}\Phi_{p,\alpha}[e_{z_i}]^{\delta_i}\Big)\prod_{j=1}^{d'}\Phi_{p,\alpha}^{(\infty)}[w_j]$$

with $\sum_{i=1}^{N}\delta_i + \sum_{j=1}^{d'}\text{weight}(w_j) = n$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{N}\delta_i + \sum_{j=1}^{d'}(\text{depth}(w_j)-1) \leq d$ and $d' \leq d$. Similarly for $\epsilon=-1$ with the alphabet $\{e_0, e_{z_1^{(p^\alpha)}},\ldots,e_{z_N^{(p^\alpha)}}\}$.

Proof. Induction on d using Lemma 5.1.3 and Lemma 5.1.4, the fact that $\Phi_{p,\alpha}^{(z_i)}[e_{z_j}] = \Phi_{p,\epsilon\alpha}[e_{\xi^{j-i}}]$, and that, for f in $K\langle\langle e_Z\rangle\rangle$ an invertible element such that $f[e_0^l]=0$ for all $l\in\mathbb{N}^*$, we have :

$$f^{-1}[w] = -f[w] + \sum_{k\geq 2}\sum_{\substack{w_1,\ldots,w_k \text{words}\\ w_1\ldots w_k=w\\ \text{depth}(w_i)\geq 1 \text{ for all i}}} (-1)^{k-1}\Big(\prod_{i=1}^{k} f[w_i]\Big)$$

and this applies to $\Phi_{p,\epsilon\alpha}^{(z_i)}$, $i\in\{1,\ldots,N\}$, and to $\Phi_{p,\epsilon\alpha}^{(\infty)}$ by Lemma 5.1.1. □

5.2. Role of the algebraic automorphisms of $\mathbb{P}^1-(\{0,\infty\}\cup\mu_N)$.

We now recall other relations existing between cyclotomic p-adic multiple zeta values associated with different points of $(\{0,\infty\}\cup\mu_N)(K)$.

5.2.1. *For any N.* The group of automorphisms $\text{Aut}\big(\mathbb{P}^1-(\{0,\infty\}\cup\mu_N)\big)$ contains a dihedral group $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}\ltimes\mu_N$, generated by $z\mapsto\frac{1}{z}$ and $z\mapsto\xi z$, where (we recall) $\xi=z_1$ is a primitive N-th root of unity. When $N\notin\{1,2,4\}$, this equals the whole of $\text{Aut}\big(\mathbb{P}^1-(\{0,\infty\}\cup\mu_N)\big)$. By functoriality of $\pi_1^{\text{un,DR}}$, the generators of $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}\ltimes\mu_N$ induce automorphisms of $\pi_1^{\text{un,DR}}(X_K)$, and in particular :

$$\Big(z\mapsto\frac{1}{z}\Big)_* : \begin{array}{c}\pi_1^{\text{un,DR}}(X_K,\vec{1}_0,\vec{1}_{z_i}) \to \pi_1^{\text{un,DR}}(X_K,\vec{1}_\infty,\vec{1}_{z_{-i \bmod N}})\\ f\mapsto f(e_\infty,e_{z_{N-1}},e_{z_{N-2}},\ldots,e_{z_1},e_{z_N})\end{array}$$

$$(z\mapsto\xi z)_* : \begin{array}{c}\pi_1^{\text{un,DR}}(X_K,\vec{1}_0,\vec{1}_{z_i}) \to \pi_1^{\text{un,DR}}(X_K,\vec{1}_0,\vec{1}_{z_{i+1 \bmod N}})\\ f\mapsto f(e_0,e_{z_2},\ldots,e_{z_N},e_{z_1})\end{array}$$

where $-i \mod N$, resp. $i+1 \mod N$, denotes the lift in $\{1,\ldots,N\}$ of the class of $-i$, resp. $i+1$ in $\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$. Below, $\Phi_{p,\epsilon\alpha}^{(z)}$ means $\Phi_{p,\epsilon\alpha}^{(z)}(e_0,e_{z_1},\ldots,e_{z_N})$ and similarly for the two next Propositions.

Proposition 5.2.1. i) We have :

$$\Phi_{p,\epsilon\alpha}^{(\infty)} = {\Phi_{p,\epsilon\alpha}^{(1)}}^{-1}(e_\infty,e_{z_{N-1}},e_{z_{N-2}},\ldots,e_{z_1},e_{z_N})\Phi_{p,\epsilon\alpha}^{(1)}(e_0,e_{z_1},\ldots,e_{z_N})$$

ii) For all $i \in \{1,\ldots,N\}$, we have :

$$\Phi_{p,\epsilon\alpha}^{(z_i)} = \Phi_{p,\epsilon\alpha}^{(1)}(e_0,e_{z_i},\ldots,e_{z_N},e_{z_1},\ldots,e_{z_{i-1}})$$

Proof. This follows from that the canonical De Rham paths ${}_y1_x$ and the Frobenius action are both compatible with the groupoid structure of $\pi_1^{\mathrm{un,DR}}(X_K)$ and functoriality : we have ${}_{\vec{1}_\infty}1_{\vec{1}_0} = ({}_{\vec{1}_\infty}1_{\vec{1}_1})({}_{\vec{1}_1}1_{\vec{1}_0})$ then

$$\begin{aligned}\tau(p^\alpha)\phi^\alpha({}_{\vec{1}_\infty}1_{\vec{1}_0}) &= \tau(p^\alpha)\phi^\alpha({}_{\vec{1}_\infty}1_{\vec{1}_1})\ \tau(p^\alpha)\phi^\alpha({}_{\vec{1}_1}1_{\vec{1}_0}) = \tau(p^\alpha)\phi^\alpha({}_{\vec{1}_1}1_{\vec{1}_\infty})^{-1}\ \tau(p^\alpha)\phi^\alpha({}_{\vec{1}_1}1_{\vec{1}_0}) \\ &= (z\mapsto \tfrac{1}{z})_*\tau(p^\alpha)\phi^\alpha({}_{\vec{1}_1}1_{\vec{1}_0})^{-1}\ \times\ \tau(p^\alpha)\phi^\alpha({}_{\vec{1}_1}1_{\vec{1}_0})\end{aligned}$$

and similarly for F_*^α. ☐

5.2.2. *For $N = 1,2,4$.* Assume first $N=1$. The group of automorphisms of $\mathbb{P}^1-\{0,1,\infty\}$ is isomorphic to S_3 viewed as the group of permutations of $\{0,1,\infty\}$. The homography $z\mapsto\frac{z}{z-1}$ that preserves 0 and exchanges 1 and ∞ induces :

$$\left(z\mapsto \tfrac{z}{z-1}\right)_* : \begin{array}{c}\pi_1^{\mathrm{un,DR}}(\mathbb{P}^1-\{0,1,\infty\},\vec{1}_1,\vec{1}_0)\to\pi_1^{\mathrm{un,DR}}(\mathbb{P}^1-\{0,1,\infty\},\vec{1}_\infty,\vec{1}_0)\\ f(e_0,e_1)\mapsto f(e_0,e_\infty)\end{array}$$

Proposition 5.2.2. Assume $N=1$. We have

$$\Phi_{p,\epsilon\alpha}^{(\infty)} = \Phi_{p,\epsilon\alpha}^{(1)}(e_0,e_\infty)$$

Proof. Same with Proposition 5.2.1. ☐

When $N=2$, resp. $N=4$, the group $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^1-\{0,\mu_N,\infty\})$ is the group of isometries of a square, resp. an octahedron whose set of summits is $\{0\}\cup\mu_N(K)\cup\{\infty\}$, in a way such that $(0,\infty)$, $(1,-1)$, resp. these and $(\xi,-\xi)$ are couples of opposite summits. In both cases, the stabilizer of 0 is the subgroup μ_N generated by $z\mapsto\xi z$ of the previous paragraph ; thus we have no additional relation of the type of Proposition 5.2.2. However, we have relations of the type of Proposition 5.2.1 i) : $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^1-\{0,\mu_2,\infty\})$ is generated by the involutions $z\mapsto\xi z$, $z\mapsto\frac{1}{z}$ and $z\mapsto\frac{1-z}{1+z}$; $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^1-\{0,\mu_4,\infty\})$ is generated by the three rotations $r_1 : z\mapsto\xi z$, $r_2 : z\mapsto\frac{1-z}{1+z}$ (that exchanges 0 and 1, -1 and ∞, ξ and $-\xi$) and $r_3 : z\mapsto\frac{\xi-z}{\xi+z}$ (that sends $(0,1,\xi)\mapsto(1,\xi,0)$ and $(\infty,-1,-\xi)\mapsto(-1,-\xi,\infty)$), and the central symmetry $z\mapsto-\frac{2z-1-\xi}{(1-\xi)z}$ that sends summits to their opposites ; we obtain :

Proposition 5.2.3. Assume $N=2$. We have,

$$\Phi^{(-1)} = \Phi^{(1)}\times\Phi^{(\infty)}(e_1-e_{-1},-e_{-1},e_0-e_{-1})$$

Assume $N=4$. We have, for all $z\in\{\xi,-1,-\xi,\infty\}$,

$$\Phi_{p,\epsilon\alpha}^{(z)} = \Phi_{p,\epsilon\alpha}^{(1)}\times\Phi_{p,\epsilon\alpha}^{(r_2^{-1}(z))}(e_1-e_{-1},e_{-\xi}-e_{-1},-e_{-1},e_\xi-e_{-1},e_0-e_{-1})$$

$$\Phi_{p,\epsilon\alpha}^{(z)} = \Phi_{p,\epsilon\alpha}^{(1)}\times\Phi^{(r_3^{-1}(z))}(e_\xi-e_{-\xi},e_1-e_{-\xi},-e_{-\xi},e_{-1}-e_{-\xi},-e_{-\xi})$$

and

$${\Phi_{p,\epsilon\alpha}^{(\infty)}}^{-1} = \Phi_{p,\epsilon\alpha}^{(\infty)}(-e_0,e_{-\xi}-e_0,e_1-e_0,e_\xi-e_0,e_{-1}-e_0)$$

Proof. Same with Proposition 5.2.1. ☐

5.2.3. *Remark.*

Remark 5.2.4. The results of §5.2 provide us with an expression of cyclotomic p-adic multiple zeta values in function of their variant "at ∞" $\zeta_{p,\epsilon\alpha}^{(\infty)}$, which is simpler combinatorially than the one appearing in §5.1. The reason why we will use nevertheless §5.1 for the inductive computation of cyclotomic p-adic multiple zeta values is that the formulas of §5.1 are compatible with the depth filtration. One can check easily that the transformations of §5.2 do not satisfy this property ; for example, on $\mathbb{P}^1 - \{0,1,\infty\}$, the dual of the morphism $(z \mapsto \frac{z}{z-1})_*$ is

$$w(e_0,e_1) \in \mathcal{O}^{\text{ш},\{e_0,e_1\}} \mapsto w(e_0-e_1,-e_1) \in \mathcal{O}^{\text{ш},\{e_0,e_1\}}$$

that sends words of weight $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and depth $d \in \mathbb{N}^*$ to a linear combination of words of depth up to n.

5.3. **Application to algebraic relations.** Combining §2.2.3 and §5.2 one obtains a family of algebraic relations, not relating several variants of cyclotomic p-adic multiple zeta values but applying separately to each variant of cyclotomic p-adic multiple zeta values ; more precisely :

Remark 5.3.1. By injecting Proposition 5.2.1 in Corollary 2.2.11, one obtains that $\Phi_{p,\alpha}^{(1)}$ and $\Phi_{p,-\alpha}^{(1)}$ satisfy the following equation on f :
(5.3.1)

$$e_0+\sum_{i=1}^{N}\mathrm{Ad}_{f(e_0,e_{z_i},\dots,e_{z_N},e_{z_1},\dots,e_{z_{i-1}})}(e_{z_i})+\mathrm{Ad}_{f^{-1}(e_\infty,e_{z_{N-1}},e_{z_{N-2}},\dots,e_{z_1},e_{z_N})f(e_0,e_{z_1},\dots,e_{z_N})}(e_\infty)=0$$

When $N=1$, injecting Proposition 5.2.2 in Corollary 2.2.11, we obtain that they satisfy :

$$e_0+(f^{-1}e_1f)(e_0,e_1)+(f^{-1}e_1f)(e_0,e_\infty)=0 \tag{5.3.2}$$

It is natural to ask how one can interpret the algebraic relations (5.3.1) and (5.3.2) in function of the algebraic theory of cyclotomic p-adic multiple zeta values ; here is a partial answer.

Remark 5.3.2. The equation (5.3.2) arising when $N=1$ appears in Drinfeld's definition of the symmetry group scheme GRT_1 of the associator relations ([Dr], equation (5.14)), also equal to the scheme M_0 of associators with coupling constant 0. It is shown in Proposition 5.9 of [Dr] that this equation is a consequence of the three other equations defining GRT_1 and then can be eliminated in its definition. We know by Ünver's work [U3] that Φ_p is a point of GRT_1. We guess that the equation (5.3.1) should be an easy consequence of Enriquez's cyclotomic associator relations [E].

It is also natural to ask for an interpretation of the proof of these equations obtained by certain properties of the Frobenius, in terms of the algebraic theory ; here is an answer.

Remark 5.3.3. The results of §2.2.3 and §5.2 are consequences of the compatibility of Frobenius (up to a composition with $\tau(p^\alpha)$ and changing the base-point to its image by the Frobenius of $W(\mathbb{F}_q)$) with local monodromy, the groupoid structure of $\pi_1^{\text{un,DR}}(X_K)$ and functoriality.

Such a list of properties is not unknown in the study of algebraic relations, and has at least two different interpretations :

Remark 5.3.4. The properties of Remark 5.3.3, up to certain minor changes, define a certain scheme of automorphisms of $\pi_1^{\text{un,DR}}(\mathbb{P}^1 - (\{0,\infty\} \cup \mu_N) \ / \ \mathbb{Q}[\mu_N])$ restricted to certain tangential base-points, which is studied intrinsically in [DG], §5 : it appears there as a byproduct of the motivic Galois group of a Tannakian category of mixed Tate motives associated with the De Rham realization functor.

Remark 5.3.5. The properties of Remark 5.3.3 are also, among others which do not appear here, ingredients of the proof due to Ünver in [U3] that, when $N = 1$, $\Phi_p \in \mathrm{GRT}_1(\mathbb{Q}_p)$.

Logically, the formula for the Frobenius action at tangential base-points of Proposition 2.2.10, for which the properties listed in Remark 5.3.3 are also responsible, is close to the one for the "Ihara action", that expresses the motivic Galois action on $\pi_1^{\mathrm{un,DR}}(\mathbb{P}^1 - (\{0,\infty\} \cup \mu_N) \ / \ \mathbb{Q}[\mu_N])$ at tangential base-points $\vec{1}_z$ ([DG],§5), and the action of GRT_1 on the scheme of associators ([Dr]).

Finally, in order to finish to clarify the relation between these facts and the algebraic theory, given the analogy between the period conjectures for the complex and p-adic cyclotomic multiple zeta values, it is natural ask about their complex analogues.

Remark 5.3.6. i) The complex KZ associator $\Phi_{\mathrm{KZ}} \in \pi_1^{\mathrm{un,DR}}(\mathbb{P}^1 - \{0,1,\infty\}, -\vec{1}_1, \vec{1}_0)(\mathbb{R})$, generating series of complex multiple zeta values ([Dr], §2) does not define a point of a group of automorphisms in the type of Remark 5.3.4. Instead, Φ is a point of the scheme $M_{2i\pi}$ of associators with coupling constant $2\pi i$, which is by [Dr] a GRT_1-torsor for the Ihara action.
ii) Nevertheless, the group of automorphisms as in Remark 5.3.4 has a known complex point closely related to Φ, provided by the Frobenius at infinity ϕ_∞ of the De Rham fundamental groupoid of $\mathbb{P}^1 - (\{0,\infty\} \cup \mu_N)$; and Furusho has shown in [F2] that the variant $\Phi^- = \phi_\infty({}_{-\vec{1}_1}1_{\vec{1}_0}) \in \pi_1^{\mathrm{un,DR}}(\mathbb{P}^1 - \{0,1,\infty\}, -\vec{1}_1, \vec{1}_0)(\mathbb{R})$ of Φ is in $\mathrm{GRT}_1(\mathbb{R})$; it satisfies in particular equation (5.3.2).
iii) The p-adic analogue $\Phi_{p,\mathrm{KZ}}$ of Φ_{KZ}, defined by Furusho [F1] [F2], is, like $\Phi_{p,\alpha}$, in $\mathrm{GRT}_1(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, again by [U3]. There exists a close relationship between Φ_{KZ} and Φ^-, that can be compared to a close relationship between $\Phi_{p,\mathrm{KZ}}$ and $\Phi_{p,\alpha}$ (see [F2], where $\alpha = 1$ and $\epsilon = 1$). We will investigate in detail in I-3 the relations between $\Phi_{p,\mathrm{KZ}}$ and $\Phi_{p,\epsilon\alpha}$.
iv) The p-adic analogue of $2\pi i$ in $\mathbb{C}_p$ is zero : it is the image by a morphism from a Fontaine ring onto $\mathbb{C}_p$ of a non-zero $(2\pi i)_p$.

6. MAIN RESULT

In §6.1, we show that the iterated integrals appearing in the formula for $\mathrm{Li}_{p,\alpha}^{\dagger}$ provided by §3 can be replaced by their regularization in the sense of §4 ; in §6.2 we obtain the main result ; in §6.3 we make some remarks. Aside from the first statement below we treat only the case of $\mathrm{Li}_{p,\alpha}^{\dagger}$ and we leave to the reader the analogous facts for $\mathrm{Li}_{p,-\alpha}^{\dagger}$.

6.1. **Regularized iterated integrals on $\mathbb{P}^{1,\mathrm{an}} - \cup_{i=1}^{N}]z_i[$ and $\mathrm{Li}_{p,\alpha}^{\dagger}$.**

6.1.1. *The regularity property of* $\mathrm{Li}_{p,\alpha}^{\dagger}$. We recall that $\mathrm{Li}_{p,\epsilon\alpha}^{\dagger} = \int \mathrm{reg}_{\mathrm{Frob},\epsilon\alpha}$ (equation (3.2.3)).

Proposition 6.1.1. We have

$$\mathrm{reg}_{X_K^{(p^\alpha)}} \mathrm{reg}_{\mathrm{Frob},\epsilon\alpha} = \mathrm{reg}_{\mathrm{Frob},\epsilon\alpha}$$

$$\mathrm{Li}_{p,\epsilon\alpha}^{\dagger} = \int_{\mathrm{reg}_{X_K^{(p^\alpha)}}} \mathrm{reg}_{\mathrm{Frob},\epsilon\alpha} \tag{6.1.1}$$

and, for every word w,

$$\mathrm{comp}_{\mathfrak{A}(U_{0\infty}^{\mathrm{an}})} \mathrm{Li}_{p,\epsilon\alpha}^{\dagger}[w] \in \mathrm{LAE}_{\mathcal{S}_\alpha,\xi}^{\mathrm{reg}}(\mathbb{Z}_p^{(N)} - \{0\}, K)$$

Proof. Let us write the proof for $\mathrm{Li}^{\dagger}_{p,\alpha}$, the proof for $\mathrm{Li}^{\dagger}_{p,-\alpha}$ being similar. We are going to prove by induction on the weight jointly the three assertions above. In weight one, the result follows from that for all $i \in \{1,\ldots,N\}$, the map $n \in \mathbb{N}^{*} \mapsto \mathbb{1}_{p^{\alpha}\nmid n}\frac{z_i^{-n}}{n}$ defines an element of $\mathrm{LAE}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathcal{S}_{\alpha},\xi}(\mathbb{Z}_p^{(N)} - \{0\}, K)$, and from Definition 4.5.1. Let $s \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$; assume that the result is true in weight $\leq s-1$, and let w a word on e_Z of weight s. The equation of horizontality of Frobenius of Proposition 3.1.4 can be reformulated as

$$\mathrm{Li}^{\dagger}_{p,\alpha} = \int D^{\dagger}_{p,\alpha}\omega_0$$

$$D^{\dagger}_{p,\alpha} = \big(e_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{N} p^{\alpha}\frac{z}{z-z_i}e_{z_i}\big)\,\mathrm{Li}^{\dagger}_{p,\alpha} - \mathrm{Li}^{\dagger}_{p,\alpha}\,\big(e_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{z^{p^{\alpha}}}{z^{p^{\alpha}} - z_i^{p^{\alpha}}}\,\mathrm{Ad}_{\Phi^{(z_i)}_{p,\alpha}}(e_{z_i})\big)$$

By induction, $\mathrm{comp}_{\mathfrak{A}(U^{\mathrm{an}}_{0\infty})}\, D^{\dagger}_{p,\alpha}[w] \in \mathrm{LAE}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathcal{S}_{\alpha},\xi}(\mathbb{Z}_p^{(N)} - \{0\}, K)$, and moreover, by its definition, $\mathrm{Li}^{\dagger}_{p,\alpha}[w] \in \mathfrak{A}(U^{\mathrm{an}}_{0\infty})$. Thus, by Proposition 4.1.10, Lemma 4.4.1 and Corollary 4.4.2, the polar part (in the sense of §4.3) of $\mathrm{comp}_{\mathfrak{A}(U^{\mathrm{an}}_{0\infty})} \int D^{\dagger}_{p,\alpha}\omega_0$ vanishes ; this amounts to, for all $i \in \{1,\ldots,N\}$,

$$\kappa^{((0,i))}_{\mathrm{comp}_{\mathfrak{A}(U^{\mathrm{an}}_{0\infty})}\, D^{\dagger}_{p,\alpha}}(0) = 0 \tag{6.1.2}$$

This implies $\mathrm{Li}^{\dagger}_{p,\alpha}[w] \in \mathrm{LAE}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathcal{S}_{\alpha},\xi}(\mathbb{Z}_p^{(N)} - \{0\}, K)$, and the fact that $\mathrm{reg}_{X_K^{(p^{\alpha})}}\, \mathrm{reg}_{\mathrm{Frob},\epsilon\alpha}(w) = \mathrm{reg}_{\mathrm{Frob},\epsilon\alpha}(w)$ follows then from Definition 4.5.1, induction and the fact that the above way to rewrite the equation gives rise of course to the same inductive formulas for $\mathrm{reg}_{\mathrm{Frob},\epsilon\alpha}$ with the one of Proposition 3.3.4 and Proposition 3.3.7. □

Remark 6.1.2. When $i = N$, equation (6.1.2) amounts to say that $D^{\dagger}_{p,\alpha}(\infty) = 0$, and one can see that this is equivalent to Corollary 2.2.11. Similarly for $\mathrm{Li}^{\dagger}_{p,-\alpha}$.

6.1.2. *From induction on the weight to induction on the depth.* Consider the direct sum decomposition of the $\mathbb{Q}$-vector space $\mathbb{Q}\langle e_{Z\cup Z^{p^{\alpha}}}\rangle^{\mathrm{conv}}$ defined by the furthest to the right letter of words

$$\mathbb{Q}\langle e_{Z\cup Z^{p^{\alpha}}}\rangle^{\mathrm{conv}} = \mathbb{Q}.1 \bigoplus \big(\oplus_{i=1}^{N}\,\mathbb{Q}\langle e_{Z\cup Z^{(p^{\alpha})}}\rangle e_{z_i}\big) \bigoplus \big(\oplus_{i=1}^{N}\,\mathbb{Q}\langle e_{Z\cup Z^{(p^{\alpha})}}\rangle e_{z_i^{(p^{\alpha})}}\big)$$

where 1 is the empty word.

Lemma 6.1.3. i) $\mathrm{reg}_{X_K^{(p^{\alpha})}}$ maps $\oplus_{i=1}^{N}\mathbb{Q}\langle e_{Z\cup Z^{(p^{\alpha})}}\rangle e_{z_i^{(p^{\alpha})}}$ to 0.
ii) $\mathrm{reg}_{X_K^{(p^{\alpha})}}$ maps $\oplus_{i=1}^{N}\mathbb{Q}\langle e_{Z\cup Z^{(p^{\alpha})}}\rangle e_{z_i}$ to $\oplus_{i=1}^{N}\mathbb{Q}\langle e_{Z\cup Z^{(p^{\alpha})}}\rangle (e_{z_i} - e_{z_i^{(p^{\alpha})}})$.

Proof. By the inductive definition of $\mathrm{reg}_{X_K^{(p^{\alpha})}}$, the furthest to the right letters appearing in $\mathrm{reg}_{X_K^{(p^{\alpha})}}(w)$ depend only on the furthest to the right letter of w ; thus it is sufficient to prove the result in weight one and, in weight one, the result is clear. □

Corollary 6.1.4. In the formula for $\mathrm{reg}_{\mathrm{Frob},\alpha}$ given in Proposition 3.3.7 (which depends, among other indices, of a word w_2) the terms such that $\mathrm{depth}(w_2) = 0$ are cancelled by $\mathrm{reg}_{X_K^{(p^{\alpha})}}$.

Proof. If $w_2 = e_0^s$ with $s \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$, we already have $\mathrm{reg}_{\mathrm{Frob},\alpha}(w_2) = 0$ by Example 3.3.5 ; the new statement concerns $w_2 = \emptyset$, for which $\mathrm{reg}_{\mathrm{Frob},\alpha}(w_2) = \emptyset$; and given the formula of Proposition 3.3.7, the result follows from Lemma 6.1.3. □

This important fact will enable us to have a formula for $\mathrm{Li}^{\dagger}_{p,\epsilon\alpha}$ that is inductive, not with respect to the weight, but with respect to the depth, which is considerably more efficient for computations.

6.1.3. *Remarks.*

Remark 6.1.5. The regularization $\mathrm{reg}_{X_K^{(p^\alpha)}}$ restricted to $\mathbb{Q}\langle e_Z\rangle$ is of course distinct from $\mathrm{reg}_{\mathrm{Frob},\alpha}$. For example $\mathrm{reg}_{X_K^{(p^\alpha)}}(e_{z_i}e_0^{s-1}e_{z_j}) = e_{z_i}e_0^{s-1}(e_{z_j} - e_{z_j^{(p^\alpha)}})$ is distinct from $\mathrm{reg}_{\mathrm{Frob},\alpha}(e_{z_i}e_0^{s-1}e_{z_j})$ for which we have written a formula in Example 3.3.5.

Remark 6.1.6. The regularization $\mathrm{reg}_{X_K^{(p^\alpha)}}$ does not commute with the shuffle product of words over $e_{Z\cup Z^{(p^\alpha)}}$. For example, let $u_{p^\alpha} \in \oplus_{i=1}^{N}\mathbb{Q}\langle e_{Z\cup Z^{(p^\alpha)}}\rangle e_{z_i^{(p^\alpha)}}$ and $u_1 \in \oplus_{i=1}^{N}\mathbb{Q}\langle e_{Z\cup Z^{(p^\alpha)}}\rangle e_{z_i}$; by Lemma 6.1.3, we have $\mathrm{reg}_{X_K^{(p^\alpha)}}(u_{p^\alpha}) = 0$, whence $\mathrm{reg}_{X_K^{(p^\alpha)}}(u_{p^\alpha}) ш \mathrm{reg}_{X_K^{(p^\alpha)}}(u_1) = 0$ whereas, in general, $\mathrm{reg}_{X_K^{(p^\alpha)}}(u_{p^\alpha} ш u_1) \neq 0$; and, actually, every map $\mathrm{Li}_{p,\alpha}^{\dagger}[w]$ is the image by $\int$ of elements of the form $\mathrm{reg}_{X_K^{(p^\alpha)}}(u_{p^\alpha} ш u_1)$.

6.2. **Appendix to Theorem I-1 and end of the proof.** Combining §3, §4 and §5 we obtain the following :

Appendix to Theorem I-1. : part i) The couple $(\mathrm{Li}_{p,\alpha}^{\dagger},\ \zeta_{p,\alpha})$, solution to the system of equations (1.4) and (1.5), is expressed explicitly by induction with respect to the depth by A), B), C) below :

A) The numbers $\zeta_{p,\alpha}(e_{z_i})$ are expressed as elements of $\widehat{\mathrm{Har}}_{\mathcal{P}_N^{\mathbb{N}^*}}^{0,1}$ by equation (5.1.1), and the cyclotomic p-adic multiple zeta values $\zeta_{p,\alpha}(w)$ are expressed in terms of the variants $\zeta_{p,\alpha}^{(\infty)}(w)$ and the numbers $\zeta_{p,\alpha}(e_{z_i})$, $i = 1,\ldots,N$ by equations (5.1.3), (5.1.4), (5.1.5).
B) For all words w on e_Z, we have :

$$\zeta_{p,\alpha}^{(\infty)}[w] = -\big(\mathrm{comp}_{\mathfrak{A}(U_{0\infty}^{\mathrm{an}})}\,\mathrm{Li}_{p,\alpha}^{\dagger}\big)^{(0,0)}[w]$$

C) Let $w = e_0^{s_{d+1}-1}e_{z_{i_{d+1}}}\ldots e_0^{s_1-1}e_1e_0^{s_0-1}$ be a word on e_Z, with $i_1,\ldots,i_{d+1} \in \{1,\ldots,N\}$; $\mathrm{comp}_{\mathfrak{A}(U_{0\infty}^{\mathrm{an}})}(\mathrm{Li}_{p,\alpha}^{\dagger})[w]$ is the element of $\mathrm{LAE}_{\mathcal{S}_\alpha,\xi}^{\mathrm{reg}}(\mathbb{Z}_p^{(N)} - \{0\}, K)$ characterized by : for all $l \in \mathbb{N}$, $i \in \{1,\ldots,N\}$,

(6.2.1) $\quad \mathrm{comp}_{\mathfrak{A}(U_{0\infty}^{\mathrm{an}})}(\mathrm{Li}_{p,\alpha}^{\dagger}[w])^{(l,i)}(0) =$

$$- \sum_{\substack{0\leq l_0\leq s_0-1\\ 0\leq l_{d+1}\leq s_{d+1}-1}} \sum_{i'=1}^{N} \sum_{\substack{w=e_0^{l_{d+1}}w_2w_1e_0^{l_0}\\ \mathrm{depth}(w_2)\geq 1}} \binom{-(l_{d+1}+1)}{l_0} \mathrm{Ad}_{\Phi_{p,\alpha}^{(z_{i'})}}(e_{z_{i'}})[w_1]\ \Bigg[$$

$$(p^\alpha)^{l_{d+1}+l_0+1} \sum_{M\geq -1} (p^\alpha)^M\, {}_*\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_{l+l_{d+1}+l_0+1}^{l+l_{d+1}+l_0+1+M}(\xi^{(i-i')p^\alpha})\ \mathrm{comp}_{\mathfrak{A}(U_{0\infty}^{\mathrm{an}})}(\mathrm{Li}_{p,\alpha}^{\dagger}[w_2]^{(l+l_{d+1}+l_0+1+M,i)}(r_0)\Bigg]$$

$$+ \sum_{l_0=0}^{s_0-1}\binom{-s_{d+1}}{l_0}\Bigg[$$

$$(p^\alpha)^{s_{d+1}+l_0} \sum_{M\geq -1}(p^\alpha)^M \Bigg[\mathcal{B}_{l+s_{d+1}+l_0}^{l+s_{d+1}+l_0+M}(\xi^{(i_0-i)p^\alpha})\ \mathrm{comp}_{\mathfrak{A}(U_{0\infty}^{\mathrm{an}})}(\mathrm{Li}_{p,\alpha}^{\dagger}[\tilde{\partial}^{l_0}\partial^{s_{d+1}}(w)]^{(l+s_{d+1}+l_0+M,i)}(0)$$

$$+ \sum_{r=1}^{p^\alpha-1} {}_*\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_{l+s_{d+1}+l_0}^{l+s_{d+1}+l_0+M}(\xi^{(i_0-i)p^\alpha})\xi^{jr}\ \mathrm{comp}_{\mathfrak{A}(U_{0\infty}^{\mathrm{an}})}(\mathrm{Li}_{p,\alpha}^{\dagger}[\tilde{\partial}^{l_0}\partial^{s_{d+1}}(w)]^{(l+s_{d+1}+l_0+M,i)}(r)\Bigg]\Bigg]$$

and, for all $r \in \{1,\dots,p^{\alpha}-1\}$,

$$\text{(6.2.2)} \quad \mathrm{comp}_{\mathfrak{A}(U^{\mathrm{an}}_{0\infty})}(\mathrm{Li}^{\dagger}_{p,\alpha}[w])^{(l,i)}(r) =$$

$$- \sum_{\substack{0 \leq l_0 \leq s_0 - 1 \\ 0 \leq l_{d+1} \leq s_{d+1}-1}} \sum_{i'=1}^{N} \sum_{\substack{w = e_0^{l_{d+1}} w_2 w_1 e_0^{l_0} \\ \mathrm{depth}(w_2) \geq 1}} \binom{-(l_{d+1}+1)}{l_0} \mathrm{Ad}_{\Phi^{(z_{i'})}_{p,\alpha}}(e_{z_{i'}})[w_1] \Bigg[$$

$$(p^{\alpha})^{l_{d+1}+l_0+1} \sum_{l'=0}^{l} \frac{\binom{-(l_{d+1}+l_0+1)}{l-l'}}{r^{l-l'+l_{d+1}+l_0+1}} \sum_{M \geq -1} (p^{\alpha})^{M} \Bigg[{}_{*}\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}^{l'+M}_{l'}(\xi^{(i-i')p^{\alpha}}) \;\; \mathrm{comp}_{\mathfrak{A}(U^{\mathrm{an}}_{0\infty})}(\mathrm{Li}^{\dagger}_{p,\alpha}[w_2])^{(l'+M,i)}(r_0) \Bigg]$$

$$+ \sum_{l_0=0}^{s_0-1} \binom{-s_{d+1}}{l_0} \Bigg[$$

$$(p^{\alpha})^{l_0+s_{d+1}} \sum_{l'=0}^{l} \frac{\binom{-(l_0+s_{d+1})}{l-l'}}{r^{l-l'+s_{d+1}+l_0}} \sum_{M \geq -1} (p^{\alpha})^{M} \Bigg[$$

$${}_{*}\mathcal{B}^{l'+M}_{l'}(\xi^{(i_0-i)p^{\alpha}})\xi^{(i-i_{d+1})r_0} \;\; \mathrm{comp}_{\mathfrak{A}(U^{\mathrm{an}}_{0\infty})}(\mathrm{Li}^{\dagger}_{p,\alpha}[\tilde{\partial}^{l_0}\partial^{s_{d+1}}(w)]^{(l'+M,i)}(0)$$

$$+ {}_{*}\widetilde{\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}}^{l'+M}_{l'}(\xi^{(i_{d+1}-i)p^{\alpha}})\xi^{i_{d+1}p^{\alpha}} \sum_{r=1}^{p^{\alpha}-1} \xi^{(i-i_{d+1})(r_0-r)} \;\; \mathrm{comp}_{\mathfrak{A}(U^{\mathrm{an}}_{0\infty})}(\mathrm{Li}^{\dagger}_{p,\alpha}[\tilde{\partial}^{l_0}\partial^{s_{d+1}}(w)]^{(l'+M,i)}(r) \Bigg]$$

$$- (\xi^{(i-i_{d+1})p^{\alpha}}) \sum_{r=1}^{r_0} \xi^{(i-i_{d+1})(r_0-r)} \;\; \mathrm{comp}_{\mathfrak{A}(U^{\mathrm{an}}_{0\infty})}(\mathrm{Li}^{\dagger}_{p,\alpha}[\tilde{\delta}^{l_0}\delta^{s_{d+1}}(w)]^{(l',i)}(r) \Bigg]$$

Proof. B) follows from Proposition 4.1.10 and C) follows from the combination of Proposition 4.5.5 and of Proposition 3.3.7 rewritten according to Corollary 6.1.4. ☐

Appendix to Theorem I-1. : part ii) Let w be a word on e_Z of depth d and weight s. We have, for all $l \in \mathbb{N}$, $i \in \{1,\dots,N\}$, and $r \in \{0,\dots,p^{\alpha}-1\}$,

$$v_p\big(\mathrm{comp}_{\mathfrak{A}(U^{\mathrm{an}}_{0\infty})}\,\mathrm{Li}^{\dagger}_{p,\alpha}[w])^{(l,i)}(n_0)\big) \geq s - 2d - \frac{d}{\log(p)}\log(\max(l+s+1-d, \frac{d}{\log(p)})) - (\alpha-1)l - v_p(d!)$$

We have, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$:

$$\text{(6.2.3)} \qquad v_p(\mathrm{Li}_{p^{\alpha}}[w][z^n]) \geq s - 2d - \frac{d}{\log(p)}\log(s+1+d) - v_p(d!)$$

There exists a prime number $p_0(w)$ such that, for $p \geq p_0(w)$, we have :

$$\text{(6.2.4)} \qquad v_p(\zeta_{p^{\alpha}}(w)) \geq s - d - v_p(d!)$$

Proof. Follows from Proposition 4.5.11 and Corollary 4.5.11 combined to Proposition 5.1.5. ☐

Actually by slightly refining the previous arguments it is easy to give a slightly sharper bound, and also to suppress the term $v_p(d!)$ for $N=1$, but this is not essential for our purposes. We also leave to the reader the analogous statement for $\mathrm{Li}^{\dagger}_{p,-\alpha}$.

6.3. **Remarks.** a) By writing, for all words w, $\zeta^{(\infty)}_{p,\epsilon\alpha}[w] = \lim_{\substack{|n|_p \to 0 \\ n \equiv 0 \mod N}} \mathrm{Li}^{\dagger}_{p,\epsilon\alpha}[w][z^n]$ using Proposition 4.1.10, and combining this with §3 and §5, one obtains without using the main machinery of §4 an inductive explicit formula for $\zeta_{p,\epsilon\alpha}$ using limits when $|n|_p \to 0$ of $\mathrm{har}_n^{\dagger_{p,\epsilon\alpha}} = n^{\mathrm{weight}}\,\mathrm{Li}^{\dagger}_{p,\epsilon\alpha}[w][z^n]$, the weighted multiple harmonic sums regularized by Frobenius. In depth one and for $\mathbb{P}^1 - \{0,1,\infty\}$, this gives back $\zeta_{p,\alpha}(s) = \frac{p^{\alpha s}}{s-1}\lim_{|n|_p \to 0}\sum_{\substack{0<n_1<n \\ p^{\alpha} \nmid n_1}} \frac{1}{n_1^s}$ for all $s \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$.

However, such a type of formula does not catch the relation with prime weighted multiple harmonic sums : the functions $\mathrm{Li}^{\dagger}_{p,\epsilon\alpha}[w][z^n]$ are the K-linear combinations of sums of the type (3.2.2) characterized by Proposition 3.3.4, and in general, among the indices $n_1 < \ldots < n_d$ only n_1 is subject to the condition of not being divisible by p^{α} ; the existence of series expansions as in Theorem I-1 does not seem immediate on such a formla ; this formula does not catch either the bounds of valuations of Appendix to Theorem I-1 that will be crucial for I-2. The machinery of §4 seems to us the most natural way of repairing this deficency ; another one will be explained in I-3.

b) Because of the existence of algebraic relations among cyclotomic p-adic multiple zeta values, their expansion in terms of prime weighted multiple harmonic sums is not unique, as one can see for instance by confronting the formula of the Example of §1 and the fact that, for $\mathbb{P}^1 - \{0,1,\infty\}$, we have $\zeta_{p,\epsilon\alpha}(2s) = 0$ for all $s \in \mathbb{N}^*$. Understanding this phenomenon is part of the problematic of the algebraic relations between weighted prime multiple harmonic sums that we will study, among other topics, in part II.

c) One can obtain, by similar methods, formulas for cyclotomic p-adic multiple zeta values that depend on a parameter : let us fix $\beta \in \mathbb{N}^*$ such that $\beta \geq \alpha$: in depth one, for example, we have the following : for all $s \in \mathbb{N}^*$,

$$\zeta_{p,\alpha}(s) = \frac{p^{\alpha s}}{s-1} \lim_{|n|_p \to 0} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\substack{0<n_1<n \\ p^{\alpha} \nmid n}} \frac{1}{n_1^s} = \frac{p^{\alpha s}}{s-1} \lim_{|n|_p \to 0} \frac{1}{p^{\beta} n} \sum_{\substack{0<n_1<p^{\beta} n \\ p^{\alpha} \nmid n}} \frac{1}{n_1^s}$$

then let us consider the Euclidean division $n_1 = p^{\beta} q + r$ of n_1 by p^{β} (instead of by p^{α}), and write $\frac{1}{n_1^s} = \frac{1}{r^s} \sum_{l \in \mathbb{N}} \binom{-s}{l} \big(\frac{p^{\beta} q}{r}\big)^l$; this leads to :

$$\zeta_{p,\alpha}(s) = \frac{1}{s-1} \sum_{l \in \mathbb{N}} \binom{-s}{l} B_l \sum_{\substack{0<r<p^{\beta} \\ p^{\alpha} \nmid r}} \frac{p^{\alpha s + \beta l}}{r^{s+l}}$$

We leave to the reader the generalization of this computation, that would follow from an adaptation of most of §4, and that would give series expansions involving the following variant of prime weighted multiple harmonic sums, depending not only on $\left(\begin{array}{c} \xi^{i_{d+1}}, \ldots, \xi^{i_1} \\ s_d, \ldots, s_1 \end{array}\right)$, but also on indices $(l_d, \ldots, l_1) \in \mathbb{N}^d$, $I, I' \subset \{1, \ldots, d\}$ and β

$$p^{\alpha \sum_{i=1}^d s_i + \beta \sum_{i=1}^d l_i} \sum_{\substack{0=n_0<n_1<\ldots<n_d<p^{\beta} \\ \text{for } j \in I, n_{j-1} \equiv n_j [p^{\alpha}] \\ \text{for } j \in I', n_j \equiv 0 [p^{\alpha}]}} \frac{\big(\frac{\xi^{i_2}}{\xi^{i_1}}\big)^{n_1} \ldots \big(\frac{\xi^{i_{d+1}}}{\xi^{i_d}}\big)^{n_d} \big(\frac{1}{\xi^{i_{d+1}}}\big)^{p^{\beta}}}{n_1^{s_1+l_1} \ldots n_d^{s_d+l_d}} \tag{6.3.1}$$

where the sums of series of such quantities that would appear would be indexed by $(l_1, \ldots, l_d)$ and would thus be absolutely convergent, since (6.3.1) is of valuation $\geq (\alpha+1-\beta)(\sum_{i=1}^d s_i) + \sum_{i=1}^d l_i$.

References

[AET] A.Alekseev, B.Enriquez, C.Torossian - *Drinfeld associators, braid groups and explicit solutions of the Kashiwara-Vergne equations*, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. No. 112 (2010), 143-189

[Bes] A.Besser - *Coleman integration using the Tannakian formalism*, Math. Ann. 322 (2002) 1, 19-48.

[BF] A.Besser, H.Furusho - *The double shuffle relations for p-adic multiple zeta values*, AMS Contemporary Math, Vol 416, (2006), 9-29.

[CL] B.Chiarellotto, B.Le Stum - *F-isocristaux unipotents* - Compositio Math. 116, 81-110 (1999).

[Co] R.Coleman - *Dilogarithms, regulators and p-adic L-functions* - Inventiones Mathematicae, June 1982, Vol. 69, Issue 2, pp.171-208

[D] P.Deligne - *Le groupe fondamental de la droite projective moins trois points*, Galois Groups over $\mathbb{Q}$ (Berkeley, CA, 1987), Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ. 16, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1989.

[DG] P.Deligne, A.B.Goncharov - *Groupes fondamentaux motiviques de Tate mixtes*, Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup. 38.1 , 2005, pp. 1-56

[Dr] V.G.Drinfeld - *On quasitriangular quasi-Hopf algebras and on a group that is closely connected with* Gal($\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}$)", Algebra i Analiz, 2:4 (1990), 149-181

[E] B.Enriquez - *Quasi-reflection algebras and cyclotomic associators*, Selecta Mathematica 13(3): 391 - 493 ; December 2007

[F1] H.Furusho - *p-adic multiple zeta values I – p-adic multiple polylogarithms and the p-adic KZ equation*, Inventiones Mathematicae, Volume 155, Number 2, 253-286, (2004).

[F2] H.Furusho - *p-adic multiple zeta values II – Tannakian interpretations*, Amer.J.Math, Vol 129, No 4, (2007), 1105-1144.

[G] A.Grothendieck - *Esquisse d'un programme*

[J1] D.Jarossay - *Un cadre explicite pour les multizêtas p-adiques et les polylogarithmes multiples p-adiques*, Comptes rendus Mathématique 353 (2015)

[Ko] N.Koblitz, *p-adic analysis : a short course on recent work*, London Mathematical Society, Lecture Note Series 46, November 1980

[KZ] M.Kaneko, D.Zagier - *Finite multiple zeta values*, in preparation

[M] K.Mahler - *An interpolation series for continuous functions of a p-adic variable*, Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik 199 (1958). 23-34.

[R] J.Rosen - *Asymptotic relations for weighted finite multiple zeta values*, arXiv:1309.0908 [math.NT]

[S1] A.Shiho - *Crystalline fundamental groups. I. Isocristals on log crystalline site and log convergent site*, J. Math. Soc. Univ Tokyo 7 (2000) no. 4, 509-656

[S2] A.Shiho - *Crystalline fundamental groups. II. Log convergent cohomology and rigid cohomology*, J. Math. Soc. Univ. Tokyo 9 (2002), no. 1, 1-163

[U1] S.Ünver - *p-adic multi-zeta values.* Journal of Number Theory, 108, 111-156, (2004).

[U2] S.Ünver - *Cyclotomic p-adic multiple zeta values in depth two*, preprint (2013) arXiv:1302.6406 [math.NT]

[U3] S.Ünver - *Drinfel'd-Ihara relations for p-adic multi-zeta values.* Journal of Number Theory, 133, 1435-1483, (2013)

[V] V.Vologodsky, *Hodge structure on the fundamental group and its application to p-adic integration*, Moscow Math. J. 3 (2003), no. 1, 205-247.

[W] L.C.Washington, *p-adic L-functions and sums of powers*, Journal of Number Theory 69 (1998), pp. 50-61.

[Y] G.Yamashita, *Bounds for the dimension of p-adic multiple L-values spaces.* Documenta Mathematica , Extra Volume Suslin (2010) 687-723

Institut de Recherche Mathématique Avancée, Université de Strasbourg, 7 rue René Descartes, 67000 Strasbourg, France

E-mail address: `jarossay@math.unistra.fr`